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## ABSTRACT

This thesis deals with the acoustic analysis of dynamic filtering properties for vocal-tract and the perceptional space of Bangla vowel. Here we capture Bangla vowel, vowel-consonant-vowel speech signal from native Bangla Speakers. Acoustic study of dynamic-shape of vocal-tract for Bangla vowels and vowel-consonant-vowel (VCV) sequences are carried out based on dispersion and cross-correlation of linear predictive coding (LPC) filtering coefficients and short-time Fourier transform (STFT) of formant trajectory. The standard deviation of LPC filtering coefficients and transitional energy of formant trajectories indicate the dynamics of vocal-tract. The consonantal-constriction in VCV sequence accelerates the vocal-tract transitional nature and the transitional nature yields lower-valued cross-correlation with more stable vowels. Fourier transform technique is utilized to determine the cross-correlation of two unequal length LPC trajectories. In the domain of vowel perception, speaker invariant principal components are determined from the multidimensional perceptual space for comparatively stable Bangla vowels. Linguistic content base multidimensional vector space can be formed using the formant frequencies and its dispersion related statistical moments and the well reorganization-able vowels may maintain a separable distance in the multidimensional space. In this work, perceptual vectors and their associated energies are evaluated by determining the principal components of the multidimensional space. Speaker invariance is numerically evaluated using the correlation with principal components of native Bangla speakers. In this research, an algorithm is also proposed for the determination of energy based on the redundancies of the canonical variates for the inter-speaker vowel consistency.

## Acknowledgements

First of all, I am thanking and expressing my immense gratefulness to the almighty GOD for HIS kindness and grace to us for the successful completion of this M. Sc thesis work. With deep sense gratitude I express my sincere thanks to my esteemed and worthy supervisor, Dr. Md. Mahbub Hasan, Associate Professor, Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering (EEE), Khulna University of Engineering \& Technology (KUET), Bangladesh, for his valuable guidance in carrying out this work under his effective supervision, encouragement, enlightenment and cooperation. His feedback and editorial comments were also invaluable throughout the entire progress of this thesis work titled as "Analysis of Dynamic Filtering Properties for Vocal-Tract during Bangla Vowel and Vowel-Consonant-Vowel Sequence Production". The systematic and articulate approach of my supervisor in analyzing a problem, his persistent enthusiasm and overall presence will be always recalled by me in all of my future activities.

Then I would take this opportunity to express my deepest appreciation to Prof. Dr. Md. Shahjahan, Head of the department of EEE, KUET, for providing departmental facilities. I am also thankful to all the concerned teachers/alumni of the department for their full cooperation and help.

I wish to complement to all teachers of the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, KUET for their co-operations and relentless encouragements in various ways throughout this work.

This acknowledgement would be incomplete if I do not mention the emotional support and blessings provided by my friends. I had a pleasant enjoyable and fruitful company with them. My greatest thanks are to all who wished me success especially my parents whose support and care makes me stay on earth.

December 2016
Sathi Rani Mitra

## Table of Contents

Declaration ..... i
Approval ..... ii
Abstract ..... iii
Acknowledgements ..... iv
List of Figures ..... vii
List of Tables ..... ix
List of Abbreviation ..... x
1 Introduction ..... 1
1.1 Introduction ..... 2
1.2 Literature Review ..... 4
1.3 Objectives of the thesis work ..... 6
1.4 Layout of the thesis work ..... 7
2 Theoretical Background and Mathematical Formulation ..... 8
2.1 Introduction. ..... 9
2.2 Speech production ..... 9
2.3 speech perception ..... 11
2.4 Mathematical formulation. ..... 12
2.4.1 Acoustic property of vocal-tract ..... 12
2.4.2 Formant ..... 14
2.4.3 Vocal-tract transitional energy ..... 14
2.4.4 Effect of vocal-tract dynamics on acoustical correlation. ..... 15
2.4.5 Speaker invariant principle component for vowel perceptual space consistency ..... 16
2.4.6 Canonical correlation redundancy index for vowel consistency ..... 17
3 Results and Discussion ..... 20
3.1 Sound capturing ..... 21
3.2 Software required ..... 22
3.3 Acoustic property of vocal-tract ..... 22
3.4 LPC dispersion comparison for Bangla vowel and VCV sequences.... ..... 23
3.5 Transitional energy for Bangla vowel and VCV sequences. ..... 25
3.6 Correlation among acoustic property of Bangla vowel pairs and VCV sequences ..... 29
3.7 Perceptual space consistency for Bangla vowels by principal components ..... 31
3.8 Vowel consistency by canonical correlation ..... 45
4 Conclusion ..... 48
4.1 Conclusion ..... 49
4.2 Recommendation of future work ..... 50
References ..... 51
List of Publications ..... 54
Appendix A ..... A-1
Appendix B ..... A-18
Appendix C. ..... A-32

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Human vocal organ ..... 9
Figure 2.2 A source-filter model of speech production ..... 10
Figure 2.3 Schematic diagram of speech production. ..... 10
Figure 3.1 Bangla vowel signal (OA) ..... 21
Figure 3.2 Bangla vowel spectrogram (OA) ..... 21
Figure 3.3 Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (অ) ..... 22
Figure 3.4 Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো) ..... 23
Figure 3.5 Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (অ) ..... 26
Figure 3.6 Spectrogram of $2^{\text {nd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (অ) ..... 26
Figure 3.7 Spectrogram of $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (অ) ..... 27
Figure 3.8 Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো) ..... 27
Figure 3.9 Spectrogram of $2^{\text {nd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো) ..... 28
Figure 3.10 Spectrogram of $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো) ..... 28
Figure 3.11 Formant contour for vowel transitions (অ) ..... 31
Figure 3.12 Normalized eigen-value profiles for different Speakers. ..... 33
Figure 3.13 First eigenvectors of fifteen Bangla vowel perceptual spaces. ..... 34
Figure 3.14 Second eigenvectors of fifteen Bangla vowel perceptual spaces.... ..... 35
Figure 3.15 Third eigenvectors of fifteen Bangla vowel perceptual spaces ..... 36
Figure 3.16 Fourth eigenvectors of fifteen Bangla vowel perceptual spaces ..... 37
Figure 3.17 Fifth eigenvectors of fifteen Bangla vowel perceptual spaces ..... 38
Figure 3.18 Correlation distributions of first eigenvectors for Bangla vowel perceptual space ..... 39
Figure 3.19 Correlation distributions of second eigenvectors for Bangla vowel perceptual space ..... 40
Figure 3.20 Correlation distributions of third eigenvectors for Bangla vowel perceptual space ..... 41
Figure 3.21 Correlation distributions of fourth eigenvectors for Bangla vowel perceptual space ..... 41
Figure 3.22 Correlation distributions of fifth eigenvectors for Bangla vowel perceptual space ..... 42
Figure 3.23 Correlation distributions of first eigenvectors of perceptual space members for Bangla vowel. ..... 43
Figure 3.24 Correlation distributions of second eigenvectors of perceptual space members for Bangla vowel ..... 43
Figure 3.25 Correlation distributions of third eigenvectors of perceptual space members for Bangla vowel. ..... 44
Figure 3.26 Correlation distributions of fourth eigenvectors of perceptual space members for Bangla vowel. ..... 44
Figure 3.27 Correlation distributions of fifth eigenvectors of perceptual space members for Bangla vowel. ..... 45
Figure 3.28 The correlation matrices between two vowel spaces ..... 46
Figure 3.29 Acoustic components representation on the plane by two canonical variates. ..... 46

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Average Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel ..... 24
Table 3.2 Average Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla VCV sequences. ..... 25
Table 3.3 Average formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowels ..... 29
Table 3.4 Average formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla VCV sequences ..... 29
Table 3.5 Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel pairs ..... 30
Table 3.6 Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel and VCV sequences ..... 30
Table 3.7 Formant frequency for Bangla vowel ..... 31
Table 3.8 Perceptual vector members for Bangla vowels ..... 32
Table 3.9 Average normalized eigen-value of Bangla vowel perceptual space ..... 33
Table 3.10 Average normalized contributions of perceptual space members in first principal component. ..... 34
Table 3.11 Average normalized contributions of perceptual space members in second principal component. ..... 35
Table 3.12 Average normalized contributions of perceptual space members in third principal component ..... 36
Table 3.13 Average normalized contributions of perceptual space members in fourth principal component ..... 37
Table 3.14 Average normalized contributions of perceptual space members in fifth principal component ..... 38
Table 3.15 Number of salient features for vowel spaces ..... 47
Table 3.16 Canonical correlation coefficient for vowel spaces ..... 47

## Abbreviations

| VV | Vowel-Vowel |
| :--- | :--- |
| VCV | Vowel-Consonant-Vowel |
| LPC | Linear Predictive Coding |
| STFT | Short-time Fourier Transform |
| MFCC | Mel-frequency Cepstral Coefficient |

Dedicated to my beloved Parents...

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

## Chapter Outlines

\author{

* Introduction <br> * Literature review <br> * Objectives of the thesis work <br> * Layout of the thesis work
}


### 1.1 Introduction

Obtaining knowledge by just listening to sounds is a unique feature. It has become a significant objective in the evolvement of species. Most of the animals are not only equipped with the means to capture information from the rich acoustical content of the environment, although they have aptitude to generate sounds to interact with the environment. Human have gone one step more, they have fairly advanced mechanisms that enable communication within the classes by very ideological communication-rules using speech.

Speech consists of the variety pattern of sounds, which is a form of human communication. In today's world, speech processing is becoming more and more popular due to increase in the use of human command dependent devices. Research on speech signals is important in many major research fields, such as speech recognition, linguistics, speech to text transformation, security, mobile communications and many other purposes.

Speech sounds are produced by air pressure vibrations of glottal pulse, which is controlled by the dynamic-shape of vocal-tract and nasal cavities while passing through lips and nasal airways. In modulation perspective, the glottal pulse is modulated by the resonance conditions of the vocal-tract and nasal cavities [1]. Vocal-tract can be approximated as a nonuniform flexible acoustic tube with time-varying cross-sectional areas, which is terminated by vocal-cord at one end and lips and/ or nose at the other [2]-[3]. The vocal-tract shape is changed temporally and spatially according to the speaker's intensions, physical and emotional states [4]-[6]. Very often, the vocal tract is only considered as a filter independent from the glottal source, enhancing the energy of the voice around resonance frequencies of the vocal tract. Like other vowels, vocal-tract shape remains quasi-stationary for Bangla vowel. But in the case of consonantal-constriction, vocal-tract shape is not stationary [7].

Linear predictive coding (LPC) [7]-[8] is an important tool in digital signal processing for predicting the present speech sample as a linear combination of the previous samples. The linear predictive coding filtering coefficients reveal the dynamic-shape of vocal-tract. As sounds are produced by the dynamics of vocal-tract shape, the LPC filtering coefficients will be also time dependent. This analysis will be carried to investigate vocal-tract dynamics for Bangla vowel and VCV (vowel-consonant-vowel) sequences. This research would be important reports as Bangla is the most spoken language in Bangladesh and second most spoken language in India, with about 215 million native and about 233 million total speakers worldwide [9].

This work will also describe speaker invariant perceptual space which of comparatively stationary Bangla vowel by principal component analysis. Speech perception involves the mapping of speech acoustic signals onto linguistic messages (e.g. phonemes, distinctive features, syllabus, words, phrases) [10]. Acoustic cues are captured and stored in sensory memory and mapped onto linguistic information. Perceptual space of vowels is visualized as multidimensional as representation based on the first two formants. If the multi-dimensional acoustical vector consists only the vocal-tract related acoustical features such as formant frequencies, then it will be linguistic content related perception vector [11]. This study also investigates the canonical correlation between the acoustic content of two subjects using canonical correlation [12]. From the vowel consistency it is important to know how much variance or energy of the multidimensional acoustical space of speaker related can be explained by the canonical correlation. This thesis compares the vocal-tract dynamics for Bangla vowel and vowel-consonant-vowel (VCV) sequences and evaluates the consistency of comparatively stable vowel perception spaces consider speaker invariant case.

### 1.2 Literature review

As part of a thesis, the literature review enables to demonstrate the knowledge of previous work in this research field and to situate own research in the context of this work. For this thesis work, some associated research studies are given below:

Brad H. Story represented that a vowel sequence as a time-dependent perturbation of the neutral vocal tract shape governed by coefficients of canonical deformation patterns. Consonants were modeled as superposition functions that can force specific portions of the vocal tract shape to be constricted or expanded, over a specific time course [13].

Öhman's spectrographic analysis of vowel-consonant-vowel (VCV) sequence utterances results suggested that vowels and consonants are generated by two parallel events and both events are taken place in vocal-tract [14].Thus, a VCV is considered to be produced as a vowel-vowel (VV) sequence upon which a consonantal vocal-tract gesture is superimposed.
B. Yegnanarayana and R. N. J. Veldhuis described the vocal-tract system, which is characterized by its formant parameters, which are extracted from the analysis segments. Because the segments are always at the same relative position in each pitch period, in voiced speech the extracted formants are consistent across successive pitch periods [15].

Research on Bangla speech analysis, recognition and synthesis is in a preliminary stage of development. A. Hasnat, Md. R. Karim, Md. S. Rahman and Md. Z. Iqbal tried to present a technique of recognition of Bangla spoken letters. This has led to the excitation of spectral parameters for the production model in order to produce different Bangla vowel sounds [16].
S. A. Hossain, M. L. Rahman, and F. Ahmed tried to characterization of Bangla vowels which determines the spectral properties of Bangla vowels for efficient synthesis as well as recognition of Bangla vowels. Acoustic space of Bangla Vowel based on articulatory properties of vocal tract plays a significant role in Bangla speech synthesis and recognition [17]-[18].
A. K. Paul, D. Das, and Md. M. Kamal focused on recognition of vowel and different phonemes based on spectral analysis, autocorrelation, LPC coefficients, and mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficient [19].

To the best of our knowledge, no research has been done on Bangla vowel and VCV sequence related vocal-tract dynamics and their comparisons, which was our motivation to work on this area.

Over the past 60 years, researchers in speech perception have focused on the mapping between the acoustic properties (i.e. formant frequencies) with perception cues, which is based on first and second formant [20]-[22].
T. M. Nearey work reviewed the problem of perceptual invariance in vowel perception. Here acoustic space is clustered in regions for different vowels. Although a considerable variability in mapping between the clustered regions in acoustic space to vowels have been revealed, clustering is considered as one of the best vowel perception basis [10], [23].

Variability may be the results of characteristics of the speaker, speaking rates, speaking styles, and noise, which are not considered in perception space. Despite this variability, vowels retain their perceived identity in perception space [24]. The listeners perceived linguistic information by identifying the clustered regions in perception space and this model is known as categorical perception [10].

Formation of vowel perception vector is not uniquely defined and a number of reports have been published regarding the vowel perception vector [25]-[27]. In 1973, Matsumoto et. al. urged that fundamental frequency (f0) and first three formant frequencies (F1; F2; F3) were sufficient to account for most of the energy and cues for vowel perception [26]. Fundamental
frequency (f0), word duration, age, and voice qualities based four-dimensional perceptual space is modeled by Walden and his group in 1978 [28]. In addition to fundamental (f0) and formant frequencies (F), more acoustical features such as jitter and shimmer were included in perception space by Kreiman et.al. [29].

Speaker-invariant or speaker-normalized perception space and its factors are very much important for automatic speech reorganization and cochlear implants for deaf persons [30][31]. Although investigators are trying to explore the relationship between linguistic content of speech and listener's perception, but it is not fully understood yet. Most of the studies regarding to vowel perception space related studies are mainly based on English language. Speaker-invariant Bangla vowel perceptual space and its factor analysis will be an important report to automatic Bangla vowel reorganization and the treatment of hearing-impairment Bengali people. Speaker-independent Bangla vowel perceptual space and its factor analysis and acoustical attributes between two subjects are not reported yet. In this work, invariance of Bangla vowel perceptual space has been discussed with principal components considering only the linguistic content as well as vocal-tract dynamics.

### 1.3 Scope \& objectives of the thesis work

The goal of this research is to analyze the vocal-tract dynamics related to Bangla vowel and vowel-consonant-vowel (VCV) sequence. In this study, speaker invariant properties of vowel perceptual space are shown mathematically with the principal components. Next deals with experimentally evaluation of consistency of Bangla vowel perceptual space. Finally, an algorithm is proposed to measure of acoustic content of vowel perceptual spaces between the two subjects utilizing the redundancy of the canonical variates which refers the above mentioned explainable variance. The specific objectives of this thesis are stated below-

- To measure the dispersion of LPC filtering coefficients and formant transitional energy
- To determine the correlation coefficients using two unequal length LPC trajectories
- To study the speaker invariance of comparatively stable Bangla vowel perceptual space with principal components considering only the linguistic content.
- To determine Bangla vowel consistency or linguistic content similarity using the explainable variance of vowel perception spaces using canonical correlation.


### 1.4 Layout of the thesis work

In Chapter 2, fundamental concept of speech sound, speech production, speech perception and mathematical formulation of acoustical properties are described.

In Chapter 3, the result and discussion are obtained from the analysis of the acoustical properties. Firstly, the vocal-tract dynamics for Bangla vowels with and without consonantal constriction are studied using Short-time Fourier transform (STFT). Then speaker invariant principal component for Bangla vowel perceptual space is analyzed. Finally canonical correlation based vowel consistency of acoustical spaces is discussed.

In Chapter 4, the concluding remarks and recommendation for future work are provided.

## CHAPTER 2

## Theoretical Background and Mathematical Formulation

## Chapter Outlines

* Introduction
* Speech production
* Speech perception
* Mathematical formulation
- Acoustic property of vocal-tract
- Formant
- Vocal-tract transitional energy
- Effect of vocal-tract dynamics on acoustical correlation
- Speaker invariant principal component for vowel perceptual space consistency
- Canonical correlation redundancy index for vowel consistency


### 2.1 Introduction

Speech processing contains lots of special concepts and terminology. To realize different speech synthesis, analysis methods and physics of speech production is important. The basic theory of these topics and mathematical formulation will be briefly discussed here.

### 2.2 Speech production

The purpose of speech is communication. Speech signal carries temporal information from speaker to listeners. Speech related information is the key features for voice activity detection, speech recognition, speech-to-text conversion and many other purposes [2]. Vocal organs produce human speech and these are presented in Figure 2.1.


Figure 2.1: Human vocal organ [32]
(1) Nasal cavity, (2) Hard palate, (3) Alveolar ridge, (4) Soft palate (Velum), (5) Tip of the tongue (Apex), (6) Dorsum, (7) Uvula, (8) Radix, (9) Pharynx, (10) Epiglottis, (11) False vocal cords, (12) Vocal cords, (13) Larynx, (14) Esophagus, and (15) Trachea.

The oral cavity is one of the most important parts of the vocal-tract. The oral cavity consists of the palate, the tongue, the lips, the cheeks and the teeth. It has almost fixed dimensions, but its length may be changed slightly by raising or lowering the larynx at one end and soft palate at other end. The movements of its parts change the shape and size of oral cavity. The most flexible part of oral cavity is tongue, which can move forward, up and down and play main role in changing the shape of oral cavity. The lips control mouth opening and speech sound is
radiated. Unlike the oral cavity, the nasal cavity has fixed dimensions and shape. Its length is about 12 cm and volume $60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. The air stream to the nasal cavity is controlled by the soft palate. From the technical point of view, the vocal system may be treated mathematically as a single acoustic tube closed at one end (the glottis) and open at other (the lips) for the purposes of calculating the resonances of the vocal tract.

Speech sounds result from a combination of a source of sound energy (the larynx) modulated by a time-varying transfer function filter (vocal articulators) determined by the shape and size of the vocal tract. This results in a shape spectrum with broadband energy peaks. This model is known as the source-filter model of speech production that is shown in Figure 2.2.


Figure 2.2: A source-filter model of speech production [2]


Figure 2.3: Schematic diagram of Speech production [33]
The source of the non-uniform flexible acoustic tube is airflow pressure $U_{G}$ Vocal-tract which is shown in Fig. 2.3 mechanically. The lung volume (random noise) is charged to subglottal
lung pressure $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s} \text {, which }}$ is applied via the trachea-bronchi network, to the glottal (vocal-cord opening) impedance $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{g}}$. This nonlinear glottal impedance depends upon the glottal flow and area $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$, which in turn depend upon the self-oscillating properties of the vocal cord. The output of the process is glottal pulse $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{G}}$. The vocal cord remains open during vowels and stops consonants production and let the glottal pulse into vocal-tract. In case of unvoice consonant, the vocal-cord remains closed.

The vowel sound is the shaped form of glottal pulse by the resonance conditions of quasistationary vocal-tract [34]. Vocal-tract resonance states move to transient states at imposing and releasing of consonantal constrictions and the duration is short comparing to quasistationary period. The acoustic phenomena, which sustains for short duration, represent the consonantal sound to the listeners [15], [35]. Vowels and consonants are produced by two parallel events and both events occurred in vocal-tract. Thus, a VCV sequence is formed when a consonantal vocal-tract structure is superimposed upon a vowel-vowel (VV) sequence.

### 2.3 Speech perception

This work also deals with the perceptual invariance of comparatively stable acoustic event vowel perception. Speech perception (SP) most commonly refers to the perceptual mapping from the highly variable acoustic speech signal to a linguistic representation, whether it phonemes, diaphones, syllables, or words [10]. Here, speech perception is based on the classification of the acoustic properties of the presented speech signal according to principal component and canonical variates. Human voice is a very important acoustic event as it contains the features of glottal pulse and vocal-tract dynamics [34], [36]. Personal identity and linguistic information are related to glottal pulse and the vocal-tract dynamics respectively. Vocal-tract is modeled by time-varying filter as its shape is dynamically changed according to the linguistic information. The dynamic filtering is usually represented by linear predictive coding (LPC) filtering coefficient or formant frequencies. Formant frequencies are the peak spectral response of the time-varying vocal-tract filter. Considering these facts, the formant trajectories are considered as the representative of linguistic information. Formant related properties such as mean formant frequency, formant dispersion and bandwidth are utilized as phonetic judgments cues for vowel and consonantal
constriction [37]-[38]. The formant trajectories are also called as "acoustic features" of speech.

People have acquired or have been born with slightly different structured vocal-tract that incorporates perturbation in sound production. These perturbations make the speech perception difficult as the perception strongly depends on the regularities of acoustic content. Regularities of multidimensional acoustic content can be evaluated by the inter-subject consistency of the multidimensional acoustical space. The canonical correlation redundancy index (explainable variance) is a measurement of regularity of acoustical content between the two subjects.

### 2.4 Mathematical formulation

This section describes the mathematical model of vocal-tract dynamics, speaker invariant properties of vowel perceptual space and vowel acoustic consistency based on canonical correlation. In order to analyze of vocal-tract dynamics, the dispersion of LPC filtering coefficients and formant transitional energy for Bangla vowels with and without consonantal constriction is focused here. Short-time Fourier transform (STFT) is used to evaluate the spectral components. The correlation coefficients are determined using Fourier coefficient of the two unequal length LPC trajectories. Speaker invariant properties of vowel perceptual space have been shown mathematically with the help of principal components. In case of vowel acoustical consistency, a tool has also been proposed for determination how much energy of one canonical variate can be predicted by its counterpart partner based on the redundancy index. In this section, the expression of canonical correlation redundancy index has also been derived.

### 2.4.1 Acoustic property of vocal-tract

Linear predictive analysis is widely used in speech research due to its ability to produce accurate estimates of the spectral characteristics of speech and its small computational cost. The spectral qualities of sounds can be represented efficiently by linear prediction, using only a low number of parameters. In this work, linear predictive analysis is used to extract formant frequencies from the impulse responses obtained by the vocal tract model, as well as the recorded speech signals. The idea of linear prediction lies in the source-filter model of the speech production and is described well in the reference [9].

In speech production system, vocal-tract acts as a time-dependent acoustical filter which is excited by glottal pulse and output is speech. The time-dependent filtering characteristics can be expressed by the LPC filtering coefficients. Mathematically, vocal-tract characteristics or transfer function can be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\frac{S(z)}{U(z)}=\frac{G}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{p} \alpha_{\mathrm{k}} z^{-k}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $S(z)$ is the speech in $z$ domain, $U(z)$ is the glottal pulse in $z$ domain, G is the gain factor, $p$ is the linear prediction order and $\alpha_{k}$ is the LPC filtering coefficient. The speech samples $s(n)$ can be written by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{p} \alpha_{k} s(n-k)+G u(n) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linear prediction with coefficients $\alpha_{k}$ and order $p$ is a system whose output is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{s}(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{p} \alpha_{k} s(n-k) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system function of this linear predictor in $z$ domain is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{p} \alpha_{k} z^{-k} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The prediction error is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& e(n)=s(n)-\bar{s}(n) \\
& =s(n)-\sum_{k=1}^{p} \alpha_{k} s(n-k) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The error term consists of impulsive glottal pulse with other noises. The coefficients $\alpha_{k}$ are evaluated by minimizing the predication error $e(n)$. The coefficients $\alpha_{k}$ are conventionally named as LPC1, LPC2,.....,LPCn [9].

The dispersion (standard deviation $\mu$ ) of time-dependent LPC filtering coefficients can be determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(L P C_{n}-\overline{L P C}\right)^{2}}{N}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

here, N is the total number of samples, $\overline{L P C}$ the mean value of samples and $L P C_{n}$ the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ value. The dynamic-nature of vocal-tract also changes its resonance frequencies. The resonance frequencies can be estimated from the peak spectral response obtained by using (2.1). The resonance frequencies are also called formant frequencies.

### 2.4.2 Formant

Vowels are produced with a relatively open vocal tract and the airstream is not severely impeded. The resulting acoustic signal is therefore relatively loud. In addition, vowels are usually produced with vocal fold vibration. The primary acoustic characteristic of vowels is the location of the formant frequencies. With vowels, the frequencies of the formants determine which vowel you hear and, in general, are responsible for the differences in quality among different periodic sounds. At any one point in time (as with spectra) there may be any number of formants, but for speech the most informative are the first three, appropriately referred to as F1, F2, and F3. For a given speaker or for a group of speakers with the same vocal-tract length, each vowel is associated with a distinct acoustic formant frequency pattern. As vowel quality changes, the frequency of the third formant does not change nearly as much as that of F1 and F2, with the possible exception of the vowels [ই, ঈ], for which F3 is quite high.

### 2.4.3 Vocal-tract transitional energy

The changing tendency of formant positions also indicates the vocal-tract dynamics during uttering period. In this work, the vocal-tract transitional energy is formulated by local spectral energies of formant trajectories. As the formant trajectories are non-stationary type, shorttime Fourier transform (STFT) is used to obtain transitional energy. The discrete STFT of the formant trajectory can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{v}[n, \Omega]=\sum_{m=1}^{N} F_{v}[n+m] w[m] e^{-j \Omega m} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $A_{v}[n, \Omega]$ is the STFT coefficient and $F_{v}$ the $v^{\text {th }}$ order formant trajectory. $w[m]$ the window function. We are interested in transitional energy and $\Omega=0$ frequency component is neglected here. The total transitional energy of the $v^{\text {th }}$ formant trajectory becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{v}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{\Omega} A_{v}^{2}[n, \Omega] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the all formant trajectories, the average transitional energy can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{v} E_{v} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4.4 Effect of vocal-tract dynamics on acoustical correlation

LPC filtering coefficients refer to acoustic property of vocal-tract at the time of sound production and the correlations among the LPC trajectories indicate the similarity among sounds or phonemes. Transitional nature of LPC trajectories are the results of vocal-tract dynamics constriction. The consonantal-transition of vocal-tract will yield lower valued correlation coefficient with vowel. The cross-correlation coefficients between two sounds have been modeled by the summation of zero-lag cross-correlation of LPC trajectory of the two sounds. Mathematically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{X Y}=\frac{1}{P} \sum_{i}^{P} X_{C}\left(L P C_{X i}, L P C_{Y i}, 0\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $P_{X Y}$ is cross-correlation coefficient between $X$ and $Y$ sounds, $X_{C}$ is the zero-lag crosscorrelation coefficient. Generally, the length of $L P C_{X}$, and $L P C_{Y}$ are different, then the zerolag cross-correlation coefficient has been evaluated using Fourier coefficient and given in (2.11).

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{C}=\frac{\sum F_{x}(w) F_{y}^{*}(w)}{\sqrt{\sum F_{x}(w)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum F_{y}(w)^{2}}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

here, $F_{x}(w)$ and $F_{y}(w)$ are the Fourier coefficients of $L P C_{X}$ and $L P C_{Y}$ respectively. The term $\sum F_{x}(w) F_{y}{ }^{*}(w)$ is the spectral representation of zero-lag cross-correlation between $L P C_{x}$ and $L P C_{Y}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& X \operatorname{Corr}(0)=I F T\left\lfloor F_{x}(w) F_{y}^{*}(w)\right\rfloor \\
& =\sum_{w} F_{x}(w) F_{y} *(w) \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (2.11), the zero-lag cross correlation between two different length sequence can be computed.

### 2.4.5 Speaker invariant principal component for vowel perceptual space consistency

From each Bangla vowel sound, nine-dimensional acoustical vector,
$[V=F i(1 \leq i \leq 5), F 51=\operatorname{disp}(F 5-F 1), F 43=\operatorname{disp}(F 4-F 3), F 53=\operatorname{disp}(F 5-F 3), F 54=\operatorname{disp}(F 5-F 4)]$ has been formed using mean value of the whole utterance duration. Here, the glottal source properties: jitter, shimmer, f 0 have not been considered, as we are interested in only linguistic content. The acoustical vectors of the vowels have been tabulated and the table represents nine-dimensional Bangla vowel perceptual space. Perception vector is the mathematical form of excitation to the listener to recognize the vowel. Portraying the vowel-vectors in lower dimension is convenient for clustering. The measured or observed variables of the perceptual space represent physical phenomena of vowel production, and dimensional reduction technique considering variance or information can be utilized on the multi-dimensional space [39]. The principal components are explained with the eigen-values and eigen-vectors. The eigen-vectors are considered as the coefficients or as the contributions for each observed variable in principal components. The principal components play prominent role in perceptual space clustering according to vowels. The energies and directions of the principal components can be evaluated by determining the eigen-values and eigen-vectors of the column-wise covariance matrix defined in equation (2.13), given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=E\left[V^{T} V\right] \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where T and E refers transpose and expected operators. Mathematically, the eigen-value and eigen-vectors can be written by the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R u=\lambda u \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $u$ and $\lambda$ are eigen-vector and eigen-value respectively. First principal component contains the major information about the perceptual space and the correlations among the first principal components of different perceptual spaces indicate inter-speaker consistency.

For the determination of correlation, first eigen-vector matrix, $U$ considering different perceptual spaces has been formed by the following way:
where, $u_{(i, m)}$ is the contribution of m -th $(m=F 1, F 2, F 3, F 4, F 5, F 51, F 43, F 53, F 54)$ perception vector member for i-th speaker or perceptual space, and N the number of perceptual spaces. Inter-speaker invariance of first principal component can be computed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.c_{1}=E \mid U^{T} U\right\rfloor \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average value of the correlation matrix refers the inter-speaker consistency of the Bangla perceptual space according to first principal component. Consistencies of other principal components can also be calculated similarly. As the perceptual vector is not well established and the speech collection environment is not unified, there is a strong possibility of making weak correlation with the corresponding principal components.

### 2.4.6 Canonical correlation redundancy index

For the redundancy analysis between two speakers the multidimensional acoustic vector, V is reduced to five dimension from nine dimension $\left(\left[V=F_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 5)\right]\right)$. The reasons of eliminating formant dispersion components is making the covariance matrix, $\left.\left(C=E \mid V 1^{T} V 2\right]\right)$ well-conditioned as ill and well condition depends upon the ratio of number of members of the vector and the number of observation [40]. The major variational energies and directions can be determined from the matrices, $\left(C 1=E\left[V 1^{T} V 1\right]\right)$ and $\left(C 2=E\left[V 2^{T} V 2\right]\right)$ by evaluating larger eigen-values and associated eigen-vectors. In general physical system, the energy distributions matrix $\left(\left[\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right]\left[u_{1}\right]^{T}\right)$ and $\left(\left[\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right]\left[u_{2}\right]^{T}\right)$ are the important factors of the
two vowel spaces. The terms $\left[u_{i}\right]$ and $\lambda$ indicates the eigen-vector and eigen-value of the matrices. But, the inter-subject consistency does not depend upon the individual energies; it depends upon the shared or explained variance between the two vowel spaces. Considering these facts, the inter-speaker consistency can be evaluated by determining the shared or explained variance. The shared or explained variance can be determined using canonical correlation technique. The canonical correlation between the acoustic spaces searches the vectors $a_{1} \in A S^{5}$ and $a_{2} \in A S^{5}$ that maximize the following correlation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\max _{a_{1}, a_{2}} \operatorname{corr}\left(V_{1} a_{1}, V_{2} a_{2}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, AS is multidimensional acoustical space, $\rho$ canonical correlation coefficient, $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ the maximal correlated vector pair. These are also called canonical variates for the corresponding spaces. Both speakers related acoustic spaces are five dimensions and we will get five correlation vector pairs with descending order of correlation coefficients $\left.\left.\left(\rho_{1}\right\rangle \rho_{2}\right\rangle \rho_{3}\right)$. The square value of $\rho$ indicates the correlation between the canonical components or variants of a canonical pair. The correlation $\left(\rho^{2}\right)$ is the eigen-value of the following equation system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(C_{11}^{-1} C_{12} C_{22}^{-1} C_{21}-\mu I\right) a_{1}=0  \tag{2.18}\\
& \left(C_{22}^{-1} C_{21} C_{11}^{-1} C_{12}-v I\right) a_{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{11}=E\left[V_{1}^{T} V_{1}\right\rfloor, C_{22}=E\left[V_{2}^{T} V_{2}\right\rfloor$ are the variance matrices, $C_{12}=E\left[V_{1}^{T} V_{2}\right\rfloor$ and $C_{21}=$ $E\left[V_{2}{ }^{T} V_{1}\right]$ are cross-variance matrices, and $\mu=v=\rho^{2}$. The eigen-values are same and equal to correlation of pair $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. The inter-speaker consistency of vowel space depends not only the strong correlations but also the proportion of explained variance or energy of the variants pair. Because, two low energy canonical components may have the higher correlation coefficients. Actually, the canonical correlation gives no information about the energy occupied by the canonical variants pair [41]. The amount of energy can be explained by the loading factor. The loading factor of canonical components can be written by

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=a_{1}^{T} C_{11} \\
& v=a_{2}^{T} C_{22} \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are the loading factors.

In reference [41], a tool has been proposed for determining how much energy of one canonical variate can be predicted by its counterpart partner based on the redundancy index. The redundancy index can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}_{k}=\mu_{k} \frac{1}{5} \sum_{j=1}^{5} u_{j, k}^{2} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathfrak{R}_{k}$ refers to the amount energy of first vowel space predicted by the $k t h$ canonical variants of the second vowel space, $\mu_{k}$ and $u_{j, k}$ are the eigen-value and loading factor, respectively. The total predicted energy by the second vowel space from the first vowel space can be calculated by taking sum of all the canonical components. The total redundancy index is considered as the speaker independent consistency. By considering the fact, the interspeaker vowel space consistency can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
V C=\sum_{k} \mathfrak{R}_{k} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$
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### 3.1 Sound Capturing

For the investigation of acoustical properties of vocal-tract dynamic shape and inter-speaker similarity of Bangla vowel perceptual space, we have captured ten Bangla vowel sounds (অ, আ, ই, ঈ, উ, ঊ, এ, ঔ, ও, ঔ) from fifteen male speakers. Also five VCV (আলো, আমি, ইতি, অনু, উচু) sounds are collected by different male speakers. The sounds are collected from male speaker of age 19 to 23 by using Praat software in communication engineering laboratory at the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering (EEE) in Khulna University of Engineering \& Technology (KUET). Cosonic CT- 863 headphone is used for recording. The speech data was digitized at 44100 Hz sampling frequency and stored as wave format. Typical Bangla vowel waveform and its spectrogram are shown in Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.2.


Figure 3.1: Bangla Vowel signal (অ)


Figure 3.2: Bangla Vowel spectrogram (অ)

### 3.2 Software required

Here, we analyzed the speech using Praat analysis, Matlab and R softwares. We have evaluated the LPC and formant trajectories from the speech sounds using Praat. Standard deviation and correlation of LPC trajectories of vowel and VCV sequences are computed in Matlab. Transitional tendency of formant frequencies are also calculated in Matlab. The principal component of perceptual space is evaluated utilizing R. Vowel consistency based on canonical redundancy index is also determined using R.

### 3.3 Acoustic property of vocal-tract

The $16^{\text {th }}$ order LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowels (অ, আ, ই, ঈ, উ, ঊ, এ, ঔ, ও, ঔ) and VCV sequences are determined every 0.005 second interval using window length 0.025 second. For quick and easy anticipation of 16 LPC trajectories, first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowels and VCV sequences are shown in below Fig 3.3, Fig. 3.4 and Fig. 3.5. LPC trajectories calculated from experimentally captured speech consists of only the vocal-tract states related information not its energized source (glottal pulse). The LPC dispersion related comparisons between vowel and VCV sequence indicates the numerical comparison of vocaltract dynamics. Figure 3.3 shows the LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (অ). From this figure, we can see that vocal-tract shape remains quasi-stationary. For other Bangla vowels, the LPC trajectories are shown in appendix A.


Figure 3.3: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (অ)

On the other hand, from visual observation of Bangla VCV sequences, it is found that more transitions occur during VCV sequence productions. A VCV sequence is formed by a vowelvowel sequence upon which a consonantal-constriction is superimposed and it can be explained by the LPC trajectories shown in Figure 3.4. Higher dispersive LPC trajectories are obtained in the middle due to consonantal-constriction. Similarly for other Bangla VCV sequences, the LPC trajectories are shown in appendix A.


Figure 3.4: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো)

### 3.4 LPC dispersion comparison for Bangla vowel and VCV sequences

Dispersion of LPC trajectory for Bangla vowels (অ, আ, ই, ঈ, উ, ঊ, এ, ঔ, ও, ঔ) and 5 VCV sequences (আলো, আমি, ইতি, অনু, উচু) are determined. For each speaker, we get 10 vowels each having 16 vector points. It is to be mentioned here that the average values of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowels are shown in Table 3.1. Here we can see that the standard deviations for vowel LPC trajectories are found comparatively small in the range of 0.07 to 0.35 . Among the vowels, \অ and \উ have lower-valued and $\backslash ঔ$ has higher-valued standard deviations. From standard-deviation comparisons, it is obvious that $\backslash ঔ$ is the most fricative vowel and \অ and \উ are most sustained vowels among the 10 vowels in Bangla. Vocal-tract dynamic-behavior is the principal factor behind the fricative and sustained nature.

Table 3.1: Average Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel

|  | অ | আ | ই | ॠ | উ | ঊ | コ | ঐ | 3 | ঔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.2382 | 0.2671 | 0.2767 | 0.2864 | 0.1606 | 0.2451 | 0.2615 | 0.2665 | 0.2627 | 0.4044 |
| LPC2 | 0.1568 | 0.186 | 0.1386 | 0.1722 | 0.1677 | 0.1567 | 0.1895 | 0.1972 | 0.1624 | 0.2444 |
| LPC3 | 0.1392 | 0.1557 | 0.1147 | 0.1274 | 0.1045 | 0.1164 | 0.1415 | 0.1369 | 0.159 | 0.1649 |
| LPC4 | 0.1208 | 0.1378 | 0.0974 | 0.1153 | 0.1009 | 0.1097 | 0.1131 | 0.1137 | 0.1415 | 0.126 |
| LPC5 | 0.0936 | 0.097 | 0.0877 | 0.0902 | 0.0972 | 0.1054 | 0.100 | 0.1257 | 0.1031 | 0.1144 |
| LPC6 | 0.0972 | 0.1115 | 0.0865 | 0.0988 | 0.0811 | 0.0774 | 0.1049 | 0.1111 | 0.0845 | 0.0909 |
| LPC7 | 0.0925 | 0.1099 | 0.0925 | 0.0873 | 0.0782 | 0.0772 | 0.1233 | 0.1124 | 0.0862 | 0.0994 |
| LPC8 | 0.0921 | 0.100 | 0.0808 | 0.0857 | 0.0729 | 0.0842 | 0.0836 | 0.0913 | 0.0864 | 0.0906 |
| LPC9 | 0.0904 | 0.0917 | 0.0789 | 0.0858 | 0.0724 | 0.0748 | 0.091 | 0.1036 | 0.083 | 0.0922 |
| LPC10 | 0.0876 | 0.0917 | 0.0932 | 0.0906 | 0.0786 | 0.0775 | 0.0991 | 0.1058 | 0.0909 | 0.1184 |
| LPC11 | 0.0857 | 0.0918 | 0.0925 | 0.091 | 0.0738 | 0.0907 | 0.1066 | 0.0975 | 0.0857 | 0.0976 |
| LPC12 | 0.0728 | 0.0857 | 0.0906 | 0.0873 | 0.0724 | 0.081 | 0.0857 | 0.0954 | 0.0871 | 0.0856 |
| LPC13 | 0.0775 | 0.0827 | 0.0694 | 0.0813 | 0.0684 | 0.0686 | 0.0846 | 0.0823 | 0.0818 | 0.0901 |
| LPC14 | 0.0853 | 0.0844 | 0.0738 | 0.0867 | 0.054 | 0.0658 | 0.0837 | 0.0788 | 0.0918 | 0.0749 |
| LPC15 | 0.0771 | 0.0896 | 0.0668 | 0.0871 | 0.0685 | 0.0698 | 0.0777 | 0.0918 | 0.0748 | 0.0747 |
| LPC16 | 0.0638 | 0.0675 | 0.0686 | 0.0757 | 0.0812 | 0.0684 | 0.0671 | 0.0958 | 0.0655 | 0.0706 |

On the other hand, for VCV sequences, similar procedure is done and wide range of standarddeviation ( $0.10-0.82$ ) is estimated for five speakers and average values of standard deviation are shown in Table 3.2. It indicates the nature of imposed consonantal-constrictions in $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{V}$ sequence. Although the consonantal-constriction sustains for a short time, it increases the standard-deviation more than two times than the most fricative vowel. In both vowels and VCV sequences the higher-valued standard-deviations are observed for first five LPC trajectories.

Table 3.2: Average Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla VCV sequences

|  | आलো | आমি | ইতি | অनू | উচू |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LPC1 | 0.34569 | 0.28591 | 0.65649 | 0.25329 | 0.59686 |
| LPC2 | 0.49436 | 0.52296 | 0.81719 | 0.48509 | 0.63837 |
| LPC3 | 0.56124 | 0.52318 | 0.6583 | 0.5838 | 0.61794 |
| LPC4 | 0.49093 | 0.50803 | 0.4829 | 0.54698 | 0.44831 |
| LPC5 | 0.40083 | 0.40394 | 0.46923 | 0.4524 | 0.31843 |
| LPC6 | 0.35665 | 0.42904 | 0.43927 | 0.41769 | 0.30247 |
| LPC7 | 0.31249 | 0.40955 | 0.35537 | 0.38509 | 0.27078 |
| LPC8 | 0.33835 | 0.36066 | 0.25633 | 0.33371 | 0.29759 |
| LPC9 | 0.26207 | 0.29936 | 0.25473 | 0.30315 | 0.23629 |
| LPC10 | 0.24413 | 0.29576 | 0.26865 | 0.27424 | 0.2789 |
| LPC11 | 0.27568 | 0.28262 | 0.25375 | 0.27892 | 0.2428 |
| LPC12 | 0.23513 | 0.28872 | 0.2662 | 0.2912 | 0.2435 |
| LPC13 | 0.21542 | 0.29693 | 0.23844 | 0.31797 | 0.21645 |
| LPC14 | 0.20256 | 0.27186 | 0.20904 | 0.32707 | 0.19372 |
| LPC15 | 0.18688 | 0.22204 | 0.17172 | 0.24811 | 0.15615 |
| LPC16 | 0.11117 | 0.13919 | 0.10857 | 0.1433 | 0.10098 |

### 3.5 Transitional energy for Bangla vowel and VCV sequences

Formant frequency of the filter defined by LPC trajectories are also evaluated in Praat. The STFT coefficients of formant trajectory have been calculated using the formula shown in (2.7). Here $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ formant trajectories are shown in Figure 3.5, Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7 for Bangla vowel (অ). Similarly, for Bangla VCV sequences, same procedure is done and spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ formant trajectories are shown in Figure 3.8, Figure 3.9, Figure 3.10 for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো). From these analysis, local frequency components having almost ten times intensity are found in formant frequency spectrogram of VCV sequence than vowel. For other Bangla vowels and VCV sequences, spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ trajectories are shown in appendix A.

Spectrogram of F1


Figure 3.5: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (অ)


Figure 3.6: Spectrogram of $2^{\text {nd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (অ)

Spectrogram of F3


Figure 3.7: Spectrogram of $3^{\text {rd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (অ)


Figure 3.8: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো)


Figure 3.9: Spectrogram of $2^{\text {nd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো)


Figure 3.10: Spectrogram of $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো)

The average transitional energy is calculated by using (2.9) for vowels and VCV sequences uttered by five different speakers. The average transitional energies for vowels and VCV sequences are tabulated in above Table 3.3 and Table 3.4. Considering all five speakers, average formant transitional energy is also ten times higher for VCV sequences due to its consonantal-constriction in vocal-tract. The transitional energies for 10 vowels and 5 VCV sequences are tabulated in appendix B.

Table 3.3: Average formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowels

| Name of <br> vowels | Variation tendency |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |
| অ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.61 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.00 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.12 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| आ | $1.97 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.46 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.06 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| ই | $4.13 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.58 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.12 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.75 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| ঈ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.35 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.88 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.37 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.85 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| উ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.44 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.99 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.92 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| ঊ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.87 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.04 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| $এ$ | $7.69 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.70 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.14 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.64 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.07 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| $ঐ$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.87 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.94 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.99 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.84 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| 3 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.12 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.06 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.88 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.90 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Э | $2.30 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.74 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.78 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.88 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.61 \mathrm{E}+05$ |

Table 3.4: Average formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla VCV sequences

| Name of <br> VCVs | Variation tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |  |
| आমি | $1.32 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.27 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.42 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.25 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| ইতি | $1.59 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.92 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.88 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| অनू | $1.33 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.63 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.72 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.17 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| উচু | $2.37 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.01 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.66 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.01 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |

### 3.6 Correlation among acoustic property of Bangla vowel pairs and VCV sequence

To observe the relation among the acoustic property of Bangla vowel, cross-correlation pairs are calculated for each vowel. The correlations of vowels are calculated using (2.10). The correlation coefficient matrix for Bangla vowel pairs is shown in Table 3.5. From these table, it is seen that the values of correlation coefficients among the Bangla vowels is greater than 0.25 . So, here is a strong correlation between the acoustic properties of Bangla vowels. Vowels pairs (অ-আ, আ-এ, ই-ঈ, ই-ঐ, ঈ-এ, ঈ-ヤ, উ-ঊ, ঔ-ও) have higher acoustical similarity. The correlations of vowels and VCV sequences are also calculated and shown in Table 3.6. The average value of correlation coefficient for vowels and VCV sequences are 0.1538 where the average value for vowel-vowel is 0.6516 . On average, the consonantal-constriction related vocal-tract dynamics degrade the acoustical similarity 4.23 times. For different
speakers, the correlations of vowels and VCV sequences are also calculated and shown in appendix B.

Table 3.5: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel pairs

|  | অ | আ | ই | ॠ | উ | ঊ | এ | § | 3 | ঔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| আ | 0.566 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ই | 0.712 | 0.694 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ঈ | 0.524 | 0.543 | 0.485 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| উ | 0.758 | 0.696 | 0.649 | 0.701 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ঊ | 0.648 | 0.691 | 0.544 | 0.621 | 0.515 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\Omega$ | 0.621 | 0.622 | 0.524 | 0.567 | 0.394 | 0.446 | 1 |  |  |  |
| § | 0.586 | 0.599 | 0.496 | 0.503 | 0.414 | 0.454 | 0.570 | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.695 | 0.664 | 0.574 | 0.569 | 0.468 | 0.573 | 0.610 | 0.539 | 1 |  |
| ঔ | 0.649 | 0.580 | 0.569 | 0.540 | 0.443 | 0.503 | 0.605 | 0.552 | 0.568 | 1 |

Table 3.6: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowels and VCV sequences

|  | आलো | आমি | ইতি | অनू | উচू |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 0.181 | 0.163 | 0.146 | 0.130 | 0.215 |
| আ | 0.159 | 0.139 | 0.147 | 0.118 | 0.192 |
| ই | 0.180 | 0.166 | 0.140 | 0.129 | 0.214 |
| ঋ | 0.165 | 0.152 | 0.151 | 0.120 | 0.198 |
| উ | 0.171 | 0.149 | 0.140 | 0.120 | 0.202 |
| ঊ | 0.173 | 0.153 | 0.147 | 0.122 | 0.199 |
| $এ$ | 0.148 | 0.144 | 0.155 | 0.107 | 0.171 |
| $ঐ$ | 0.155 | 0.132 | 0.139 | 0.118 | 0.183 |
| 3 | 0.170 | 0.152 | 0.144 | 0.119 | 0.191 |
| ঔ | 0.143 | 0.130 | 0.138 | 0.105 | 0.170 |

### 3.7 Perceptual space consistency for Bangla vowels by principal components

When identifying dissimilar sounds such as human vowels, the ears are most sensitive to peaks in the signal spectrum. These resonant peaks in the spectrum are called formants. Each vowel has different formant frequencies. Furthermore, every human being has his/her unique formant frequencies. Five formant trajectories of ten Bangla vowels (অ, আ, ই, ঈ, উ, ঊ, $এ, Ð, 3$, ) are determined using Praat script setting window length 0.025 s. Figure 3.11 shows the formant tracks of the Bangla vowel. The Table 3.7 shows the first five formant frequencies of Bangla vowel sound.


Figure 3.11: Formant contour for vowel transitions
Table 3.7: Formant frequency for Bangla vowel

| Time (s) | Formant Frequency |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |
| 0.025 | 692.27 | 1046.44 | 2826.67 | 3582.8 | 4211.47 |
| 0.031 | 693.8 | 1053.38 | 2875 | 3583.48 | 4186.2 |
| 0.038 | 696.71 | 1058.47 | 2937.12 | 3585.32 | 4145.85 |
| 0.044 | 697.22 | 1057.4 | 2963.44 | 3585.45 | 4128.62 |
| 0.050 | 698.98 | 1057.46 | 2964.89 | 3595.96 | 4159.64 |
| 0.056 | 704.08 | 1060.51 | 2937.22 | 3610.37 | 4194.39 |
| 0.063 | 708.81 | 1062.31 | 2898.01 | 3609.82 | 4169.4 |
| 0.069 | 707.6 | 1056.98 | 2886.92 | 3607.9 | 4143.49 |
| 0.075 | 701.31 | 1052.5 | 2854.54 | 3616.32 | 4155.98 |
| 0.081 | 698.53 | 1055.65 | 2839.77 | 3627.13 | 4175.16 |
| 0.188 | 698.89 | 1059.3 | 2863.5 | 3620.76 | 4162.3 |

For each speaker, nine-dimensional vowel perceptual space, V is formed considering the ten vowels. The Table 3.8 shows the perception vectors of Bangla several vowels.

Table 3.8: Perceptual vector members for Bangla vowels

| Bangla | Perception Vectors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vowels | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F51 | F43 | F53 | F54 |
| অ( a) | 363.74 | 1213.92 | 2515.93 | 3541.81 | 4720.88 | 295.09 | 268.45 | 315.73 | 256.82 |
| आ( a$)$ | 293.61 | 1177.71 | 2341.8 | 3458.62 | 4612.41 | 387.19 | 240.84 | 332.62 | 267.98 |
| ই (i) | 290.71 | 1522.83 | 2761.31 | 3616.7 | 4784.75 | 414.4 | 380.95 | 385.89 | 326.37 |
| \# (î) | 225.26 | 1475.6 | 2611.15 | 3534.57 | 4650.02 | 342.91 | 385.46 | 423.85 | 288.26 |
| উ (u) | 198.07 | 928.25 | 2115.67 | 3337.13 | 4472.47 | 358.35 | 308.96 | 294.8 | 186.5 |
| \#(û) | 222.4 | 1151 | 2385 | 3511.63 | 4651.07 | 317.37 | 244.42 | 279.48 | 210.61 |
| $এ(\mathrm{e})$ | 332.33 | 1391.13 | 2540.85 | 3491.83 | 4632.12 | 476.79 | 257.63 | 399.35 | 339.82 |
| $\bigcirc(\mathrm{ai})$ | 330.8 | 1385.68 | 2496.08 | 3551.76 | 4729.99 | 297.37 | 212.4 | 228.76 | 212.83 |
| 3 (ô) | 295.66 | 1147.66 | 2487.2 | 3545.64 | 4674.17 | 257.3 | 245.63 | 262.87 | 243.77 |
| ঔ (au) | 261.2 | 1200.92 | 2456.47 | 3509.51 | 4692.53 | 342.64 | 253.7 | 283.07 | 244.52 |

The energies and directions of the principal components are evaluated applying the"Eigen" function of statistical software R on the acoustic covariance matrix given in equation (2.13). Normalized energies or eigen-values $\mu_{i}$ are calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}=\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Normalized eigen-value profiles of vowel perceptual space for the fifteen speakers are evaluated and shown in Figure 3.12. Here," $S$ " refers the speaker and the number indicates speaker identity. Normalized first and second eigen-energy ranges are 0.87634-0.51354 and $0.3133-0.0627$ respectively. Normalized third and fourth eigen-energy ranges are 0.12970.0263 and0.0544-0.0175. Normalized fifth and sixth energies are comparatively lower than first and second eigen- energies. Average eigen-value profile is also determined and tabulated in Table 3.9.


Figure 3.12: Normalized eigen-value profiles for different Speakers
Table 3.9: Average normalized eigen-value of Bangla vowel perceptual space

| Eigen number | Mean normalized eigen-value $\left(\mu_{i}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.708535265 |
| 2 | 0.18116946 |
| 3 | 0.061469749 |
| 4 | 0.027744544 |
| 5 | 0.012726587 |
| 6 | 0.005378277 |
| 7 | 0.002105023 |
| 8 | 0.000672229 |
| 9 | 0.000198867 |

The summation of first and second eigen-values is 0.88969 and the acceptable limit of the eigen-value summation is 0.800 [11]. Here, first two principal components consist of sufficient eigen-energy or information of Bangla vowel perceptual space.
First eigen-vectors for fifteen perceptual spaces with the contributions of perceptual vector members are shown in Figure 3.13. The contributions of F2, F3, and F4 in first eigen-vector are comparatively higher than the other members of perception space. The average normalized contributions of the members in first principal component are tabulated in Table 3.10 .


Figure 3.13: First eigen-vectors of fifteen Bangla vowel perceptual spaces
Table 3.10: Average normalized contributions of perceptual space members in first principal component

| Perception Space <br> Members | Average <br> Normalized <br> Contributions | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | -0.04069 | 0.173216 |
| F2 | -0.48342 | 0.581859 |
| F3 | -0.31868 | 0.322552 |
| F4 | -0.12459 | 0.116682 |
| F5 | 0.044767 | 0.277094 |
| F51 | 0.028469 | 0.134936 |
| F43 | 0.035678 | 0.145566 |
| F53 | -0.06928 | 0.242016 |
| F54 | -0.06614 | 0.136543 |

Similarly, the second principal component which consists of $18.11 \%$ average variance of the perceptual space are also evaluated and shown in Figure 3.14. Comparative inconsistencies in contributions are found from the graphical representation of second eigen-vectors with respect to first. The average contributions of perceptual vector members is also determined taking mean value of second eigen-vectors and provided in Table 3.11. The maximum contribution -0.08763 ) is obtained from F5. Except F5, the average contribution of other
perceptual vector members is not significant as second principal components are randomly distributed and do not exhibits consistencies like first principal components.


Figure 3.14: Second eigen-vectors of fifteen Bangla vowel perceptual spaces
Table 3.11: Average normalized contributions of perceptual space members in second principal component

| Perception <br> Space Members | Average <br> Normalized <br> Contributions | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 0.045287 | 0.324352 |
| F2 | -0.05246 | 0.411438 |
| F3 | -0.0647 | 0.299894 |
| F4 | -0.08736 | 0.208738 |
| F5 | -0.08763 | 0.488611 |
| F51 | -0.02508 | 0.290571 |
| F43 | 0.000155 | 0.229518 |
| F53 | -0.06165 | 0.36109 |
| F54 | -0.05393 | 0.232662 |
| F54 | -0.05393 | 0.232662 |



Figure 3.15: Third eigen-vectors of fifteen Bangla vowel perceptual spaces
Table 3.12: Average normalized contributions of perceptual space members in third principal component

| Perception <br> Space <br> Members | Average <br> Normalized <br> Contributions | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | -0.03746 | 0.428607 |
| F2 | -0.02916 | 0.288703 |
| F3 | 0.038708 | 0.407271 |
| F4 | -0.09051 | 0.38393 |
| F5 | -0.04091 | 0.349833 |
| F51 | 0.083102 | 0.337568 |
| F43 | 0.041815 | 0.247786 |
| F53 | 0.123703 | 0.226832 |
| F54 | 0.158999 | 0.27308 |



Figure 3.16: Fourth eigen-vectors of fifteen Bangla vowel perceptual spaces
Table 3.13: Average normalized contributions of perceptual space members in fourth principal component

| Perception Space <br> Members | Average Normalized <br> Contributions | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 0.01940 | 0.33267 |
| F2 | 0.11454 | 0.29812 |
| F3 | -0.10914 | 0.43432 |
| F4 | 0.05335 | 0.20398 |
| F5 | -0.15281 | 0.38756 |
| F51 | -0.00068 | 0.28913 |
| F43 | -0.24329 | 0.29417 |
| F53 | -0.11498 | 0.28159 |
| F54 | 0.07898 | 0.24534 |



Figure 3.17: Fifth eigen-vectors of fifteen Bangla vowel perceptual spaces
Table 3.14: Average normalized contributions of perceptual space members in fifth principal component

| Perception Space <br> Members | Average <br> Normalized <br> Contributions | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 0.28065 | 0.32683 |
| F2 | -0.00499 | 0.19787 |
| F3 | 0.04805 | 0.29788 |
| F4 | -0.02240 | 0.39313 |
| F5 | -0.11618 | 0.29018 |
| F51 | 0.01271 | 0.33609 |
| F43 | -0.07565 | 0.38098 |
| F53 | 0.13163 | 0.26297 |
| F54 | 0.02690 | 0.27293 |

The correlations among the first eigen-vectors of different perceptual spaces indicate similarity. With higher correlation indicates the information consistency or inter-speaker similarity of the perception space. The correlation matrix of first eigen-vector is evaluated using (2.15) are (2.16) and shown in Figure 3.18. Comparatively higher-valued correlations are found from the matrix and the average value of the correlation is 0.6851 . Thus, numerical value of inter-speaker similarity is $68.51 \%$ for first eigen-vector of Bangla vowel perceptual space.

|  | ¢ | 历 | ¢ | ङ | 4 | ¢ | © | $\infty$ | (\%) | $\stackrel{\odot}{\omega}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\infty}$ | $\frac{m}{\infty}$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 1 | 0.91 | 0.16 | -0.72 | 0.83 | 0.87 | -0.86 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.53 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.46 |  |
| S2 | 0.91 | 1 |  | -0.49 | 0.7 | 0.78 | -0.88 | 0.53 | 0.6 | 0.66 | 0.37 | 0.96 | 0.78 | 0.91 | 0.36 | 0.8 |
| S3 | 0.16 |  | 1 | -0.65 | 0.48 | 0.23 |  | 0.62 | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.73 |  | 0.32 | -0.2 | -0.22 |  |
| S4 | -0.72 | 0.49 | -0.65 | 1 | -0.77 | -0.74 | 0.65 | -0.88 | 0.88 | 0.87 | -0.69 | -0.56 | -0.75 | -0.47 | 0.16 | 0.6 |
| S5 | 0.83 | 0.7 | 0.48 | -0.77 | 1 | 0.89 | -0.78 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.77 | 0.92 | 0.7 | 0.39 | 0.4 |
| S6 | 0.87 | 0.78 | 0.23 | -0.74 | 0.89 | 1 | -0.92 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.72 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.83 | 0.31 |  |
| S7 | -0.86 | -0.88 |  | 0.65 | -0.78 | -0.92 | 1 | -0.67 | -0.77 | 0.87 | -0.54 | 0.93 | -0.79 | -0.86 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| S8 | 0.77 | 0.53 | 0.62 | -0.88 | 0.92 | 0.88 | -0.67 | 1 | 0.96 | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.64 | 0.91 | 0.57 | 0.3 | 0 |
| S9 | 0.77 | 0.6 | 0.51 | -0.88 | 0.89 | 0.93 | -0.77 | 0.96 | 1 | 0.97 | 0.81 | 0.71 | 0.88 | 0.6 | 0.22 |  |
| S10 | 0.77 | 0.66 | 0.42 | -0.87 | 0.86 | 0.94 | -0.87 | 0.89 | 0.97 | 1 | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.83 | 0.64 | 0.13 | -0.2 |
| S11 | 0.53 | 0.37 | 0.73 | -0.69 | 0.87 | 0.72 | -0.54 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 1 | 0.42 | 0.72 | 0.31 |  | -0.4 |
| S12 | 0.92 | 0.96 |  | -0.56 | 0.77 | 0.88 | -0.93 | 0.64 | 0.71 | 0.76 | 0.42 | 1 | 0.84 | 0.96 | 0.48 |  |
| 513 | 0.94 | 0.78 | 0.32 | -0.75 | 0.92 | 0.93 | -0.79 | 0.91 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.72 | 0.84 | 1 | 0.83 | 0.48 | -0.6 |
| S14 | 0.91 | 0.91 | -0.2 | -0.47 | 0.7 | 0.83 | -0.86 | 0.57 | 0.6 | 0.64 | 0.31 | 0.96 | 0.83 | 1 | 0.57 | -0.8 |
| S15 | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.22 | -0. | 0.39 | 0.31 |  | 0.3 | 0.22 | 0.13 |  | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.57 | 1 |  |

Figure 3.18: Correlation distributions of first eigen-vectors for Bangla vowel perceptual space
To determine the numerical consistency of second principal components throughout the fifteen perceptual spaces, the correlation matrix of second eigen-vectors is also evaluated and shown in Figure 3.19. Comparatively lower-level correlations are observed in the correlation map for the second eigen-vector. The average value of correlation is 0.4711 which is lower than the average of first eigen-vector (0.6851). Hence, Bangla vowel perceptual space, higher variance or information related component is more consistent. The results assure that during perceptual mapping of Bangla vowels, we have to set more concentration or weight on first principal component comparing to second.


Figure 3.19: Correlation distributions of second eigen-vectors for Bangla vowel perceptual space
Similarly, lower-level correlations are observed in the correlation map for the third eigenvector and shown in Figure 3.20. The average value of correlation is 0.3850 which is lower than the average of first eigen-vector and second eigen-vector. In the same way, the correlation matrix of fourth eigen-vector and fifth eigen-vector are determined and shown in Figure 3.21 and Figure 3.22 respectively. Their average value is 0.3659 and 0.3315 . So, the numerical value of inter-speaker similarity is $36.59 \%$ and $33.15 \%$ for fourth eigen-vector and fifth eigen-vector of Bangla vowel perceptual space.


Figure 3.20: Correlation distributions of third eigen-vectors for Bangla vowel perceptual space


Figure 3.21: Correlation distributions of fourth eigen-vectors for Bangla vowel perceptual space


Figure 3.22: Correlation distributions of fifth eigen-vectors for Bangla vowel perceptual space
On the other hand, to determine the numerical consistency of first principal component throughout the nine perceptual space members, the correlation matrix of first eigen-vectors is computed and shown in Figure 3.23. The average value is 0.4717 . Similarly, lower-level correlations are observed in the correlation map for the second eigen-vectors. The average value of correlation is 0.4322 for the second eigen-vectors of perceptual space members and shown in Figure 3.24. Correspondingly, the correlation matrix of third, fourth and fifth eigenvectors are also determined and shown in Figure 3.25, Figure 3.26 and Figure 3.27 respectively. Their average values are $0.3761,0.3272$, and 0.3061 . That result reveals that during perceptual mapping of Bangla space members, more concentration or weight have to set on first principal component that others principal component.

| F1 | 1 |  | 0.37 | 0.62 | 0.34 | -0.79 | -0.55 | -0.57 | -0.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 |  | 1 | 0.74 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.21 |  | 0.28 | 0.33 |
| F3 | 0.37 | 0.74 | 1 | 0.63 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |
| F4 | 0.62 | 0.35 | 0.63 | 1 | 0.78 | -0.52 | -0.36 | -0.29 |  |
| F5 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.78 | 1 | -0.48 | -0.29 | -0.31 |  |
| F51 | -0.79 | 0.21 |  | -0.52 | -0.48 | 1 | 0.73 | 0.79 | 0.66 |
| F43 | -0.55 |  |  | -0.36 | -0.29 | 0.73 | 1 | 0.73 | 0.47 |
| F53 | -0.57 | 0.28 |  | -0.29 | -0.31 | 0.79 | 0.73 | 1 | 0.83 |
| F54 | -0.5 | 0.33 |  |  |  | 0.66 | 0.47 | 0.83 | 1 |

Figure 3.23: Correlation distributions of first eigen-vectors of perceptual space members for Bangla vowel

|  | 파 | 판 | 파 | 파 | ~10 | ¢ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{4}}$ | $\stackrel{3}{4}$ | 岕 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 1 |  | 0.33 | 0.6 |  | -0.21 | -0.6 | -0.41 | -0.31 |  |
| F2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |
| F3 | 0.33 |  | 1 | 0.6 | 0.53 |  | -0.34 |  |  | 0.4 |
| F4 | 0.6 |  | 0.6 | 1 | 0.61 | -0.23 | -0.61 | -0.36 | -0.22 | 0.2 |
| F5 |  |  | 0.53 | 0.61 | 1 | -0.26 | -0.52 | -0.5 | -0.26 | 0 |
| F51 | -0.21 | 0.26 |  | -0.23 | -0.26 | 1 | 0.52 | 0.77 | 0.9 | -0.2 |
| F43 | -0.6 |  | -0.34 | -0.61 | -0.52 | 0.52 | 1 | 0.79 | 0.54 | -0.4 |
| F53 | -0.41 |  |  | -0.36 | -0.5 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 1 | 0.88 |  |
| F54 | -0.31 |  |  | -0.22 | -0.26 | 0.9 | 0.54 | 0.88 | 1 |  |

Figure 3.24: Correlation distributions of second eigen-vectors of perceptual space members for Bangla vowel

|  | 판 | ㅍ | 파 | 판 | ~ | 픈 | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{3}}$ | H | 㒴 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 1 | -0.3 |  | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.37 | -0.53 |  | 0.48 |  |
| F2 | -0.3 | 1 | -0.76 | -0.71 |  |  | -0.28 | -0.42 | -0.39 |  |
| F3 |  | -0.76 | 1 | 0.63 |  | -0.3 | 0.28 | 0.19 |  | 0.4 |
| F4 | 0.33 | -0.71 | 0.63 | 1 |  |  |  | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.2 |
| F5 | 0.42 |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 | -0.26 |  | 0.21 | 0 |
| F51 | 0.37 |  | -0.3 |  | 0.3 | 1 | -0.44 |  | 0.56 | -0.2 |
| F43 | -0.53 | -0.28 | 0.28 |  | -0.26 | -0.44 | 1 | 0.48 |  | -0.4 |
| F53 |  | -0.42 | 0.19 | 0.38 |  |  | 0.48 | 1 | 0.6 | -0.6 |
| F54 | 0.48 | -0.39 |  | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.56 |  | 0.6 | 1 |  |

Figure 3.25: Correlation distributions of third eigen-vectors of perceptual space members for Bangla vowel

|  | 픈 | ㅍ | 판 | 판 | [10 | 눈 | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{7}}$ | \% | 岕 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 1 |  |  | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.27 |  |
| F2 |  | 1 | -0.66 | -0.26 |  | -0.27 |  |  | -0.26 |  |
| F3 |  | -0.66 | 1 |  |  |  | -0.31 |  |  | 0.4 |
| F4 | 0.29 | -0.26 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| F5 | 0.18 |  |  |  | 1 | 0.63 | 0.46 | 0.18 |  | 0 |
| F51 | 0.31 | -0.27 |  |  | 0.63 | 1 | 0.45 | 0.41 | 0.24 | -0.2 |
| F43 | 0.33 |  | -0.31 |  | 0.46 | 0.45 | 1 | 0.45 |  | -0.4 |
| F53 | 0.38 |  |  |  | 0.18 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 1 | 0.63 | -0.6 |
| F54 | 0.27 | -0.26 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.24 |  | 0.63 | 1 |  |

Figure 3.26: Correlation distributions of fourth eigen-vectors of perceptual space members for Bangla vowel


Figure 3.27: Correlation distributions of fifth eigen-vectors of perceptual space members for Bangla vowel

### 3.8 Vowel consistency by canonical correlation

The canonical correlation between the two subjects of acoustical content has been determined for the vowel consistency using the CCA package [42] in R software environment [43]. The reason for reducing five dimensions from nine dimensions has been discussed in mathematical formulation. The canonical correlation is associated with the correlations and cross-correlations of the inter-speaker consistency in acoustic spaces. As a preliminary step, the correlation matrices have been evaluated for the two vowel spaces. The correlation matrices are presented by concatenating speaker related of acoustic spaces by

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{11} & C_{12}  \tag{4.2}\\
C_{21} & C_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In Figure 3.28, the off-diagonal sections ( $C_{12}$ and $C_{21}$ ) are the cross-correlation components and the brighter and darker colors represent positive and negative correlation. Comparatively faded color ( 0 in color bar) indicates the insignificant correlation. For the acoustic vector element-wise description subscripts 1 and 2 define the first and second speaker members of acoustic elements. The noticeable positive correlations are $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{F}_{3}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right)$, and $\left(\mathrm{F} 5, \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right)$ for first and sixth speaker which is shown in Fig 4.62 (a). Similarly, for third and tenth speaker, the positive correlation is only $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{3}\right)$ and negative correlations is $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$.

(a) Between first and sixth speaker

(b) Between third and tenth speaker

Figure 3.28: The correlation matrices between two vowel spaces (speakers)
The canonical variates are arranged according to their canonical correlation coefficients. As first and second canonical variates are highly correlated comparing to others, the acoustic vector elements are represented in first and second canonical variates plane in Figure 3.29. The red-regular and green-italic letters refers the number of first and second vowel spaces (speakers). The acoustic components are projected same directions and greater distances from the origin have stronger correlations. The inner and outer circles in Figure 3.29 are called correlation circles of radius 0.5 and 1.0 respectively.


Figure 3.29: Acoustic components representation on the plane by two canonical variates

The salient acoustic features are located between these two circumferences. The numbers of salient acoustic features are 4,7 , and 9 between the first and third, first and sixth and second and third respectively and shown in Table 3.15.

Table 3.15: Number of salient features for vowel spaces

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 4 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 10 |  |  |
| 14 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 |  |
| 15 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 10 |

The canonical correlation coefficient indicates the proportion of variance of a pair canonical variate predictable from other member of the pair. In other words, the five dimensional canonical correlation coefficient refers the energy or variance redundancy of the variate pair. The canonical correlation coefficients for the vowel spaces are given in Table 3.16.

Table 3.16: Canonical correlation coefficient for vowel spaces (speakers)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.72 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.53 | 0.77 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.76 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.75 | 0.44 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.49 | 0.73 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.44 | 0.61 | 0.74 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.76 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.81 | 0.60 | 0.88 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0.85 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 0.64 | 0.88 | 0.60 | 0.64 | 0.82 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0.82 | 0.70 | 0.78 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.43 | 0.78 | 0.86 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.35 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 0.87 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.60 | 0.79 | 0.52 | 0.70 | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.69 | 0.80 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0.74 | 0.80 | 0.69 | 0.29 | 0.79 | 0.67 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.76 | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.82 | 1.00 |  |  |
| 14 | 0.78 | 0.89 | 0.67 | 0.46 | 0.85 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 1.00 |  |
| 15 | 0.64 | 0.69 | 0.60 | 0.51 | 0.77 | 0.62 | 0.63 | 0.79 | 0.82 | 0.71 | 0.61 | 0.89 | 0.83 | 0.53 | 1.00 |
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### 4.1 Conclusion

In this study, at first Bangla vowels and VCV sequences are analyzed considering the acoustical features related to vocal-tract dynamics during uttering. Several research works have been done in speech perception field in the past, which are based on formant frequency and its dispersion. The LPC filtering coefficients provide important information about the vocal-tract dynamics as well as vowel and consonantal acoustic cues. So, the dynamic-shape of vocal-tract is main factors for VCV production, the statistical moments of LPC trajectories are the important cues for VCV recognition. It is observed that from LPC filtering coefficients dispersion perspective, \অ and \উ are most stable vowels and \ঔ is the most fricative vowel. Consonantal-constriction on V-V sequence gesture accelerates the transitional state of vocal-tract more than two-time than the most fricative vowel. Formant transitional energy is found more than ten times higher for VCV sequence with respect to vowel. The consonantal-transition of vocal-tract reduces the acoustical correlation with vowel 4.23 times on an average.

In speech perception, nine-dimensional Bangla vowel perceptual spaces have been formed considering linguistic information of ten vowels. Average eigen-value profile of principal components is evaluated considering perceptual spaces of fifteen speakers. It is noticed that first and second eigen-values are comparatively higher than the next eigen-values profile. From these values, we can see that summation of first and second eigen-values is $88.97 \%$, which is higher than acceptable limit ( $80 \%$ ) and this indicates that these two components are sufficient to represent the information of Bangla vowel perceptual space. Speaker independency has been modeled using correlation of corresponding eigen-vectors of fifteen perceptual spaces. The average correlations of first and second eigen-vectors are 0.6853 and 0.4711 respectively which is higher than others eigen-vector. So, in perceptual mapping with vowels, more concentration or weight have to set on first principal component, which consists $70.853 \%$ information. In first principal component, the average contributions of F2, F3, and F4 are significant, but in second principal component only F5 contributes significantly. As the second eigen-vectors are comparatively less invariant, the average contributions become negligible. On the other hand, the canonical correlation between speakers related of acoustical content has been developed using the redundancy of the canonical variates for vowel consistency. The average canonical correlation coefficient is 0.74 for vowel spaces whereas maximum correlation coefficient is 1 . These salient features play the principle roles in enhancing the acoustic similarity.

### 4.2 Recommendation of future work

The future research related to this work can be carried out as:

- Morphed Bangla vowel and VCV sequences will be produced and analyzed.
- Recognition of morphed sounds on the basis of the statistical developed in this research work.
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## Appendix A



Figure A-1: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (অ)


Figure A-2: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (আ)


Figure A-3: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (ই)


Figure A-4: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (ঈ)


Figure A-5: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (উ)


Figure A-6: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (ঊ)


Figure A-7: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel ( $\Omega$ )


Figure A-8: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (§)


Figure A-9: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (3)


Figure A-10: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla vowel (ঔ)


Figure A-11: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla VCV sequence (আলে)


Figure A-12: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla VCV sequence (আমি)


Figure A-13: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla VCV sequence (ইতি)


Figure A-14: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla VCV sequence (অनু)


Figure A-15: Evaluated first three LPC trajectories for Bangla VCV sequence (উচু)


Figure A-16: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (অ)

Spectrogram of F2


Figure A-17: Spectrogram of $2^{\text {nd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (অ)


Figure A-18: Spectrogram of $3^{\text {rd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (অ)


Figure A-19: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (আ)


Figure A-20: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (ই)

Spectrogram of F1


Figure A-21: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (ঈ)

Spectrogram of F1


Figure A-22: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (উ)


Figure A-23: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (ঊ)


Figure A-24: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel ( ()

Spectrogram of F1


Figure A-25: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel ( ()


Figure A-26: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (3)


Figure A-27: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla vowel (ঔ)


Figure A-28: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো)


Figure A-29: Spectrogram of $2^{\text {nd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (আলে)


Figure A-30: Spectrogram of $3^{\text {rd }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (আলে)

Spectrogram of F1


Figure A-31: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (আমি)


Figure A-32: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (ইতি)


Figure A-33: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (অनू)


Figure A-34: Spectrogram of $1^{\text {st }}$ formant trajectory for Bangla VCV sequence (উচু)

## Appendix－B

Table B－1：Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel（speaker 1）

|  | অ | আ | 〒 | ॠ | উ | ঊ | $এ$ | $ঐ$ | ふ | $ঔ$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.1790 | 0.1713 | 0.1388 | 0.1118 | 0.0711 | 0.1957 | 0.2244 | 0.0721 | 0.2056 | 0.2480 |
| LPC2 | 0.1514 | 0.2180 | 0.1345 | 0.1110 | 0.0874 | 0.0948 | 0.2408 | 0.0937 | 0.1218 | 0.1812 |
| LPC3 | 0.1201 | 0.1250 | 0.1059 | 0.0855 | 0.0601 | 0.1325 | 0.1302 | 0.1519 | 0.1880 | 0.1210 |
| LPC4 | 0.0960 | 0.1279 | 0.1035 | 0.1003 | 0.0622 | 0.0705 | 0.1239 | 0.0789 | 0.0794 | 0.1197 |
| LPC5 | 0.1059 | 0.1208 | 0.0753 | 0.1095 | 0.0723 | 0.1233 | 0.1421 | 0.2161 | 0.0864 | 0.0917 |
| LPC6 | 0.1009 | 0.1347 | 0.1056 | 0.1027 | 0.0894 | 0.0906 | 0.1605 | 0.1071 | 0.0851 | 0.0788 |
| LPC7 | 0.0922 | 0.1132 | 0.0889 | 0.0914 | 0.0966 | 0.0815 | 0.1519 | 0.1077 | 0.0937 | 0.1052 |
| LPC8 | 0.0972 | 0.0982 | 0.0964 | 0.0888 | 0.0632 | 0.0702 | 0.1045 | 0.0857 | 0.0878 | 0.0827 |
| LPC9 | 0.0921 | 0.1321 | 0.0501 | 0.1034 | 0.0670 | 0.0701 | 0.1224 | 0.1016 | 0.0635 | 0.0723 |
| LPC10 | 0.0906 | 0.0652 | 0.0900 | 0.0813 | 0.0572 | 0.0642 | 0.0786 | 0.0804 | 0.0817 | 0.0714 |
| LPC11 | 0.0690 | 0.0978 | 0.0711 | 0.0813 | 0.0558 | 0.0805 | 0.1169 | 0.0958 | 0.0960 | 0.0898 |
| LPC12 | 0.0884 | 0.0886 | 0.0991 | 0.0810 | 0.0745 | 0.0818 | 0.1222 | 0.1031 | 0.1121 | 0.1018 |
| LPC13 | 0.1052 | 0.0933 | 0.0703 | 0.0865 | 0.0590 | 0.0532 | 0.0899 | 0.0646 | 0.0745 | 0.1225 |
| LPC14 | 0.0674 | 0.1010 | 0.0949 | 0.1013 | 0.0515 | 0.0559 | 0.0877 | 0.0755 | 0.0933 | 0.0680 |
| LPC15 | 0.0777 | 0.1179 | 0.0681 | 0.0690 | 0.0517 | 0.0601 | 0.0946 | 0.0941 | 0.0746 | 0.1162 |
| LPC16 | 0.0784 | 0.0970 | 0.0791 | 0.1181 | 0.0825 | 0.0649 | 0.0596 | 0.0933 | 0.0679 | 0.0656 |

Table B－2：Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel（speaker 2）

|  | অ | आ | ই | ঈ | উ | ঊ | $এ$ | $ঐ$ | ふ | ঔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.0881 | 0.066 | 0.1338 | 0.2181 | 0.0561 | 0.118 | 0.0809 | 0.1963 | 0.103 | 0.2525 |
| LPC2 | 0.0789 | 0.1026 | 0.0864 | 0.3161 | 0.04 | 0.1005 | 0.1645 | 0.2196 | 0.1018 | 0.2276 |
| LPC3 | 0.1114 | 0.0907 | 0.1372 | 0.1132 | 0.0577 | 0.0813 | 0.0845 | 0.1118 | 0.1184 | 0.1804 |
| LPC4 | 0.0883 | 0.101 | 0.1161 | 0.1716 | 0.0752 | 0.0689 | 0.1253 | 0.1084 | 0.0917 | 0.0847 |
| LPC5 | 0.0816 | 0.0748 | 0.0964 | 0.1121 | 0.0614 | 0.0921 | 0.1088 | 0.1415 | 0.0999 | 0.147 |
| LPC6 | 0.0793 | 0.1142 | 0.0797 | 0.0954 | 0.0524 | 0.0681 | 0.1095 | 0.1391 | 0.0858 | 0.0889 |
| LPC7 | 0.098 | 0.0842 | 0.1071 | 0.0882 | 0.0515 | 0.0753 | 0.105 | 0.1038 | 0.0654 | 0.1135 |
| LPC8 | 0.0694 | 0.124 | 0.0884 | 0.1137 | 0.0566 | 0.0973 | 0.0733 | 0.1357 | 0.0902 | 0.1254 |
| LPC9 | 0.0677 | 0.0844 | 0.0831 | 0.0969 | 0.0527 | 0.0642 | 0.0844 | 0.1676 | 0.0902 | 0.0883 |
| LPC10 | 0.1105 | 0.1128 | 0.08 | 0.1067 | 0.0513 | 0.0617 | 0.1074 | 0.1362 | 0.079 | 0.1016 |
| LPC11 | 0.0856 | 0.1169 | 0.0879 | 0.0951 | 0.0555 | 0.0915 | 0.1403 | 0.1253 | 0.0655 | 0.0752 |
| LPC12 | 0.0902 | 0.0907 | 0.0803 | 0.1119 | 0.0591 | 0.0826 | 0.1073 | 0.1261 | 0.0836 | 0.1068 |
| LPC13 | 0.0683 | 0.0864 | 0.0795 | 0.0959 | 0.0499 | 0.0783 | 0.0987 | 0.106 | 0.0768 | 0.0749 |
| LPC14 | 0.0786 | 0.0792 | 0.0662 | 0.0966 | 0.0492 | 0.0875 | 0.1002 | 0.0874 | 0.0787 | 0.0632 |
| LPC15 | 0.0574 | 0.0882 | 0.0582 | 0.112 | 0.0534 | 0.0671 | 0.0923 | 0.1306 | 0.0723 | 0.0577 |
| LPC16 | 0.0412 | 0.0549 | 0.0395 | 0.0532 | 0.0474 | 0.0585 | 0.0654 | 0.0883 | 0.0437 | 0.043 |

Table B-3: Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel (speaker 3)

|  | অ | আ | ই | ঈ | উ | ঊ | এ | ঐ | 3 | ঔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.3884 | 0.3765 | 0.3368 | 0.4445 | 0.2701 | 0.3651 | 0.2868 | 0.4116 | 0.372 | 0.4547 |
| LPC2 | 0.1753 | 0.2134 | 0.1097 | 0.1409 | 0.254 | 0.0954 | 0.1055 | 0.2721 | 0.1693 | 0.314 |
| LPC3 | 0.1263 | 0.1316 | 0.084 | 0.1218 | 0.1339 | 0.0776 | 0.1229 | 0.1206 | 0.133 | 0.1103 |
| LPC4 | 0.1037 | 0.1061 | 0.0762 | 0.0939 | 0.1153 | 0.1383 | 0.0801 | 0.1038 | 0.2025 | 0.1511 |
| LPC5 | 0.06 | 0.0611 | 0.0794 | 0.0683 | 0.1031 | 0.062 | 0.073 | 0.081 | 0.0902 | 0.1169 |
| LPC6 | 0.1002 | 0.1005 | 0.0932 | 0.0907 | 0.0927 | 0.0563 | 0.0547 | 0.1155 | 0.0666 | 0.0663 |
| LPC7 | 0.0623 | 0.1018 | 0.0966 | 0.0594 | 0.0817 | 0.0703 | 0.0828 | 0.1344 | 0.0747 | 0.1054 |
| LPC8 | 0.0974 | 0.096 | 0.073 | 0.0834 | 0.115 | 0.1136 | 0.0764 | 0.0854 | 0.1106 | 0.1052 |
| LPC9 | 0.0974 | 0.0829 | 0.0784 | 0.0671 | 0.1023 | 0.0768 | 0.0662 | 0.0729 | 0.1103 | 0.0888 |
| LPC10 | 0.0653 | 0.0689 | 0.0797 | 0.0629 | 0.1212 | 0.0894 | 0.0614 | 0.1036 | 0.1125 | 0.1943 |
| LPC11 | 0.0853 | 0.0791 | 0.0886 | 0.0578 | 0.0892 | 0.0793 | 0.0736 | 0.0601 | 0.0678 | 0.1288 |
| LPC12 | 0.0559 | 0.1009 | 0.0622 | 0.0742 | 0.0853 | 0.0662 | 0.0622 | 0.0865 | 0.0701 | 0.0568 |
| LPC13 | 0.0693 | 0.0694 | 0.0578 | 0.0726 | 0.0932 | 0.084 | 0.067 | 0.1104 | 0.1223 | 0.1215 |
| LPC14 | 0.1023 | 0.0734 | 0.0829 | 0.1176 | 0.0531 | 0.0537 | 0.0721 | 0.0878 | 0.0979 | 0.0973 |
| LPC15 | 0.1 | 0.1109 | 0.0873 | 0.1517 | 0.1099 | 0.0832 | 0.0661 | 0.1019 | 0.0642 | 0.0589 |
| LPC16 | 0.0766 | 0.0924 | 0.1023 | 0.0827 | 0.1305 | 0.0949 | 0.0745 | 0.1359 | 0.0906 | 0.1169 |

Table B-4: Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel (speaker 4)

|  | অ | आ | ই | ঈ | উ | ঊ | $এ$ | $ঐ$ | $ふ$ | $ঔ$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.215 | 0.3287 | 0.3973 | 0.4 | 0.2002 | 0.3264 | 0.4332 | 0.2968 | 0.3581 | 0.5875 |
| LPC2 | 0.1078 | 0.0946 | 0.1441 | 0.1104 | 0.1855 | 0.2644 | 0.1781 | 0.1181 | 0.1522 | 0.2493 |
| LPC3 | 0.1354 | 0.218 | 0.1437 | 0.2066 | 0.0587 | 0.0857 | 0.2095 | 0.106 | 0.1384 | 0.2121 |
| LPC4 | 0.1809 | 0.2104 | 0.1137 | 0.1259 | 0.089 | 0.1218 | 0.1402 | 0.1442 | 0.1769 | 0.1235 |
| LPC5 | 0.1008 | 0.1126 | 0.0917 | 0.0893 | 0.1199 | 0.1331 | 0.0931 | 0.0956 | 0.1209 | 0.096 |
| LPC6 | 0.1006 | 0.1078 | 0.0913 | 0.1482 | 0.0742 | 0.0724 | 0.1229 | 0.1046 | 0.1008 | 0.1142 |
| LPC7 | 0.1112 | 0.1709 | 0.0956 | 0.1412 | 0.0784 | 0.0617 | 0.1932 | 0.1402 | 0.1169 | 0.0768 |
| LPC8 | 0.1018 | 0.1086 | 0.0683 | 0.0806 | 0.0568 | 0.0467 | 0.0953 | 0.0761 | 0.0746 | 0.0633 |
| LPC9 | 0.1055 | 0.0837 | 0.0742 | 0.0894 | 0.0583 | 0.0681 | 0.0821 | 0.0814 | 0.0696 | 0.1244 |
| LPC10 | 0.1102 | 0.1619 | 0.124 | 0.1217 | 0.0886 | 0.0931 | 0.1733 | 0.1184 | 0.111 | 0.1388 |
| LPC11 | 0.1177 | 0.1041 | 0.0965 | 0.1098 | 0.0769 | 0.1131 | 0.1101 | 0.1075 | 0.116 | 0.104 |
| LPC12 | 0.0716 | 0.095 | 0.1201 | 0.0722 | 0.0628 | 0.1048 | 0.083 | 0.0687 | 0.1123 | 0.0947 |
| LPC13 | 0.0874 | 0.1102 | 0.0572 | 0.0753 | 0.0662 | 0.0703 | 0.1068 | 0.044 | 0.0853 | 0.0655 |
| LPC14 | 0.1181 | 0.1198 | 0.0521 | 0.0587 | 0.0653 | 0.0771 | 0.0851 | 0.062 | 0.1331 | 0.0872 |
| LPC15 | 0.0913 | 0.0838 | 0.0606 | 0.0554 | 0.0519 | 0.071 | 0.0824 | 0.0714 | 0.1001 | 0.0748 |
| LPC16 | 0.0607 | 0.0441 | 0.0678 | 0.0723 | 0.0635 | 0.063 | 0.0722 | 0.1058 | 0.0497 | 0.0594 |

Table B-5: Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel (speaker 5)

|  | অ | आ | ই | ঈ | উ | む | $এ$ | $ঐ$ | 3 | $ঔ$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.3204 | 0.3928 | 0.377 | 0.2574 | 0.2058 | 0.2202 | 0.2822 | 0.3557 | 0.2751 | 0.4792 |
| LPC2 | 0.2707 | 0.3013 | 0.2182 | 0.1825 | 0.2719 | 0.2285 | 0.2588 | 0.2822 | 0.2669 | 0.2498 |
| LPC3 | 0.2026 | 0.2133 | 0.1026 | 0.1097 | 0.2122 | 0.2047 | 0.1605 | 0.1942 | 0.2175 | 0.2005 |
| LPC4 | 0.1351 | 0.1436 | 0.0776 | 0.0849 | 0.1625 | 0.1488 | 0.0958 | 0.1333 | 0.1569 | 0.1509 |
| LPC5 | 0.1196 | 0.1154 | 0.0957 | 0.072 | 0.1292 | 0.1155 | 0.082 | 0.0943 | 0.118 | 0.1203 |
| LPC6 | 0.1051 | 0.1001 | 0.0629 | 0.057 | 0.0966 | 0.0997 | 0.077 | 0.0892 | 0.0845 | 0.1063 |
| LPC7 | 0.099 | 0.0794 | 0.0743 | 0.0563 | 0.0826 | 0.0975 | 0.0835 | 0.0761 | 0.0806 | 0.0961 |
| LPC8 | 0.0947 | 0.0734 | 0.078 | 0.0623 | 0.0729 | 0.0931 | 0.0686 | 0.0735 | 0.069 | 0.0765 |
| LPC9 | 0.0895 | 0.0753 | 0.1085 | 0.0723 | 0.0819 | 0.0948 | 0.0999 | 0.0943 | 0.0812 | 0.0875 |
| LPC10 | 0.0612 | 0.0498 | 0.0923 | 0.0807 | 0.0747 | 0.0793 | 0.0749 | 0.0902 | 0.0704 | 0.0859 |
| LPC11 | 0.0707 | 0.061 | 0.1184 | 0.1112 | 0.0915 | 0.0889 | 0.0921 | 0.0987 | 0.0833 | 0.0901 |
| LPC12 | 0.058 | 0.0531 | 0.0913 | 0.097 | 0.0804 | 0.0696 | 0.054 | 0.0926 | 0.0576 | 0.068 |
| LPC13 | 0.0574 | 0.0544 | 0.082 | 0.0761 | 0.0738 | 0.0572 | 0.0609 | 0.0864 | 0.05 | 0.066 |
| LPC14 | 0.06 | 0.0485 | 0.0729 | 0.0595 | 0.0507 | 0.0548 | 0.0736 | 0.0817 | 0.056 | 0.0587 |
| LPC15 | 0.059 | 0.0474 | 0.0598 | 0.0476 | 0.076 | 0.0678 | 0.0533 | 0.0613 | 0.0629 | 0.066 |
| LPC16 | 0.062 | 0.0491 | 0.0543 | 0.0523 | 0.0821 | 0.061 | 0.0636 | 0.0556 | 0.0759 | 0.0681 |

Table B-6: Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla VCV sequences
(speaker 1)

|  | আলো | আমি | ইতি | অनू | উচू |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.56679 | 0.30746 | 0.68854 | 0.1706 | 0.5756 |
| LPC2 | 0.6934 | 0.54366 | 0.93695 | 0.36894 | 0.53422 |
| LPC3 | 0.90495 | 0.63572 | 0.70631 | 0.57321 | 0.66924 |
| LPC4 | 0.68136 | 0.50762 | 0.27914 | 0.50032 | 0.45077 |
| LPC5 | 0.47722 | 0.45308 | 0.32272 | 0.40872 | 0.43955 |
| LPC6 | 0.37184 | 0.47005 | 0.41398 | 0.30203 | 0.50408 |
| LPC7 | 0.38491 | 0.40025 | 0.29416 | 0.28183 | 0.38498 |
| LPC8 | 0.40453 | 0.3508 | 0.22937 | 0.28313 | 0.47968 |
| LPC9 | 0.36729 | 0.26904 | 0.24312 | 0.32487 | 0.32927 |
| LPC10 | 0.33113 | 0.26884 | 0.22935 | 0.24537 | 0.41462 |
| LPC11 | 0.30797 | 0.29115 | 0.24169 | 0.24495 | 0.28631 |
| LPC12 | 0.29571 | 0.34642 | 0.29836 | 0.31255 | 0.30742 |
| LPC13 | 0.27159 | 0.30678 | 0.22293 | 0.40954 | 0.21318 |
| LPC14 | 0.20714 | 0.289 | 0.18666 | 0.44321 | 0.18468 |
| LPC15 | 0.18418 | 0.26146 | 0.17065 | 0.33859 | 0.18842 |
| LPC16 | 0.11163 | 0.13836 | 0.08794 | 0.16533 | 0.11358 |

Table B-7: Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla VCV sequence (speaker 2)

|  | আलো | আমি | ইতি | অनू | উচू |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.35078 | 0.27487 | 0.40327 | 0.29849 | 0.39556 |
| LPC2 | 0.48059 | 0.57534 | 0.48701 | 0.56144 | 0.6154 |
| LPC3 | 0.49282 | 0.63368 | 0.36427 | 0.56953 | 0.481 |
| LPC4 | 0.51014 | 0.47101 | 0.25573 | 0.4367 | 0.42378 |
| LPC5 | 0.46546 | 0.47501 | 0.21399 | 0.40471 | 0.17669 |
| LPC6 | 0.44784 | 0.40187 | 0.26761 | 0.40777 | 0.19389 |
| LPC7 | 0.41137 | 0.38442 | 0.19438 | 0.32436 | 0.17551 |
| LPC8 | 0.45574 | 0.41862 | 0.16578 | 0.30043 | 0.23319 |
| LPC9 | 0.29299 | 0.32863 | 0.1634 | 0.38015 | 0.17146 |
| LPC10 | 0.24612 | 0.49301 | 0.17788 | 0.41372 | 0.25923 |
| LPC11 | 0.44467 | 0.45754 | 0.18669 | 0.34171 | 0.27404 |
| LPC12 | 0.40275 | 0.34815 | 0.21669 | 0.36063 | 0.25412 |
| LPC13 | 0.31593 | 0.3835 | 0.27487 | 0.37176 | 0.23599 |
| LPC14 | 0.28688 | 0.3309 | 0.18603 | 0.47194 | 0.27992 |
| LPC15 | 0.26132 | 0.25989 | 0.23164 | 0.3778 | 0.19844 |
| LPC16 | 0.15677 | 0.15021 | 0.13285 | 0.17366 | 0.11297 |

Table B-8: Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla VCV sequence (speaker 3)

|  | আलো | आমি | ইতি | অनू | উচू |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.29686 | 0.26178 | 0.68524 | 0.32172 | 0.58334 |
| LPC2 | 0.66942 | 0.4572 | 1.00982 | 0.72605 | 0.67915 |
| LPC3 | 0.77243 | 0.51538 | 0.99866 | 0.90526 | 0.93277 |
| LPC4 | 0.64196 | 0.55738 | 0.87914 | 0.86762 | 0.66143 |
| LPC5 | 0.38999 | 0.49011 | 0.87139 | 0.80286 | 0.51637 |
| LPC6 | 0.38984 | 0.47658 | 0.79698 | 0.80863 | 0.36776 |
| LPC7 | 0.38455 | 0.35114 | 0.62821 | 0.76274 | 0.37292 |
| LPC8 | 0.32329 | 0.30794 | 0.46097 | 0.58287 | 0.4061 |
| LPC9 | 0.30018 | 0.35384 | 0.47834 | 0.39723 | 0.38371 |
| LPC10 | 0.28398 | 0.30275 | 0.48291 | 0.26488 | 0.29674 |
| LPC11 | 0.24256 | 0.24719 | 0.38813 | 0.26396 | 0.32144 |
| LPC12 | 0.21227 | 0.24723 | 0.31007 | 0.36953 | 0.31385 |
| LPC13 | 0.2502 | 0.28088 | 0.25324 | 0.39903 | 0.2785 |
| LPC14 | 0.26999 | 0.28886 | 0.25338 | 0.33081 | 0.19555 |
| LPC15 | 0.19543 | 0.25922 | 0.1974 | 0.22578 | 0.10602 |
| LPC16 | 0.10684 | 0.1948 | 0.13523 | 0.14186 | 0.08115 |

Table B-9: Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla VCV sequence
(speaker 4)

|  | आलো | आमि | ইতि | অनू | উচू |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.24788 | 0.16884 | 0.60024 | 0.15807 | 0.50648 |
| LPC2 | 0.35183 | 0.48497 | 0.90484 | 0.44182 | 0.76637 |
| LPC3 | 0.37432 | 0.65257 | 0.90526 | 0.68743 | 0.62924 |
| LPC4 | 0.50586 | 0.69855 | 0.78169 | 0.77577 | 0.44656 |
| LPC5 | 0.41712 | 0.51154 | 0.70738 | 0.52559 | 0.30717 |
| LPC6 | 0.34691 | 0.57577 | 0.57788 | 0.40309 | 0.31812 |
| LPC7 | 0.2656 | 0.70485 | 0.42887 | 0.436 | 0.22089 |
| LPC8 | 0.24717 | 0.64568 | 0.29994 | 0.39531 | 0.23183 |
| LPC9 | 0.21993 | 0.41647 | 0.26621 | 0.30219 | 0.20048 |
| LPC10 | 0.22431 | 0.24211 | 0.36784 | 0.32156 | 0.28758 |
| LPC11 | 0.24633 | 0.28469 | 0.3451 | 0.38688 | 0.18945 |
| LPC12 | 0.21237 | 0.35814 | 0.39275 | 0.30428 | 0.24014 |
| LPC13 | 0.13635 | 0.37132 | 0.31924 | 0.29377 | 0.2682 |
| LPC14 | 0.15421 | 0.33444 | 0.25892 | 0.26837 | 0.21399 |
| LPC15 | 0.18889 | 0.22373 | 0.15028 | 0.18143 | 0.12902 |
| LPC16 | 0.11465 | 0.10992 | 0.09935 | 0.09678 | 0.11351 |

Table B-10: Standard Deviation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla VCV sequence (speaker 5)

|  | आलো | आমি | ইতি | অनू | উচू |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPC1 | 0.26614 | 0.4166 | 0.90514 | 0.31757 | 0.9233 |
| LPC2 | 0.27658 | 0.55365 | 0.74732 | 0.32721 | 0.59669 |
| LPC3 | 0.2617 | 0.17857 | 0.31701 | 0.18355 | 0.37743 |
| LPC4 | 0.11534 | 0.30557 | 0.21882 | 0.15447 | 0.25903 |
| LPC5 | 0.25436 | 0.08994 | 0.23068 | 0.12012 | 0.15239 |
| LPC6 | 0.22681 | 0.22093 | 0.13989 | 0.16694 | 0.12849 |
| LPC7 | 0.11602 | 0.2071 | 0.23122 | 0.12054 | 0.19959 |
| LPC8 | 0.261 | 0.08024 | 0.12558 | 0.10681 | 0.13715 |
| LPC9 | 0.12995 | 0.12882 | 0.1226 | 0.11133 | 0.09653 |
| LPC10 | 0.13513 | 0.17209 | 0.08527 | 0.12569 | 0.13631 |
| LPC11 | 0.13689 | 0.13253 | 0.10715 | 0.15709 | 0.14278 |
| LPC12 | 0.05257 | 0.14366 | 0.11311 | 0.10901 | 0.10196 |
| LPC13 | 0.10304 | 0.14215 | 0.12194 | 0.11573 | 0.08639 |
| LPC14 | 0.09456 | 0.11608 | 0.16023 | 0.121 | 0.09448 |
| LPC15 | 0.10459 | 0.10592 | 0.10864 | 0.11694 | 0.15883 |
| LPC16 | 0.06594 | 0.10266 | 0.0875 | 0.13887 | 0.08369 |

Table B-11: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowel (অ)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |  |
| Speaker 1 | $4.09 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.55 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.90 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.14 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.87 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.56 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.90 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $2.59 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.93 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.70 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.16 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.14 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $7.36 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.09 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $3.44 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.39 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.32 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.61 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |

Table B-12: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowel (আ)

| Speaker | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |  |
| Speaker 1 | $7.57 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.28 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.92 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.22 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $7.14 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.88 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.20 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.83 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $5.73 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.44 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.47 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $5.28 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.76 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.77 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.73 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.95 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |

Table B-13: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowel (ই)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |  |
| Speaker 1 | $4.04 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.20 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $6.01 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.08 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $5.98 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.53 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.15 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.64 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $3.05 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.32 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.05 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.89 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.48 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.57 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.75 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |

Table B-14: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowel (ঈ)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | $F 5$ |  |
| Speaker 1 | $2.04 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.25 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.04 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.58 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.95 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.57 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.57 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.47 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.80 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.30 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.20 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.20 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.28 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.77 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.15 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.81 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |

Table B-15: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowel (উ)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | $F 5$ |  |
| Speaker 1 | $1.94 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $8.88 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.93 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.89 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.14 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.47 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.50 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.92 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.64 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.42 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.50 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.33 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.19 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.21 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.16 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.67 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.45 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.54 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.84 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |

Table B-16: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowel (ঊ)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $F 1$ | $F 2$ | $F 3$ | $F 4$ | $F 5$ |  |
| Speaker 1 | $5.07 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $9.91 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.47 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.86 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $8.54 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.01 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.87 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.89 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.22 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.37 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.57 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.52 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.37 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.70 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.52 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |

Table B-17: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowel ( $($ )

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | $F 5$ |  |
| Speaker 1 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.64 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.31 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.49 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.24 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $6.76 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.39 \mathrm{E}+04$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $2.07 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.73 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.49 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.18 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.52 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.71 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $8.17 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.91 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |

Table B-18: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowel (丹)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |  |
| Speaker 1 | $8.19 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.96 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.92 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.30 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.03 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.94 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.07 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.33 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $3.99 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.02 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.46 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.01 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.20 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.36 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.38 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.40 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.45 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.44 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.26 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.15 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |

Table B-19: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowel (3)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |  |
| Speaker 1 | $3.36 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.64 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.41 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.04 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.53 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $8.57 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.59 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.04 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.82 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $6.02 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $9.04 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.04 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.59 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.18 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $9.73 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.20 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.24 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $5.07 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $5.28 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.00 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |

Table B-20: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla vowel (ঔ)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |
| Speaker 1 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.51 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.38 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.49 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.31 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Speaker 2 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.74 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.31 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.79 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $7.54 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Speaker 3 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.88 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.14 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Speaker 4 | $6.30 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.69 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.17 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.31 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.90 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Speaker 5 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.95 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.78 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+05$ |

Table B-21: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla VCV sequence (আলো)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | $F 4$ | F5 |  |
| Speaker 1 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.52 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.77 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.59 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.33 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $1.27 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.66 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.63 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.32 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.94 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.21 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.33 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.97 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.25 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.29 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |

Table B-22: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla VCV sequence (আমি)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |  |
| Speaker 1 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.21 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.19 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.36 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.80 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.68 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.74 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.21 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.46 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.05 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.08 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.90 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.66 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.21 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |

Table B-23: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla VCV sequence (ইতি)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | $F 5$ |  |
| Speaker 1 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.57 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.76 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.19 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $7.21 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $7.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.38 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.42 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.43 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.40 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.57 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.56 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.47 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.12 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.27 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $6.42 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.37 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.12 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.74 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |

Table B-24: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla VCV sequence (অनু)

| Speaker <br> Name | Variation Tendency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 |  |
| Speaker 1 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.39 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.54 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.82 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 2 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.26 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.98 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.05 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.79 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 3 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $6.34 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.82 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.31 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 4 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.51 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.78 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.94 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.24 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| Speaker 5 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.58 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.97 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.84 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |

Table B-25: Formant trajectory transitional energy for Bangla VCV sequence (উচু)

| Speaker 1 | $2.37 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.87 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.35 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.92 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speaker 2 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $6.77 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.82 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.30 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.57 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| Speaker 3 | $2.72 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.60 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.76 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.94 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.23 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| Speaker 4 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.24 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.34 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| Speaker 5 | $2.05 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.74 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.11 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}+06$ |

Table B-26: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel pairs (speaker 1)

|  | অ | আ | ই | ঈ | উ | ঊ | $এ$ | ঐ | 3 | ঔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| আ | 0.5539 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ই | 0.7196 | 0.5979 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ॠ | 0.5541 | 0.52 | 0.4358 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| উ | 0.8842 | 0.7601 | 0.6424 | 0.7234 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ঊ | 0.7111 | 0.6321 | 0.579 | 0.5728 | 0.5606 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $এ$ | 0.4935 | 0.4351 | 0.3628 | 0.4439 | 0.3139 | 0.3596 | 1 |  |  |  |
| § | 0.7032 | 0.6046 | 0.5583 | 0.5548 | 0.4342 | 0.5443 | 0.7697 | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.807 | 0.6548 | 0.6287 | 0.6098 | 0.5366 | 0.6445 | 0.8256 | 0.588 | 1 |  |
| ঔ | 0.7925 | 0.73 | 0.6073 | 0.6371 | 0.464 | 0.5294 | 0.8784 | 0.6728 | 0.5343 | 1 |

Table B-27: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel pairs (speaker 2)

|  | অ | আ | ই | ঈ | উ | ঊ | এ | ঐ | 3 | ঔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| আ | 0.718 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ই | 0.7193 | 0.6829 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ঈ | 0.6018 | 0.678 | 0.7121 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| উ | 0.903 | 0.9593 | 0.7481 | 0.7832 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ঊ | 0.8791 | 0.8183 | 0.6494 | 0.5791 | 0.6074 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ | 0.6801 | 0.7183 | 0.5896 | 0.6498 | 0.36 | 0.3557 | 1 |  |  |  |
| @ | 0.6424 | 0.632 | 0.5825 | 0.5937 | 0.4572 | 0.4884 | 0.6106 | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.7894 | 0.7428 | 0.5892 | 0.5376 | 0.4976 | 0.5807 | 0.6409 | 0.6562 | 1 |  |
| ঔ | 0.4696 | 0.4718 | 0.5081 | 0.4323 | 0.2865 | 0.3486 | 0.4704 | 0.5106 | 0.3407 | 1 |

Table B-28: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel pairs (speaker 3)

|  | অ | আ | ই | ঈ | উ | ঊ | $এ$ | ঐ | 3 | ঔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| আ | 0.6454 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ই | 0.7557 | 0.7566 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ॠ | 0.4128 | 0.3905 | 0.4055 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| উ | 0.6505 | 0.6113 | 0.5235 | 0.5278 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ঊ | 0.5006 | 0.4688 | 0.4389 | 0.6342 | 0.599 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $এ$ | 0.4557 | 0.5527 | 0.4766 | 0.4353 | 0.446 | 0.406 | 1 |  |  |  |
| @ | 0.4325 | 0.5607 | 0.4572 | 0.4415 | 0.5488 | 0.4841 | 0.5617 | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.5909 | 0.6092 | 0.561 | 0.3553 | 0.6068 | 0.5105 | 0.585 | 0.526 | 1 |  |
| ঔ | 0.603 | 0.52 | 0.5524 | 0.4824 | 0.6347 | 0.5795 | 0.5929 | 0.548 | 0.7521 | 1 |

Table B-29: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel pairs (speaker 4)

|  | অ | আ | ই | ঈ | উ | ঊ | এ | ঐ | 3 | ঔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| আ | 0.4577 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ই | 0.5896 | 0.4783 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| そ | 0.606 | 0.4872 | 0.5364 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| উ | 0.5464 | 0.4036 | 0.489 | 0.5653 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ঊ | 0.5629 | 0.546 | 0.5778 | 0.605 | 0.6099 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $এ$ | 0.6955 | 0.5995 | 0.5936 | 0.6599 | 0.5514 | 0.6862 | 1 |  |  |  |
| § | 0.4173 | 0.4428 | 0.3961 | 0.4617 | 0.3544 | 0.383 | 0.391 | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.5574 | 0.3699 | 0.4607 | 0.5236 | 0.5089 | 0.6366 | 0.4972 | 0.428 | 1 |  |
| ঔ | 0.483 | 0.3079 | 0.5161 | 0.4944 | 0.5904 | 0.5719 | 0.4621 | 0.4215 | 0.6645 | 1 |

Table B-30: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel pairs (speaker 5)

|  | অ | আ | ই | ॠ | উ | ঊ | $এ$ | § | 3 | ঔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| আ | 0.4559 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ই | 0.7745 | 0.9548 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| そ | 0.4458 | 0.6401 | 0.3327 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| উ | 0.805 | 0.745 | 0.8407 | 0.9076 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ঊ | 0.5864 | 0.9915 | 0.475 | 0.7159 | 0.1973 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $コ$ | 0.7807 | 0.8064 | 0.5982 | 0.647 | 0.2986 | 0.4219 | 1 |  |  |  |
| § | 0.7334 | 0.7539 | 0.4837 | 0.4622 | 0.2731 | 0.3692 | 0.5159 | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.7286 | 0.945 | 0.6282 | 0.8183 | 0.1897 | 0.4927 | 0.4995 | 0.4977 | 1 |  |
| ঔ | 0.8967 | 0.8684 | 0.659 | 0.6542 | 0.2382 | 0.4865 | 0.6221 | 0.6083 | 0.5464 | 1 |

Table B-31: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel and VCV sequence (speaker 1)

|  | আলো | আমি | ইতি | অনু | উচু |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 0.2453 | 0.2044 | 0.1238 | 0.1476 | 0.2334 |
| आ | 0.2463 | 0.2025 | 0.1188 | 0.1610 | 0.2512 |
| ই | 0.1750 | 0.1684 | 0.0964 | 0.1253 | 0.1822 |
| ॠ | 0.1927 | 0.1878 | 0.1153 | 0.1193 | 0.2217 |
| উ | 0.1703 | 0.1397 | 0.0780 | 0.0864 | 0.1703 |
| ঊ | 0.1722 | 0.1512 | 0.0950 | 0.1113 | 0.1749 |
| এ | 0.2532 | 0.2728 | 0.1670 | 0.1841 | 0.2606 |
| § | 0.2136 | 0.1822 | 0.1022 | 0.1614 | 0.1969 |
| 3 | 0.2063 | 0.1752 | 0.0903 | 0.1258 | 0.1682 |
| ঔ | 0.1159 | 0.1098 | 0.0632 | 0.0996 | 0.1070 |

Table B-32: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel and VCV sequence (speaker 2)

|  | আলো | আমি | ইতি | অनू | উচু |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 0.1890 | 0.1762 | 0.2148 | 0.1539 | 0.2166 |
| আ | 0.1718 | 0.1556 | 0.2133 | 0.1328 | 0.2031 |
| ই | 0.2302 | 0.2475 | 0.2075 | 0.1740 | 0.2338 |
| ॠ | 0.1661 | 0.1676 | 0.1999 | 0.1344 | 0.2153 |
| উ | 0.1802 | 0.1787 | 0.2312 | 0.1498 | 0.2379 |
| ঊ | 0.1970 | 0.1768 | 0.2509 | 0.1389 | 0.2567 |
| এ | 0.1984 | 0.1855 | 0.2266 | 0.1415 | 0.2599 |
| ஒ | 0.1727 | 0.1198 | 0.1673 | 0.1394 | 0.2043 |
| 3 | 0.1819 | 0.1410 | 0.2334 | 0.1442 | 0.2563 |
| ঔ | 0.2009 | 0.1844 | 0.2233 | 0.1363 | 0.2501 |

Table B-33: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel and VCV sequence
(speaker 3)

|  | আলো | আমি | ইতি | অनু | উচু |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 0.1799 | 0.193 | 0.2067 | 0.1039 | 0.1398 |
| আ | 0.1361 | 0.1273 | 0.2389 | 0.0728 | 0.1099 |
| ই | 0.1971 | 0.1902 | 0.2076 | 0.1188 | 0.1732 |
| ॠ | 0.1733 | 0.1715 | 0.2426 | 0.1017 | 0.1457 |
| উ | 0.2427 | 0.2173 | 0.2345 | 0.1343 | 0.1739 |
| ঊ | 0.2202 | 0.2148 | 0.2086 | 0.12 | 0.161 |
| এ | 0.0891 | 0.0994 | 0.2434 | 0.0511 | 0.0862 |
| ヱ | 0.1455 | 0.1519 | 0.2597 | 0.0848 | 0.1201 |
| 3 | 0.2346 | 0.2441 | 0.2372 | 0.1387 | 0.1654 |
| ঔ | 0.1804 | 0.1685 | 0.2412 | 0.1037 | 0.1462 |

Table B-34: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel and VCV sequence (speaker 4)

|  | আलো | आমি | ইতি | অनू | উচू |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 0.1531 | 0.1242 | 0.0862 | 0.1156 | 0.3496 |
| আ | 0.107 | 0.1022 | 0.0681 | 0.1025 | 0.2741 |
| ¡ | 0.1608 | 0.1089 | 0.0828 | 0.1082 | 0.343 |
| ঈ | 0.1538 | 0.1118 | 0.0877 | 0.1195 | 0.2907 |
| উ | 0.1427 | 0.1084 | 0.0713 | 0.1208 | 0.3072 |
| ঊ | 0.1108 | 0.0856 | 0.062 | 0.0918 | 0.2667 |
| $এ$ | 0.0608 | 0.0433 | 0.0337 | 0.0446 | 0.1324 |
| ঐ | 0.101 | 0.0821 | 0.0567 | 0.0815 | 0.2541 |
| ふ | 0.1075 | 0.0954 | 0.0659 | 0.0856 | 0.246 |
| ঔ | 0.0965 | 0.0803 | 0.0561 | 0.0799 | 0.2158 |

Table B-35: Correlation of LPC filtering coefficients of Bangla vowel and VCV sequence (speaker 5)

|  | आलো | आমি | ইতি | অनू | উচू |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| অ | 0.1387 | 0.1166 | 0.0975 | 0.1265 | 0.1366 |
| আ | 0.1357 | 0.1092 | 0.0963 | 0.1191 | 0.1239 |
| ই | 0.1376 | 0.1169 | 0.1054 | 0.1184 | 0.1354 |
| ঈ | 0.1377 | 0.1226 | 0.1071 | 0.1233 | 0.1142 |
| উ | 0.1181 | 0.0985 | 0.0856 | 0.1091 | 0.1223 |
| ঊ | 0.1649 | 0.1384 | 0.1208 | 0.1459 | 0.1348 |
| $এ$ | 0.1379 | 0.1172 | 0.1063 | 0.1152 | 0.1135 |
| $刃$ | 0.1403 | 0.1228 | 0.1106 | 0.1244 | 0.1382 |
| ও | 0.1221 | 0.1063 | 0.093 | 0.103 | 0.1182 |
| ঔ | 0.1196 | 0.1082 | 0.1067 | 0.1071 | 0.1304 |

Table C-1: Normalized eigen-value of Bangla vowel perceptual space for fifteen Bangla voice speakers

| Speakers <br> Number | Eigen Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| S1 | 0.7879 | 0.1197 | 0.0435 | 0.0301 | 0.0102 | 0.0055 | 0.0023 | 0.0005 | 0.0002 |
| S2 | 0.7634 | 0.1003 | 0.0673 | 0.0392 | 0.0166 | 0.0091 | 0.0030 | 0.0010 | 0.0003 |
| S3 | 0.6411 | 0.2494 | 0.0551 | 0.0244 | 0.0225 | 0.0037 | 0.0023 | 0.0015 | 0.0000 |
| S4 | 0.5135 | 0.3098 | 0.0806 | 0.0491 | 0.0305 | 0.0127 | 0.0031 | 0.0006 | 0.0001 |
| S5 | 0.5346 | 0.2502 | 0.1297 | 0.0544 | 0.0155 | 0.0099 | 0.0030 | 0.0018 | 0.0010 |
| S6 | 0.7833 | 0.1095 | 0.0745 | 0.0175 | 0.0073 | 0.0058 | 0.0020 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 |
| S7 | 0.7021 | 0.1536 | 0.0953 | 0.0314 | 0.0101 | 0.0051 | 0.0022 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
| S8 | 0.5978 | 0.3133 | 0.0613 | 0.0213 | 0.0034 | 0.0021 | 0.0008 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
| S9 | 0.8763 | 0.0627 | 0.0263 | 0.0258 | 0.0061 | 0.0027 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
| S10 | 0.7970 | 0.1144 | 0.0561 | 0.0179 | 0.0130 | 0.0008 | 0.0007 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| S11 | 0.6837 | 0.1898 | 0.0599 | 0.0405 | 0.0133 | 0.0056 | 0.0036 | 0.0025 | 0.0011 |
| S12 | 0.8698 | 0.0601 | 0.0419 | 0.0133 | 0.0100 | 0.0029 | 0.0012 | 0.0005 | 0.0001 |
| S13 | 0.6755 | 0.1957 | 0.0711 | 0.0297 | 0.0154 | 0.0074 | 0.0042 | 0.0008 | 0.0001 |
| S14 | 0.8216 | 0.1189 | 0.0301 | 0.0112 | 0.0102 | 0.0060 | 0.0019 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 |
| S15 | 0.5802 | 0.3702 | 0.0294 | 0.0104 | 0.0068 | 0.0016 | 0.0013 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |

Table C-2: Normalized contributions of perceptual space members in first principal component

| Speaker <br> Numbers | Perception Space Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F51 | F43 | F53 | F54 |  |
| S1 | -0.088 | -0.655 | -0.626 | -0.241 | -0.256 | -0.060 | -0.093 | -0.126 | -0.142 |  |
| S2 | -0.073 | -0.667 | -0.498 | -0.268 | -0.427 | 0.178 | -0.049 | -0.065 | -0.093 |  |
| S3 | 0.277 | -0.361 | -0.247 | 0.102 | 0.456 | -0.245 | -0.245 | -0.607 | -0.159 |  |
| S4 | -0.037 | 0.767 | 0.485 | -0.085 | -0.102 | 0.191 | 0.291 | 0.191 | 0.004 |  |
| S5 | -0.164 | -0.749 | -0.478 | -0.196 | 0.004 | -0.014 | -0.003 | -0.331 | -0.187 |  |
| S6 | 0.027 | -0.911 | -0.316 | -0.119 | 0.041 | 0.027 | 0.215 | 0.080 | -0.031 |  |
| S7 | 0.027 | 0.887 | 0.296 | 0.049 | 0.328 | -0.052 | -0.110 | 0.008 | 0.002 |  |
| S8 | 0.082 | -0.799 | -0.443 | -0.092 | 0.342 | -0.075 | 0.028 | -0.114 | -0.119 |  |
| S9 | 0.106 | -0.912 | -0.262 | -0.068 | 0.287 | 0.002 | -0.024 | -0.002 | -0.013 |  |
| S10 | 0.075 | -0.970 | -0.202 | 0.027 | 0.110 | 0.001 | -0.020 | -0.012 | 0.004 |  |
| S11 | 0.006 | -0.727 | -0.261 | -0.106 | 0.206 | -0.054 | 0.021 | -0.469 | -0.356 |  |
| S12 | -0.151 | -0.762 | -0.461 | -0.257 | -0.303 | 0.148 | 0.055 | 0.029 | 0.023 |  |
| S13 | -0.043 | -0.729 | -0.601 | -0.241 | 0.000 | -0.023 | 0.030 | -0.075 | -0.199 |  |
| S14 | -0.149 | -0.651 | -0.570 | -0.217 | -0.310 | 0.105 | 0.223 | 0.156 | 0.039 |  |
| S15 | -0.505 | -0.013 | -0.596 | -0.157 | 0.295 | 0.299 | 0.216 | 0.296 | 0.233 |  |

Table C-3: Normalized contributions of perceptual space members in second principal component

| Speaker <br> Numbers | Perception Space Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F51 | F43 | F53 | F54 |  |
| S1 | -0.220 | 0.233 | -0.149 | -0.316 | -0.434 | 0.453 | 0.336 | 0.477 | 0.203 |  |
| S2 | -0.237 | 0.608 | -0.280 | -0.354 | -0.234 | 0.491 | 0.048 | 0.135 | 0.236 |  |
| S3 | -0.237 | -0.638 | -0.493 | -0.267 | -0.450 | 0.073 | 0.115 | 0.021 | -0.026 |  |
| S4 | -0.448 | -0.252 | -0.097 | -0.476 | -0.573 | -0.028 | 0.275 | 0.260 | 0.164 |  |
| S5 | 0.380 | -0.120 | 0.159 | 0.330 | 0.701 | 0.184 | -0.248 | -0.347 | 0.016 |  |
| S6 | 0.257 | -0.328 | 0.484 | 0.161 | 0.285 | -0.239 | -0.517 | -0.390 | -0.091 |  |
| S7 | -0.156 | -0.245 | 0.214 | -0.054 | 0.415 | -0.516 | -0.028 | -0.469 | -0.456 |  |
| S8 | 0.210 | -0.044 | -0.215 | 0.013 | -0.734 | -0.353 | -0.071 | -0.315 | -0.375 |  |
| S9 | 0.718 | -0.152 | 0.136 | -0.116 | -0.650 | 0.046 | 0.042 | 0.037 | -0.037 |  |
| S10 | 0.343 | 0.039 | -0.504 | -0.116 | -0.781 | -0.011 | -0.018 | 0.010 | -0.053 |  |
| S11 | -0.440 | -0.450 | -0.222 | -0.055 | -0.226 | 0.163 | 0.349 | 0.540 | 0.247 |  |
| S12 | 0.020 | 0.004 | -0.311 | -0.183 | 0.342 | -0.332 | -0.042 | -0.690 | -0.406 |  |
| S13 | 0.106 | 0.429 | -0.304 | -0.113 | 0.304 | -0.413 | -0.292 | -0.472 | -0.359 |  |
| S14 | 0.360 | -0.670 | 0.231 | 0.157 | 0.568 | -0.062 | -0.112 | -0.057 | 0.002 |  |
| S15 | 0.023 | 0.801 | 0.380 | 0.078 | 0.151 | 0.170 | 0.166 | 0.337 | 0.125 |  |

Table C-4: Normalized contributions of perceptual space members in third principal component

| Speaker <br> Numbers | Perception Space Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F51 | F43 | F53 | F54 |
| S1 | 0.554 | 0.183 | -0.208 | -0.126 | 0.001 | 0.440 | -0.601 | -0.065 | 0.206 |
| S2 | 0.128 | -0.156 | 0.053 | 0.192 | -0.277 | -0.159 | 0.410 | 0.640 | 0.489 |
| S3 | 0.330 | 0.131 | -0.297 | -0.438 | 0.190 | 0.334 | -0.217 | 0.050 | 0.630 |
| S4 | 0.411 | -0.424 | 0.471 | 0.405 | -0.258 | 0.051 | 0.260 | 0.177 | 0.313 |
| S5 | 0.169 | -0.230 | -0.198 | 0.068 | -0.156 | 0.680 | -0.108 | 0.383 | 0.479 |
| S6 | -0.327 | -0.113 | 0.479 | 0.151 | 0.440 | 0.432 | 0.136 | 0.332 | 0.345 |
| S7 | -0.561 | 0.256 | -0.544 | -0.474 | 0.014 | 0.096 | 0.241 | -0.117 | -0.135 |
| S8 | -0.431 | -0.154 | -0.216 | -0.489 | -0.461 | 0.425 | 0.201 | 0.179 | 0.197 |
| S9 | 0.204 | 0.219 | -0.107 | -0.893 | 0.310 | -0.035 | 0.007 | -0.025 | -0.058 |
| S10 | -0.403 | -0.223 | 0.612 | 0.048 | -0.591 | -0.183 | 0.015 | -0.170 | 0.023 |
| S11 | 0.228 | -0.396 | 0.595 | 0.395 | 0.314 | -0.278 | 0.095 | 0.176 | 0.258 |
| S12 | -0.681 | 0.425 | -0.353 | -0.278 | -0.002 | -0.222 | 0.172 | 0.257 | 0.092 |
| S13 | 0.581 | -0.163 | -0.053 | 0.390 | 0.569 | 0.353 | -0.159 | 0.010 | 0.090 |
| S14 | -0.450 | -0.275 | 0.648 | 0.011 | -0.274 | -0.300 | 0.218 | 0.205 | -0.218 |
| S15 | -0.314 | 0.481 | -0.301 | -0.319 | -0.432 | -0.389 | -0.044 | -0.177 | -0.326 |

Table C-5: Normalized contributions of perceptual space members in fourth principal component

| Speaker <br> Numbers | Perception Space Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F51 | F43 | F53 | F54 |  |
| S1 | -0.263 | 0.679 | -0.406 | -0.096 | -0.117 | -0.223 | -0.128 | -0.295 | -0.360 |  |
| S2 | -0.046 | -0.350 | 0.257 | 0.011 | 0.492 | 0.716 | 0.187 | 0.117 | 0.069 |  |
| S3 | -0.247 | 0.484 | -0.343 | 0.182 | -0.442 | -0.076 | -0.482 | -0.345 | 0.029 |  |
| S4 | -0.450 | -0.173 | 0.643 | -0.011 | -0.026 | -0.035 | -0.574 | -0.138 | -0.060 |  |
| S5 | 0.135 | 0.533 | -0.539 | -0.279 | -0.084 | 0.087 | -0.402 | -0.381 | 0.088 |  |
| S6 | 0.231 | 0.196 | -0.467 | 0.260 | 0.732 | 0.047 | 0.189 | -0.219 | -0.037 |  |
| S7 | -0.094 | 0.233 | 0.206 | 0.060 | -0.842 | -0.278 | 0.037 | -0.212 | -0.241 |  |
| S8 | 0.612 | -0.203 | -0.039 | 0.252 | -0.270 | 0.337 | -0.269 | 0.276 | 0.429 |  |
| S9 | 0.070 | 0.209 | -0.928 | 0.100 | -0.179 | 0.073 | -0.013 | 0.148 | 0.146 |  |
| S10 | 0.744 | -0.024 | 0.455 | 0.330 | -0.028 | 0.174 | -0.239 | -0.089 | 0.184 |  |
| S11 | -0.091 | -0.213 | 0.212 | -0.231 | -0.646 | -0.400 | -0.414 | -0.187 | 0.255 |  |
| S12 | 0.094 | 0.051 | -0.302 | 0.375 | -0.364 | -0.510 | -0.556 | 0.002 | 0.234 |  |
| S13 | 0.187 | 0.444 | -0.592 | -0.293 | -0.035 | 0.143 | 0.098 | 0.457 | 0.304 |  |
| S14 | -0.066 | -0.171 | -0.030 | -0.035 | -0.318 | -0.101 | -0.510 | -0.684 | -0.353 |  |
| S15 | -0.527 | 0.024 | 0.237 | 0.176 | -0.164 | 0.034 | -0.574 | -0.173 | 0.497 |  |

Table C-6: Normalized contributions of perceptual space members in fifth principal component

| Speaker <br> Numbers | Perception Space Members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F51 | F43 | F53 | F54 |  |
| S1 | 0.531 | 0.104 | -0.038 | -0.155 | -0.303 | -0.617 | 0.152 | 0.317 | 0.004 |  |
| S2 | 0.226 | -0.048 | 0.050 | -0.121 | 0.076 | 0.051 | -0.825 | 0.482 | 0.088 |  |
| S3 | 0.570 | -0.153 | 0.104 | 0.319 | -0.338 | 0.551 | -0.223 | 0.045 | -0.266 |  |
| S4 | 0.483 | -0.161 | 0.124 | -0.470 | -0.214 | 0.412 | -0.115 | -0.124 | -0.510 |  |
| S5 | -0.028 | -0.149 | 0.477 | 0.173 | -0.593 | 0.125 | -0.364 | -0.468 | 0.031 |  |
| S6 | 0.716 | 0.006 | 0.349 | 0.166 | -0.190 | 0.113 | 0.531 | 0.069 | -0.052 |  |
| S7 | 0.247 | -0.058 | 0.237 | -0.816 | -0.092 | 0.071 | -0.446 | -0.009 | 0.027 |  |
| S8 | 0.232 | 0.530 | -0.717 | -0.285 | 0.214 | -0.014 | -0.125 | -0.009 | 0.094 |  |
| S9 | 0.531 | 0.165 | 0.131 | 0.293 | 0.541 | 0.210 | 0.071 | 0.337 | 0.366 |  |
| S10 | -0.122 | 0.026 | -0.164 | 0.807 | -0.084 | -0.052 | 0.417 | 0.300 | 0.179 |  |
| S11 | 0.528 | -0.040 | 0.181 | -0.534 | -0.194 | -0.121 | 0.356 | 0.306 | -0.360 |  |
| S12 | 0.064 | 0.203 | -0.367 | -0.058 | 0.300 | 0.563 | -0.307 | -0.224 | 0.515 |  |
| S13 | -0.108 | -0.161 | -0.102 | 0.286 | -0.093 | -0.478 | -0.616 | 0.226 | 0.450 |  |
| S14 | 0.725 | 0.010 | 0.016 | 0.187 | -0.513 | -0.361 | 0.072 | 0.188 | -0.062 |  |
| S15 | -0.385 | -0.351 | 0.440 | -0.130 | -0.259 | -0.263 | 0.289 | 0.538 | -0.102 |  |

