# STUDIES ON VOLUMETRIC AND SOUND VELOCITY OF L-PROLINE AND L-LYSINE IN AQUEOUS NICOTINAMIDE SOLUTION AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURE

by

**MD. GOLAM AZAM**

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of**

**Master of Science (M.Sc) in Chemistry**



**Khulna University of Engineering & Technology**

**Khulna 9203, Bangladesh.**

**May, 2017**

# Declaration

This is to certify that the thesis work entitled **"Studies on Volumetric and Sound Velocity of L-proline and L-lysine in Aqueous Nicotinamide Solution at Different Temperature"** has been carried out by Md. Golam Azam in the Department of Chemistry, Khulna University of Engineering & Technology, Khulna, Bangladesh. The above thesis work or any part of this work has not been submitted anywhere for the award of any degree or diploma.

Signature of the Supervisor                              Signature of the Candidate

# Acknowledgements

# ABSTRACT

In this study, volumetric and sound velocity method was used for the analysis of effect of vitamin $B_3$ (nicotinamide) on the structure of essential amino acids (L-proline and L-lysine). Densities and sound velocities of L-proline and L-lysine in water and in aqueous 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$, 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$, 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ and 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide solutions have been studied at 293.15K to 318.15K with an interval of 5K. The density data have been used to calculate apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$), limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0$), apparent molar expansibilities ($\delta\varphi_v^0/\delta T)_p$ and Hepler's constant $(\delta^2\varphi_v^0/\delta T^2)_p$. The acoustic properties such as adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$), apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$), limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), apparent molar adiabatic compressibility of transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$), acoustic impedance (Z), relative association ($R_A$) and hydration number ($n_H$) have been calculated by densities and sound velocities data.

The densities increase with the increase of concentration of amino acids. Densities of amino acids in aqueous nicotinamide solutions are higher than that of amino acids in aqueous solution. The increase of density with concentration of amino acids can be attributed to solute-solvent interaction. The limiting apparent molar volumes ($\varphi_v^0$) are positive at the studied temperatures for the binary and ternary mixtures indicate the presence of solute-solvent interactions. The smaller values of $S_v$ as compared to $\varphi_v^0$ values suggest the dominance of solute-solvent interaction over the solute-solute interaction.

The limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0$) values of L-proline are negative which suggest the existence of ion-hydrophobic and hydrophobic-hydrophobic group interaction. But $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0$ values of L-lysine are positive which suggest the existence of ion-hydrophilic and hydrophilic-hydrophilic interactions. The values of limiting apparent molar expansion $(\delta\varphi_v^0/\delta T)_p$ are positive which suggest the presence of solute-solvent interactions in solutions of amino acids in nicotinamide. Hepler's constant $(\delta^2\varphi_v^0/\delta T^2)_p$ values are small negative for all studied amino acids suggest the studied systems act as structure makers. The values of partial molar volumes ($\bar{V}_2$) increase with increasing of

concentration of L-proline and L-lysine for the studied systems. This trend of $\bar{V}_2$ indicates solute-solvent interactions increase with increasing concentration of amino acids.

The sound velocity increases with the increase of concentration of L-proline and L-lysine. This may be attributed to the increase of compactness of the medium with the increase in amino acids concentration. Sound velocities of amino acids in aqueous nicotinamide solutions are higher than that of amino acids in aqueous solution. The adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) decreases with the increase of concentration of L-proline and L-lysine. This indicates the water molecules around the amino acids are less compressible than the water molecules in the bulk solution. The negative apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) values indicate the greater loss of structural compressibility of water. The values of limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$) are negative. The values of apparent molar adiabatic compressibility transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$) are positive which suggest the existence of strong ion-solvent interaction. At lower concentration, negative values of $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$ indicate that increase in hydrophobic-hydrophobic group interactions. The small $S_k$ values also indicates the dominating of solute–solvent interactions over solute – solute interaction. The acoustic impedance, $Z$ increases with the increase of concentration of solution. The relative association, $R_A$ decreases linearly with increasing the concentration of solute indicates the increase of solute-solvent interaction. The positive hydration number ($n_H$) values indicate an appreciable solvation of solutes.

Therefore, the water molecules around amino acids are less compressible than water molecules in the bulk solution. The compressibility of ternary solution is less than binary solution. This result suggests that the proteins or peptides generated from the studied amino acids that will be denatured in ternary nicotinamide solution.

# Contents

# LIST OF TABLES

xiv

# LIST OF FIGURES

## Nomenclature

| | |
|---|---|
| $\varphi_v$ | The apparent molar volume |
| $\rho_0$ | Density of solvent |
| $\rho$ | Density of solution |
| $u_0$ | Sound velocity of solvent |
| $u$ | Sound velocity of solution |
| $V_2$ | Partial molar volume |
| $m$ | Molality |
| $M$ | Molecular mass |
| $n_1$ | Number of moles of solvent |
| $n_2$ | Number of moles of solute |
| $n_H$ | Hydration number |
| $R_A$ | Relative association |
| $Z$ | Acoustic impedance |
| $\beta_s$ | Adiabatic compressibility of solution |
| $\beta s,o$ | Adiabatic compressibility of solvent |
| $h$ | Plank's constant |
| $N$ | Avogadro's number |
| $R$ | Universal gas constant |
| $A,B,C$ | Constants related with temperature effects |

**CHAPTER I**

**Introduction**

**1.1 General**

The volumetric and transport properties of binary and ternary mixtures have been extensively used to understand the molecular interactions between the components of the mixture, to develop new theoretical models and also for engineering applications in the process industry concerning heat transfer, mass transfer and fluid flow. Knowledge of the macroscopic properties (densities, sound velocities, viscosities, surface tensions etc.) of the mixtures is of vital importance for accurate design of the equipment for various unit operations and unit processes [1, 2]. Solid liquid or liquid-liquid mixtures is of considerable importance in understanding the molecular interaction occurring among component molecules and finds their applications in several industrial and technological processes such as petrochemical, pharmaceutical and cosmetics etc. [3]. The interaction of important biomolecules such as amino acids with aqueous vitamin (nicotinamide) solutions and temperature dependence play an important role in the understanding of biomolecule action. It is also clear that if the solute and the solvent are interacting, as indeed they do, then the chemistry of the solute in a solvent must be different and the presence of a solvent can modify the properties of a solute. So the interactions of amino acids with their surrounding environment play an important role in their characteristic properties.

**1.2 Properties of solute in solvent**

In chemistry, a solution is a homogeneous mixture composed of two or more substances. In such a mixture, a solute is a substance dissolved in another substance, known as a solvent. The solution more or less takes on the characteristics of the solvent including its

phase and the solvent is commonly the major fraction of the mixture. The concentration of a solute in a solution is a measure of how much of that solute is dissolved in the solvent, with regard to how much solvent is present.

The physicochemical properties involving solute–solvent interactions in mixed solvents have increased over the past decade in view of their greater complexity in comparison with pure solvents [4–6]. This puzzling behavior results from the combined effects of preferential solvation of the solute by one of the components in the mixture [7, 8] and of solvent–solvent interactions [9]. Preferential solvation occurs when the polar solute has in its microenvironment more of one solvent than the other, in comparison with the bulk composition. The understanding of these phenomena may help in the elucidation of kinetic, spectroscopic and thermodynamic events that occur in solution.

Theoretically, solute-solvent interactions that mean the properties of solutions can be calculated from the properties of the individual components. But, the liquid state creates inherent difficulties and the properties of solution cannot understand properly. The theoretical treatments, therefore, have to assume some model (e.g., lattice model, cell model etc.) for the structure of the components and their solution. Alternatively, it is considered convenient and useful to determine experimentally the values of certain macroscopic properties of solutions for proper understanding of the structure of the solution. Some of the usually experimentally determined macroscopic properties are: density, sound velocity, thermodynamic properties, surface tension, etc., which are readily measurable.

Physical properties like density, sound velocity, surface tension, conductivity, dielectric constant, refractive index etc. provide an indication about the molecular structure as well as the molecular interactions that occur when solute and solvent are mixed together. The density and sound velocity are two fundamental physico-chemical properties of which are easy, simple, inexpensive and precise tools, by which one can get the valuable information about the molecular interactions in solid and liquid mixture correlated with equilibrium and transport properties. From the above mentioned properties, quantitative conclusion can be drawn about the molecular interactions even in simple liquids or their mixtures. Our

present investigation is based on the methods of physico-chemical analysis, which is a useful tool in getting sound information about the structure of some aqueous nicotinamide with amino acids in studying the solute-solvent and solvent-solvent interactions in ternary systems.

## 1.3 Amino Acids

Amino acids are defined as organic substances containing both amino and acid groups. Among more than 300 amino acids in nature, only 20 of them (α-amino acid) serve as building blocks of protein, of which 19 are α-amino acids and one is a cyclic α-amino acid (proline). Contrary to plants and some microorganisms, animals and humans are only capable of synthesizing 10 of the 20 naturally occurring amino acids. The rest must be included in the diet; these amino acids are classified as *essential*. Because of variations in their side chains, amino acids have remarkably different biochemical properties and functions [10].

From a chemical viewpoint an amino acid is a base as well as an acid; i.e. it consists both of an amino group and a carboxylic group. The amino acid is therefore an ampholyte since it can react both as a base and as an acid. The most common amino acids are the α-amino acids, which are amino acids where the amino group is located at the α-carbon atom of the carboxylic group as shown in Figure 1.1. The α-carbon atom (usually) has hydrogen and a side chain at the last two sites.



Figure 1.1. Basic structure of α-amino acids.

When two amino acids are linked together by a peptide bond is called a dipeptide. Continuing this process will eventually lead to the formation of protein [11]. Amino acids

have a higher solubility in polar solvents (e.g. water, ammonia) than in less polar solvents (e.g. ethanol, methanol, and acetone). They are crystalline solids with relatively high melting points. In aqueous solutions, the amino acids are generally stable, at physiological pH, and they exist as neutral dipolar ions, i.e., due to physiological conditions, the two terminals of amino acids are both charged; positive charge (amino group ) and negative charge (carboxyl group, ), therefore the molecules have the properties of zwitterion [12].

## 1.4  Properties of proline

Proline is one of the α-amino acids that are used by living organisms as the building blocks of proteins. Proline is unique among these in that the nitrogen atom is part of the ring structure, the cyclic array of carbon atoms, rather than outside the ring. That is, its amino group, through which it links to the other amino acids, is a secondary amine, rather than a primary amine group ($-NH_2$), as in the other nineteen amino acids. The unique structure of proline, with the amino group part of the ring structure, is important for the shape of proteins. This configuration offers important properties to proteins since it is the carboxylic acid group (-COOH) that links one amino acid to the other. (A peptide bond is a chemical bond formed between two molecules when the carboxyl group of one molecule reacts with the amino group of the other molecule.) This unique aspect of proline is important in establishing the structure of the protein, contributing to various bends and kinks in the shape of the protein. For a protein to function, it must have a particular conformational shape [13, 14].

The benefits of Proline in the body is extensive, from being skin-deep, it penetrates deep into the bones. Its benefits pass through blood vessels, muscles and bones. It is responsible for the construction of human tissues such as skin, cartilage, ligaments, joints, tendons, bones and blood vessels, but even the veins, arteries and lymph vessels. With this nonessential amino acid, the muscles and joints are kept flexible. In addition, the collagen component is also important in maintaining strength of the heart muscle and helps repair damaged tissues and aids in any types of wound healing. In brewing, proteins rich in proline combine with polyphenols to produce haze (turbidity) [15].

Figure 1.2: Structure of Proline

## 1.5  Properties of lysine

Lysine is an α-amino acid that is used in the biosynthesis of proteins. It contains an α-amino group (which is in the protonated $-NH_3^+$ form under biological conditions), an α-carboxylic acid group (which is in the deprotonated $-COO^-$ form under biological conditions), and a side chain $(CH_2)_4NH_2$, classifying it as a charged (at physiological pH), aliphatic amino acid. It is essential in humans, meaning the body cannot synthesize it and thus it must be obtained from the diet. The amino group often participates in hydrogen bonding and as a general base in catalysis. The α-amino group $(NH_3^+)$ is attached to the fifth carbon from the α-carbon, which is attached to the carboxyl group. The side chain of lysine has three methylene groups, so that even though the terminal amino group will be charged under physiological conditions, the side chain does have significant hydrophobic character. Lysine is often found buried with only the amino group exposed to solvent [16]. It is the building blocks of protein. Lysine is important for proper growth, and it plays an essential role in the production of carnitine, a nutrient responsible for converting fatty acids into energy and helping lower cholesterol. Lysine appears to help the body absorb calcium, and it plays an important role in the formation of collagen, a substance important for bones and connective tissues including skin, tendons, and cartilage [17].

 Deficiency of L-lysine may lead to anemia, blood shoot eyes, enzyme problems, hair loss, inability to concentrate, irritability, lack of energy, poor appetite, reproductive problems, retarded growth and weight loss. Lysine has been studied for the prevention and treatment of herpes infections and cold sores. It also increases the intestinal absorption of calcium and eliminates its excretion by the kidney, suggesting that it might be helpful in osteoporosis. Lysine has been investigated for its effects on increasing muscle mass, lowering glucose, and improving anxiety. Case reports suggest lysine may ameliorate

angina. Lysine acetylsalicylate has been used to treat pain and to detoxify the body after heroin use. Lysine clonixinate has been used to treat migraine headaches and other painful conditions [18].



Figure 1.3: Structure of Lysine

## 1.6 Properties of nicotinamide

Nicotinamide is the amide form of vitamin $B_3$ (niacin) and is obtained through synthesis in the body or as a dietary source and supplement [19]. It is water soluble vitamin. The molecular formula of nicotinamide is $C_6H_6N_2O$. Chemical name is 3-Pyridinecarboxamide. Nicotinamide is subsequently generated through the conversion of nicotinic acid in the liver or through the hydrolysis of NAD+. Once nicotinamide is obtained in the body, it functions as the precursor for the coenzyme β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+) [20,21] and also is essential for the synthesis of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP+) [22]. These cellular pathways are essential for energy metabolism and may directly impact normal physiology, as well as disease progression [23,24]. It may play a role in preventing type-I diabetes and some cancers. Nicotinamide has an anti-inflammatory effect which is helpful in the treatment of acne. It is also used for leprosy, memory loss, arthritis, improving digestion and lowering blood pressure. The mode of interactions of aqueous solution of nicotinamide and amino acids are of vital importance in the field of solution chemistry and pharmaceutical industry as it can provide with important information regarding hydrophilic and hydrophobic interactions.



Figure 1.4: Structure of nicotinamide

## 1.7 Properties of water

Water has a very simple molecular structure. The nature of the molecular structure of water causes its molecules to have unique electrochemical properties. The hydrogen side of the water molecule has a slight positive charge. On the other side of the molecule a negative charge exists. This molecular polarity causes water to be a powerful solvent and is responsible for its strong surface tension.

When the water molecule makes a physical phase change its molecules arrange themselves in distinctly different patterns. The molecular arrangement taken by ice (the solid form of the water molecule) leads to an increase in volume and a decrease in density. Expansion of the water molecule at freezing allows ice to float on top of liquid water.

## 1.8 Structure of water

It has been recognized that water is an 'anomalous' liquid many of its properties is differ essentially from normal liquids of simple structures [25]. The deviations from regularity indicate some kind of association of water molecules. The notable unique physical properties exhibited by liquid water are [26] : i) negative volume of melting  ii) density maximum in normal liquid range (at $4^0$C) iii) isothermal compressibility minimum in the normal liquid range at ($46^0$C) iv) numerous crystalline polymorphs v) high dielectric constant vi) abnormally high melting, boiling and critical temperatures for such a low molecular weight substance that is neither ionic nor metallic vii) increasing liquid fluidity with increasing pressure and viii) high mobility transport for $H^+$ and $OH^-$ ions pure water has a unique molecular structure. The O-H bond length is 0.096 nm and the H-O-H angle $104.5^0$. For a very long time the physical and the chemist have pondered over the possible structural arrangements that may be responsible for imparting very unusual properties to water. To understand the solute water interaction the most fundamental problem in solution chemistry the knowledge of water structure is a prerequisite. The physico-chemical properties of aqueous solution in most of the cares are interpreted in terms of the structural change produced by solute molecules. It is recognized that an understating of the

structural changes in the solvent may be crucial to study of the role of water in biological systems.

Various structural models that have been developed to describe the properties of water may generally be grouped into two categories, namely the continumm model and the mixture models. The continumm models [27, 28] treat liquid water as a uniform dielectric medium, and when averaged over a large number of molecules the environment about a particular molecules is considered to be the same as about any other molecules that is the behavior of all the molecules is equivalent.

The mixture model theories [29-31] depict the water as being a mixture of short lived liquid clusters of varying extents consisting of highly hydrogen bonded molecules which are mixed with and which alternates role with non bonded monomers.

Among the mixture models, the flickering cluster of Frank and Wen [32], later developed by Nemethy and Scherage [27], is commonly adopted in solution chemistry. Properties of dilute aqueous solutions in terms of structural changes brought about by the solutes can be explained more satisfactorily using this model than any other model. According to this model the tetrahedraly hydrogen bonded clusters, referred to as bulky water $(H_2O)_b$, are in dynamic equilibrium with the monomers, referred to as dense water, $(H_2O)_d$ as represented by [20].

$$(H_2O)_b \rightleftharpoons (H_2O)_d$$



Fig 1.5: Frank and Wen model for the structure modification produce by an ion

The hydrogen bonding in the clusters is postulated [33] to be cooperative phenomenon. So that when one bond forms several others also come into existence. The properties of solution can be accounted for in terms of solvent-solvent, solvent-solute and solute-solute interaction. In terms of thermodynamics, the concentration dependence of a given property extrapolated to the limit of infinite dilution provides a measure of solute-solvent interactions. Solute-water interaction or hydration phenomenon can be conveniently classified into three basic types:

    i.       Hydrophilic Hydration

    ii.      Ionic hydration

    iii.     Hydrophobic hydration

The introduction of a solute into liquid water produces changes in the properties of the solvent which are analogous to these brought about by temperature or pressure. The solute that shifts the equilibrium to the left and increase the average half-life of the clusters is termed as structure maker whereas that which has an effect in the opposite direction is called 'Structure breaker'.

The experimental result on various macroscopic properties provides useful information for proper understanding of specific interactions between the components and the structure of the solution. The thermodynamic and transport properties are sensitive to the solute-solvent, solute-solute, and solvent-solvent interaction. In solution systems these three types of interaction are possible but solute-solute interaction are negligible at dilute solutions. The concentration dependencies of the thermodynamic properties are a measure of solute-solute interaction and in the limit of infinite dilutions these parameters serve as a measure of solute-solvent interactions. The solute induced changes in water structure also result in a change in solution viscosity.

## 1.9  Hydrophilic hydration

Solvation occurs as the consequences of solute-solvent interactions different from those between solvent molecules themselves. The solubilization of a solute molecule in water is characterized by changes in the water structure that depend on the nature of the solute.

Dissolution of any solute will disrupt the arrangement of water molecules in the liquid state and create a hydration shell around the solute molecule. If the solute is an ionic species, then this hydration shell is characterized to extend from an inner layer where water molecules near the charge species are strongly polarized and oriented by the electrostatic field, through an intermediate region where water molecules are significantly polarized but not strongly oriented, to an outer solvent region of bulk water where the water molecules are only slightly polarized by the electric field of the ion [34].

## 1.10  Hydrophobic hydration and hydrophobic interaction

The hydrophobic effect refers to the combined phenomena of low solubility and the entropy dominated character of the solvation energy of non polar substances in aqueous media (35). It is also reflected by anomalous behavior in other thermodynamic properties, such as the partial molar enthalpies, heat capacities and volumes of the nonpolar solutes in water. This effect originated from a much stronger attractive interaction energy between the nonpolar solutes merged in water than their vander waals interaction in free space [36]. The tendency of relativity nonpolar molecules to ''stick together'' in aqueous solution is denoted as the hydrophobic interaction [37]. It results from hydrophobic hydration of a nonpolar molecule. Because hydrophobic hydration plays an important role in facilitating amphiphiles to aggregates in the aqueous bulk phase and to absorb, excessively, at the aqueous solution/air interface, it has been an ongoing objective of chemists working in these areas to seek a clearer understanding of the molecular nature behind the subtle hydration phenomenon occurring between nonpolar solutes and water. A brief but detailed account of the general aspects of hydrophobic hydration, which is essential to the rationalization of the results obtained in this work, is given at this point.

## 1.11  Amino acids-solvent systems

The experimental data on volumetric and ultrasonic properties provide valuable information for proper understanding the nature of interaction between the components of the solution. The study of volumetric and sound velocity of solution containing amino

acids and vitamin (nicotinamide) are interesting. The correlation between solute-solvent interactions is complex. The environment of the solute affects the volumetric and sound velocity properties; it is of interesting to study the effect of the media changing from water-vitamin (nicotinamide, nicotinic acid, thiamine, pyridoxine etc.) with amino acids on the thermodynamic properties. Research on density and sound velocity study of L-serine and L-threonine in aqueous nicotinamide solution has been reported [38]. Volumetric and viscometric studies of amino acids in vitamin $B_6$ aqueous solutions at various temperatures have been measured [39]. Volumetric and viscometric studies of amino acids in L-ascorbic acid aqueous solutions have been reported [40]. Explorations of diverse interactions of some vitamins in aqueous mixtures of cysteine have been reported [41]. Thermodynamics of (solute + solute) and (solute + solvent) interactions of homologous series of amino acids with thiamine hydrochloride in aqueous medium have been investigated [42]. Volumetric studies of a series of α-amino acids in aqueous magnesium chloride solutions at 278.15, 288.15, 298.15, and 308.15 K have been measured [43]. The structure making and structure breaking properties of amino acids in aqueous glucose solution at different temperatures have been reported [44]. Volumetric and viscometric studies of some amino acids in aqueous solutions of cadmium chloride at T = (288.15 to 318.15) K and at atmospheric pressure have been reported [45]. Partial molar volumes of L-serine and L-threonine in aqueous ammonium sulfate solutions at (278.15, 288.15, 298.15, and 308.15) K also have been reported [46].

## 1.12 The object of the present work

The developments in solution theory are still far from being adequate to account for the properties of the constituent molecules. Accordingly, it is the experimental data on various volumetric and ultrasonic properties, which provide useful information for proper understanding of specific interaction between the components and structure of the solution. The experimental approach of measurements of various macroscopic properties is also useful in providing guidance to theoretical approaches, since the experimentally determined values of solution properties may bring to light certain inadequacies in the proposed model on which theoretical treatments may be based. Volumetric and ultrasonic studies on ternary solutions have attracted a great deal of attention and experimental data

on a good number of systems are available in a number of review articles [47-48]. Since there has to be the same origin, namely, the characteristic intermolecular interactions, it is natural to seek functional relationships among the volumetric properties, ultrasonic properties and thermodynamic properties. However, such attempts have not met with much success.

Besides the theoretical importance, the knowledge of physicochemical properties of multicomponent mixtures is indispensable for many chemical process industries. For instance, in petroleum, petrochemical and related industries the above mentioned processes are commonly used to handle the mixture of hydrocarbons, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones etc., which exhibit ideal to non-ideal behavior. For accurate design of equipment required for these processes, it is necessary to have information regarding the interactions between the components. Similarly, knowledge of the sound velocity of liquids/mixtures is indispensable. Sound velocity and density data yield a lot of information on the nature of intermolecular interaction and mass transport.

The experimental data on volumetric and ultrasonic properties such as apparent molar volumes, partial molar volumes, apparent molar adiabatic compressibility and hydration number often provide valuable information for the understanding of the nature of homo and hetero-molecular interactions. The knowledge of the main factors involved in the solute-solvent and solvent-solvent interactions of liquid mixtures is fundamental for a better understanding of apparent molar volumes and ultrasonic properties.

The thermo-physical properties of liquid systems like density and sound velocity are strictly related to the molecular interactions taking place in the system [49]. The studies of amino acids express the interaction of dipolar ions with other functions and components in the biological system [50]. The interactions are of different types such as ionic or covalent, charge transfer, hydrogen bonding, ion-dipole and hydrophobic interactions. There are various papers appeared recently which use volumetric and ultrasonic method to access physiochemical parameters of biological molecule and interpreted the solute-solvent interactions [51-52]. Therefore we decided to study the density and sound velocities properties of amino acids in mixed solvent system.

In the present investigations, (i) densities, apparent molar volumes, partial molar volumes, apparent molar expansibilities (ii) sound velocities, apparent molar adiabatic compressibility, hydration number, acoustic impedance, relative association parameters of aqueous nicotinamide with amino acids at six different temperatures (293.15-318.15K) have been determined. Research on density and sound velocity study of L-serine and L-threonine in aqueous nicotinamide solution has been reported by a researcher [53]. To the best of our knowledge, no data on density, sound velocity, apparent molar volume, partial molar volume, adiabatic compression and isobaric expansion of L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide solutions at different temperatures under atmospheric pressure has previously been reported. With these points of view, we have undertaken this research and the measurement of density and sound velocity are thought to be powerful tools to investigate the intermolecular interactions of biological component L-proline and L-lysine with aqueous nicotinamide which are focused in this study. In order to understand the issue of solute-solvent interactions in aqueous solution of nicotinamide-amino acids systems a theoretical and experimental aspect of interactions in terms of apparent molar volume, partial molar volume, adiabatic compression and sound velocity properties analysis is necessary.

The specific aims of this study are-

i) to measure the density and sound velocity of L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide solution at different temperature,

ii) to understand the effect of nicotinamide on the structure of L-proline and L-lysine in solution,

iii) to predict about the structure making or breaking mechanism of L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous and aqueous nicotinamide systems,

iv) to examine the apparent molar volume, limiting apparent molar volume, apparent molar volume transfer, partial molar volume, apparent molar volume expansibilities, isentropic compression, acoustic impedance and relative association of the studied systems at different temperature,

v) to determine the hydration number of L-proline and L-lysine in binary and ternary systems,

vi) to enrich the available data on physico-chemical properties of the system.

**CHAPTER II**

**Theoretical Background**

## 2.1 Physical Properties and chemical constitutions

In interpreting the composition, the structure of molecules and the molecular interaction in the binary and ternary systems, it is inevitable to find out the size and the shape of the molecules and the geometry of the arrangement of their constituent atoms. For this Purpose, the important parameters are bond lengths or interatomic distance and bond angles. The type of atomic and other motions as well as the distribution of electrons around the nuclei must also be ascertained; even for a diatomic molecule a theoretical approach for such information would be complicated. However the chemical analysis and molecular weight determination would reveal the composition of the molecules, and the study of its chemical properties would unable one to ascertain the group or sequence of atoms in a molecule. But this cannot help us to find out the structures of molecules, as bond length, bond angles, internal atomic and molecular motions, polarity etc. cannot be ascertained precisely.

For such information it is indispensable to study the typical physical properties, such as absorption or emission of radiations, refractivity, light scattering, electrical polarization, magnetic susceptibility, optical rotations etc. The measurement of bulk properties like density, surface tension, viscosity etc. are also have gained increased importance during the recent years, because not only of their great usefulness in elucidating the composition and structure of molecules, but also the molecular interaction in binary and ternary systems.

The various physical properties based upon the measurement of density, viscosity, surface tension, refractive index, dielectric constant etc., have been found to fall into the following four categories [54].

(i)  **Purely additive properties:** An additive property is one, which for a given system is the sum of the corresponding properties of the constituents. The only strictly additive property is mass, for the mass of a molecule is exactly equal to the sum of the masses of its constituent atoms, and similarly the mass of a mixture is the sum of the separate masses of the constituent parts. There are other molecular properties like molar volume, radioactivity etc. are large additive in nature.

(ii)  **Purely constitutive properties:** The property, which depends entirely upon the arrangement of the atoms in the molecule and not on their number is said to be a purely constitutive property. For example, the optical activity is the property of the asymmetry of the molecule and occurs in all compounds having an overall asymmetry.

(iii)  **Constitutive and additive properties:** These are additive properties, but the additive character is modified by the way in which the atom or constituent parts of a system are linked together. Thus, atomic volume of oxygen in hydroxyl group (-OH) is 7.8 while in kenotic group (=CO) it is 12.2. The parachor, molar refraction, molecular viscosity etc. are the other example of this type.

(iv)  **Colligative properties:** A colligative property is one which depends primarily on the number of molecules concerned and not on their nature and magnitude. These properties are chiefly encountered in the study of dilute solutions. Lowering of vapor pressure, elevation of boiling point, depression of freezing point and osmotic pressure of dilute solutions on the addition of non-volatile solute molecules are such properties.

## 2.2 Density

The density of a liquid may be defined as the mass per unit volume of the liquid unit of volume being the cubic centimeter ($cm^3$) or milliliter (mL). Since the milliliter is defined to be the volume occupied by one gram of water at temperature of maximum density (i.e.,

at $4^0$C), the density of water at this temperature in gmL$^{-1}$ is unity and the density of water at any other temperature is expressed relative to that of water at $4^0$C and expressed by ($d^{10}_4$).

The relative density of a substance is the ratio of the weight of a given volume of the substance to the weight of an equal volume of water at the same temperature ($d^{10}_4$). The absolute density of a certain substance temperature $t^0$C is equal to the relative density multiplied by the density of water at the temperature. The density of a liquid may be determined either by weighing a known volume of the liquid in a density bottle or picnometer or by buoyancy method based on "Archimedes principle".

In our present investigation, the densities of the pure components and the mixture were determined by weighing a definite volume of the respective liquid in a density bottle.

## 2.3  Density and temperature

An increase in temperature of a liquid slightly increases the volume of the liquid, thus decreasing its density to some extent. The temperature increase brings about an increase in molecular velocity. These energetic molecules then fly apart causing more holes in the bulk of the liquid. This causes the expansion of the liquid, thereby decreasing the number of molecules per unit volume and hence the density.

## 2.4  Molarity

Molarity (C), is defined as the number of moles of solute per litre of solution. If $n_2$ is number of moles of solute and V liters is the volume of the solution then,

$$Molarity(\text{C}) = \frac{\text{Number of moles of solute}}{\text{Volume of solution}}$$

$$\text{or}, C = \frac{n_2}{V} \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots.(2.1)$$

For one mole of solute dissolved in one liter of solution, C=l i.e. molarity is one. Such a solution is called 1 molar. A solution containing two moles of solute in one liter is 2 molar and so on. As evident from expression (2.1), unit of molarity is $molL^{-1}$ [55].

## 2.5  Molar volume of Mixtures

The volume in mL occupied by one gram of any substance is called its specific volume and the volume occupied by 1 mole is called the molar volume of the substance. Therefore, if $\rho$ is the density and M be the molar mass, we have the molality (m) of a solution is defined as the number of moles of the solute per 1000 g of solvent [55]. Mathematically,

$$Molality(m) = \frac{\text{Number of moles of solute}}{\text{Weight of solvent in gram}} \times 1000$$

$$\text{or, } m = \frac{\frac{a}{M_2} \times 1000}{\text{Volume of solvent in mL} \times \text{Density of solvent in g cm}^{-3}}$$

$$\text{or, } m = \frac{\frac{a}{M_2} \times 1000}{V_1 \times \rho_0}$$

$$\text{or, } m = \frac{a}{M_2} \times \frac{1000}{V_1 \times \rho_0} \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots.(2.2)$$

Where, a       = Weight of solute in gram

$M_2$       = Molecular weight of solute in gram

$V_1$       = Volume of solvent in mL

$\rho_0$       = Density of solvent in g cm$^{-3}$

$$\text{Specific volume, (V)} = \frac{1}{\rho} mLg^{-1} \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots.(2.3)$$

$$\text{and Molar volume, (V}_m) = \frac{M}{\rho} mLmol^{-1} \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots.(2.4)$$

When two components are mixed together, there may be either a positive or a negative deviation in volume. The positive deviation in volume i.e. volume expansion has been explained by the breakdown of the mode of association through H-bonding of the associated liquids. The negative deviation in molar volume i.e. volume contraction has been thought of by many observers, as arising from the i) compound formation through association, ii) decrease in the intermolecular distance between the interacting molecules, iii) interstitial accommodation of smaller species in the structural network of the larger species and (iv) change in the bulk structure of either of the substance forming the mixture.

## 2.6  Apparent/ partial molar volume

The apparent molar volume of a solute in solution, generally denoted by is defined by $\varphi_v$ the relation [56]

$$\varphi_v = \frac{V - n\overline{V}_1^{\,0}}{n_2} \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots.(2.5)$$

Where, V is the volume of solution containing $n_1$ moles of solvent and $n_2$ moles of solute and $\overline{V}_1^{\,0}$ is the molal volume of the pure solvent at specified temperature and pressure. For binary solution, the apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of an electrolyte in an aqueous solution is given by [55],

$$\varphi_v = \frac{1}{n_2}\left[\frac{n_1 M_1 + n_2 M_2}{\rho} - n_1 \overline{V}_1^{\,0}\right] \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (2.6)$$

Where, $V = \dfrac{n_1 M_1 + n_2 M_2}{\rho}$ and

$n_1$ and $n_2$ are the number of moles, $M_1$ and $M_2$ are molar masses of the solvent and solute respectively and $\rho$ is the density of the solution. For molal concentration, $n_2 = m$, the molality and $n_1 = 55.51$, the number of moles of solvent in 1000g of solvent (water), the equation for apparent molal volume takes the form [57, 58]

$$\varphi_v = \frac{1}{m}\left[\frac{1000 + mM_2}{\rho} - \frac{1000}{\rho_0}\right]$$

$$\text{or, } \varphi_v = \left[\frac{M_2}{\rho} - \frac{1000(\rho - \rho_0)}{m\rho\rho_0}\right] \quad \text{……………………….(2.7)}$$

$$\text{or, } \varphi_v = \frac{1}{\rho}\left[M_2 - \frac{1000}{m}\left(\frac{W - W_0}{W_0 - W_e}\right)\right] \quad \text{……………………….(2.8)}$$

where, $\rho_0$ and $\rho$ are the densities of the solvent and solution and $W_e$, $W_0$ and $W$ are the weight of empty bottle, weight of bottle with solvent and weight of bottle with solution respectively.

If the concentration is expressed in molarity (C), the equation 2.8 takes the form [57]:

$$\varphi_v = \left[\frac{M_2}{\rho_0} - \frac{1000(\rho - \rho_0)}{C\rho_0}\right] \quad \text{……………………………} (2.9)$$

Where, the relation, $C = \frac{m.\varphi_v.1000}{1000 + \varphi_v.m.\rho_0}$ ……………………………….(2.10)

is used for inter conversion of the concentration in the two scales [59].

The partial molal property of a solute is defined as the change in property when one mole of the solute is added to an infinite amount of solvent, at constant temperature and pressure, so that the concentration of the solution remains virtually unaltered. If 'Y' represents partial molal property of a binary solution at constant temperature and pressure, Y will then be a function of two independent variables $n_1$ and $n_2$, which represent the number of moles of the two components present. The partial molar property of component one is then defined by the relation:

$$\overline{Y_1} = \left(\frac{\delta Y}{\delta n_1}\right)_{n_2,P,T} \quad \text{………………………………………..} (2.11)$$

Similarly for component 2,

$$\overline{Y_2} = \left(\frac{\delta Y}{\delta n_2}\right)_{n_{12},P,T} \quad \text{…………………………………………………..}(2.12)$$

The partial molar property is designated by a bar above the letter representing the property and by a subscript, which indicates the components to which the value refers. The usefulness of the concept of partial molar property lies in the fact that it may be shown mathematically as,

$$Y_{(n_1,n_2)} = n_1 \overline{Y_1} + n_2 \overline{Y_2}, \qquad \text{at constant T and P} \qquad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots..(2.13)$$

In respect of the volume of solution, equation 2.5 gives directly

$$V = n_1 \overline{V_1} + n_2 \overline{V_2}, \qquad \text{at constant T and P} \qquad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots..(2.14)$$

The partial molar volumes of solute and solvent can be derived using the equation 2.5 as follows [56]:

$$\overline{V_2} = \left( \frac{\delta V}{\delta n_2} \right)_{P,T,n_1} = \varphi_v + n_2 \left( \frac{\delta \varphi_v}{\delta n_2} \right)_{P,T,n_1} = \varphi_v + m \left( \frac{\delta \varphi_v}{\delta m} \right)_{P,T,n_1} \qquad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots..(2.15)$$

and,

$$\overline{V_1} = \frac{(V - n_2 \overline{V_2})}{n_1} = \frac{1}{n_1} \left[ n_1 \overline{V_1^0} - n_2^2 \left( \frac{\delta \varphi_v}{\delta n_2} \right) \right]_{P,T,n_1} = \overline{V_1^0} - \frac{m^2}{55.51} \left( \frac{\delta \varphi_v}{\delta m} \right)_{P,T,n_1} \qquad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots..(2.16)$$

For solutions of simple electrolytes, the apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vary linearly with $\sqrt{m}$, even upto moderate concentrations. This behavior is in agreement with the prediction of the Debye-Huckel theory of dilute solutions as [56]:

$$\frac{\delta \varphi_v}{\delta m} = \frac{\delta \varphi_v}{\delta \sqrt{m}} \cdot \frac{\delta \sqrt{m}}{\delta m} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m}} \cdot \frac{\delta \varphi_v}{\delta \sqrt{m}} \qquad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots.\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots.(2.17)$$

If $\varphi_v$ is available as a function of molal concentration, the partial molar volumes of solute and solvent can be obtained from equation 2.15 and 2.16 as:

$$\overline{V_2} = \varphi_v + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2} \left( \frac{\delta \varphi_v}{\delta \sqrt{m}} \right) = \varphi_v^0 + \frac{3\sqrt{m}}{2} \left( \frac{\delta \varphi_v}{\delta \sqrt{m}} \right) \qquad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots.(2.18)$$

and

$$\overline{V_1} = \overline{V_1^0} - \frac{m}{55.51}\left(\frac{\sqrt{m}}{2}.\frac{\delta\varphi_v}{\delta\sqrt{m}}\right) = V_1^0 - \frac{M_1 m^{3/2}}{2000}\left(\frac{\delta\varphi_v}{\delta\sqrt{m}}\right) \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots(2.19)$$

Where, $\varphi_v^0$ is the apparent molal volumes at zero concentration.

When molar concentration scale is used to express $\varphi_v$ as a function of concentration, then

$$\overline{V_2} = \varphi_v + \left[\frac{1000 - C\varphi_v}{2000 + C^{3/2}\left(\frac{\delta\varphi_v}{\delta\sqrt{C}}\right)}\right]\sqrt{C} \quad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots..(2.20)$$

and

$$\overline{V_1} = \frac{2000\overline{V_1^0}(18.016/\rho_0)}{2000 + C^{3/2}\left(\frac{\delta\varphi_v}{\delta\sqrt{C}}\right)} \quad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots(2.21)$$

From equation 2.18 and 2.20, it follows that at infinite dilution, (m or c → 0), the partial molar volume and the apparent molar volume are identical. To obtain reliable $\varphi_v$ values, it is necessary to measure the density ρ, with great precision because errors in ρ contribute considerably to the uncertainties in $\varphi_v$.

The concentration dependence of the apparent molar volume of electrolytes has been described by the Masson equation [60], the Redlich-Mayer equation [62] and Owen-Brinkley equation [61]. Masson [60] found that the apparent molar volume of the electrolytes vary with the square root of the molar concentration as,

$$\varphi_v = \varphi_v^0 + S_v \sqrt{c} \quad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (2.22)$$

Where, $S_v$ is the experimental slope depending on the nature of the electrolyte.

Redlich and Rosenfeld predicated that a constant limiting slope $S_v$, should be obtained for a given electrolyte charge type if the Debye-Huckel limiting law is obeyed. By differentiating the Debye-Huckel limiting law for activity coefficients with respect to pressure, the theoretical limiting law slope $S_v$, could be calculated using the equation,

$$S_v = KW^{3/2} \qquad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots(2.23)$$

Where, the terms K and W are given by

$$K = N^2 e^3 \left(\frac{8\pi}{100 D^3 RT}\right)^{1/2} \left\{\left(\frac{\delta \ln D}{\delta \rho}\right) - \left(\frac{\beta}{3}\right)\right\} \qquad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots..(2.24)$$

And $\quad W = 0.5 \sum_i \gamma_i Z_i^2$ $\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots.(2.25)$

Where, $\beta$ is the compressibility of the solvent, $\gamma_i$ is the number of ions of the species i of valency $Z_i$ formed by one molecule of the electrolyte and the other symbols have their usual significance [62]. For dilute solutions the limiting law for the concentration dependence of the apparent molar volume of electrolytes is given by the equation,

$$\varphi_v = \varphi_v^0 + KW^{3/2} \sqrt{C} \qquad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots..(2.26)$$

And for not too low concentrations, the concentration dependence can be represented as,

$$\varphi_v = \varphi_v^0 + S_v \sqrt{C} + b_v C \qquad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots... (2.27)$$

Where, $S_v$, is the theoretical limiting law slope and $b_v$ an empirical constant for 1:1 electrolyte, the limiting law slope at 298.15K is 1.868 $cm^3 mol^{-3/2}.L^{1/2}$.

## 2.7  Theory of ultrasonic velocity

Sound is propagated through a medium by longitudinal waves. A longitudinal wave is a type of periodic motion in which the displacement of the particles in the medium occurs in the same direction as the wave itself. A schematic diagram of a longitudinal sound wave is shown in Figure 2.1. For simplicity a one-dimensional wave is depicted, one can imagine that sound generated by an oscillating boundary at the left, is traveling to the right through a medium. The motion of the sound wave is a function of both time and space. The figure can be viewed as a density contour map of the medium. The darker areas have higher density; these are periodic compressions (C). The lighter areas have lower density; these

are periodic expansions, or refractions (R). The density of the fluid ahead of the wave front is the undisturbed bulk density ( ρ), which is intermediate between the local densities of the medium C and R.



**Figure 2.1.** Schematic diagram of a longitudinal standing wave; C and R show positions of medium compressions and refractions (high and low densities), respectively.

When a layer of fluid medium is compressed or rarefied during the passage of a sound wave, the pressure in the layer changes from the equilibrium pressure. The amount of pressure changed is defined as the excess pressure or sound pressure or acoustic pressure. Considering the acoustic pressure an equation for sound wave [63] or sound velocity can be derived, which is expressed as,

$$u = \left( \frac{1}{\rho\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad\text{.......................................................................} \quad (2.28)$$

Where, ρ is the equilibrium density and β is the compressibility, which is the reciprocal of bulk modulus , $k$ , of medium , given by

$$\beta = k^{-1} = -\frac{1}{V}\left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial P} \right)\text{.....................................................} \quad (2.29)$$

Where, $\partial V$ = volume changed during the passage of sound

$\partial P$ = acoustic pressure

V = volume of medium at equilibrium

An important aspect of sound propagation as the fact that if the frequency of the sound being generated by high enough  i.e., audio frequencies which are between $10^3$ and $10^4$ Hz

( oscillations per second), the compressions and refractions are established very rapidly as the sound way moves through the medium. This condition means that heat transport between the compressed and rarefied regions of the medium and the surroundings is slow relative to the creations of the compressions and refractions. Thus , on a local basis, the compressions and refractions are carried out adiabatically. At much lower sound frequencies, on the other hand, it is possible to imagine that heat transport between the medium and the surroundings is fast enough to allow the medium to be compressed and expanded isothermally (if the thermal mass of the surroundings is large enough). Accordingly, the compressibility β can be described under constant-temperature or constant-energy conditions, and one can thus distinguish between isothermal and adiabatic compressibilities of a substance, $\beta_T$, and $\beta_S$ respectively. Since audio frequencies are used in this experiment, we must use the adiabatic (or isentropic) , which can be explicitly written as,

$$\beta_S = -\frac{1}{V}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial P}\right)_S \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots \text{(2.30)}$$

Writing $\beta_s$ instead of β in equation (3.36) gives the Newton-La place equation of the form

$$u = \left(\frac{1}{\rho\beta_S}\right)^{½} \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots \text{(2.31)}$$

Various attempts [64-69] have been made to calculate theoretically ultrasonic sound velocity through binary mixtures.

## 2 .8  Adiabatic Compressibility

A more convenient path is to use the Newton-La Place equation to get the adiabatic Compressibility from speed of sound and density data. Rearranging equation (2.31) yields

$$\beta_s = \frac{1}{\rho u^2} \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots..\dots\dots\dots\dots \text{(2.32)}$$

Differentiating equation (2.31) with respect to pressure, P at constant entropy and Combining the above equations with its yields the expression of apparent molar adiabatic compressibility $\varphi_{\beta,s}$

$$\varphi_{\beta,s} = \left(\frac{M\beta_s}{\rho}\right) - \left(\frac{\beta_{s,0}\rho - \beta_s\rho_0}{m\rho\rho_0}\right)\dots\dots\dots\dots (2.33)$$

Where, zero (0) in subscript and superscript refers to pure solvent (water) and symbols have their usual meaning.

## 2.9  Acoustic Impedance

Sound travels through materials under the influence of sound pressure. Because molecules or atoms of a solid are bound elastically to one another, the excess pressure results in a wave propagating through the solid. The acoustic impedance is important in i) the determination of acoustic transmission and reflection at the boundary of two materials having different acoustic impedance, ii) the design of ultrasonic transducers and iii) assessing absorption of sound in a medium. Mathematically, it is defined as,

$$Z = u\rho\dots\dots\dots\dots (2.34)$$

Where, $\rho$ and $u$ are the densities and ultrasonic speeds of the mixture respectively.

## 2.10  Relative association

The relative association is defined as a measure of the extent of interaction between the component molecules in a real mixture relative to that in an ideal one

$$R_A = \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)\left(\frac{u}{u_0}\right)\dots\dots\dots\dots (2.35)$$

Where $\rho$, $\rho_0$ and $u$, $u_0$ are the densities and ultrasonic speeds of the solution and solvent respectively.

## 2.11 Hydration number

Hydration number is the number of molecules of water with which an ion can combine in an aqueous solution of given concentration. Hydration number has been computed using the relation,

$$n_H = \frac{n_1}{n_2}\left(1 - \frac{\beta_s}{\beta_{s,0}}\right) \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (2.36)$$

Where, $n_H$ denotes the hydration number. $\beta_s$, $\beta_{s,o}$ are adiabatic compressibilities of solution and solvent respectively and $n_1$ and $n_2$ are number of moles of solvent and solute respectively.

**CHAPTER III**

**Experimental**

During the course of the present work constant efforts for attaining the ideal conditions for the experiments were always attempted. The glass pieces were thoroughly cleaned and dried in oven before used.

The following systems have been carried for the investigation of molecular interactions of proline and lysine with water and in aqueous solution of nicotinamide.

1. Water+ L-proline
2. Water + L-lysine
3. Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide
4. Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide
5. Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide
6. Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide
7. Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide
8. Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide
9. Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide
10. Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide

All experiments have been carried out at six equidistant temperature viz. 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K over the aqueous 0.03, 0.045, 0.06 and 0.0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ composition, where m represents the molality of solution. The details of various information have been described in the following sections.

**3.1 Materials**

The chemicals used for study were –L-proline, L-lysine and nicotinamide. All chemicals were of analytical reagent (A.R) grade. Specifications and structural formula for all of them are given below:

28

| Chemicals | Molecular formula | Molar mass | Reported purity | Producer |
|-----------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|----------|
| Nicotinamide | $C_6H_6N_2O$ | 122.13 | 99.5% | LOBA Chemical, India |
| Proline | $C_5H_9NO_2$ | 115.13 | 99.0% | LOBA Chemical, India |
| Lysine | $C_6H_{14}N_2O_2$ | 146.19 | 99.5% | LOBA Chemical, India |

## 3.2 Apparatus

A HR-200 electronic balance with an accuracy of ±0.0001g was used for the mass determination. Densities and speeds of sound was measured by an Anton Paar DSA 5000 model high precision vibrating tube digital density meter and speed of sound measuring device, with automatic viscosity corrections.

## 3.3 Preparation of solution

The solutions were prepared immediately before the measurement. The binary solutions were prepared by mixing appropriate mass of the components. The amount of each component was later converted into the molality. The molality of the samples are controlled to ±0.00005 mol.kg$^{-1}$. Precautions were taken to prevent the introduction of moisture into the experimental example. Each time, the solution was prepared immediately before the density measurement.

## 3.4 Density and sound velocity measurements

The density of liquid may be define as the mass per unit volume of the liquid, the unit of volume being the cubic centimeter (cm$^3$) or millimeter . Since the millimeter is defined to be the volume occupied by one gram of water at temperature in g mL$^{-1}$ is unity and the density of water at any other temperature is expressed relative to that of water at 4$^0$c. The absolute density of a certain substance at temperature t$^0$ C is equal to the relative density multiplied by the density of water at the temperature. Density and sound velocity of pure liquid and liquid-liquid mixtures was measured using high precession vibrating tube digital densitometer (Anton Paar, DSA -5000,

Austria). The density and sound velocity values have been found with an error of $\pm 0.000006$ g cm$^{-3}$ and $\pm 0.05$ ms$^{-1}$ respectively. The method is based on the principle of time lapse measurement for certain member of oscillations of a vibrating U-shaped sample tube fill with the sample liquid. At constant temperature, the natural vibrational period of the U- tube is related to density of liquid filling the tube. In the latest version of Anton Paar digital density meter (DSA -5000), the natural vibration period is automatically converted into the density value and display directly on the LC display monitor of the decimeter. The DSA -5000 density measuring cell consists of a cell consists of a U-shaped oscillator glass cylinder. The temperature of the sample tube is controlled by two integrated in-built Pt 100 platinum thermometers to a level of highest accuracy and traceable to national standard. The temperature of the sample tube is controlled to $\pm$ 0.001K. The design of the cell ensures identical volumes to be used for the measurement on different samples. Using a polyethylene syringe the sample was continuously and slowly injected from the upper part of U-tube until the excess fluid flowed out of the lower part. This ensured that the inner surface of the cell was completely wet and there are no micro bubbles inside the U-tube. The syringe was kept as such in plugged. After the measurement the sample was removed and air was passed, by built in pump, through the tube to remove excess liquid. The tube was then rinsed several times with the solution of higher concentration and finally the solution was injected for the measurement. Measuring the density of water supplied with the densitometer checked the working of the densitometer. All measurements were made starting from the lowest to the highest solute concentration.

### 3.5 Apparent/ Partial molar volume measurements

The apparent molar volumes of the solution for binary and ternary systems were determined from density measurement using the following equation [56, 57]:

$$\varphi_v = \frac{1}{\rho}\left\{ M_2 - \frac{1}{m}\left( \frac{\rho - \rho_0}{\rho_0} \right) \right\}$$

$$\text{or, } \varphi_v = \frac{1}{m\rho\rho_0}\left( \rho_0 - \rho \right) + \frac{M_2}{\rho} \quad\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots(3.1)$$

Where, $\rho$ is the density of the experimental solution, $M_2$ and m are the molar mass and molality of the electrolyte respectively and $\rho_0$ is the density of the solvent. The molality 'm' of a solution was calculated from mole fraction of solute and solvent

$$m = \frac{X_2 \times 1000}{X_1 M_1}$$

Where, $M_1$ and $M_2$ = the molecular weight of solvent and solute

And also from molarity C,

$$m = \frac{1}{\left( \frac{\rho}{C} - \left( \frac{M_2}{1000} \right) \right)} \qquad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots \text{ (3.2)}$$

Where, C is the molarity, $M_2$ is the solute molecular weight and $\rho$ is the density of the solution respectively.

The molarity 'C' of a solution was calculated from the following equation:

$$C = \frac{1}{M_2} \times \frac{a}{\text{vol. of solution in liter}} \qquad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots \text{ (3.3)}$$

Where, a = weight of the solute (electrolyte) in gm, $M_2$ = solute molecular weight.

Molar volume of solvent (pure water) at experimental temperature was calculated using the following equation [56].

$$\overline{V}_1^0 = \frac{\text{Molecular masses of solvent}}{\text{Density of solvent (at expt. temp.)}} \qquad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots \text{(3.4)}$$

The partial molar volumes of the solute and solvent can be obtained from density measurement using the following equation.

$$\overline{V}_2 = \varphi_v + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2}\left( \frac{\delta\varphi_v}{\delta\sqrt{m}} \right) = \varphi^0_v + \frac{3\sqrt{m}}{2}\left( \frac{\delta\varphi_v}{\delta\sqrt{m}} \right) \qquad \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\text{(3.5)}$$

31

Where, $\varphi^0{}_v$ = apparent molar volumes at zero concentration.

and $\quad \overline{V_1} = V_1^0 - \dfrac{M_1 m^{3/2}}{2000}\left(\dfrac{\delta\varphi_v}{\delta\sqrt{m}}\right)$ …………………………………………….(3.6)

The values of $\dfrac{\delta\varphi_v}{\delta\sqrt{m}}$ were obtained from the slope of the plot of $\varphi_v$ against $\sqrt{C}$ by the use of

Masson (50) equation and the apparent molar volume of solutes at infinite dilution ($\varphi_v^0 \approx \overline{V_2}^0$)

were determined from the intercept of the plot, at C equal to zero.

## 3.6 Limiting apparent molar volume of transfer

Limiting apparent molar volume of transfer can be obtained from using the following equation,

$\Delta \varphi_v^0 = \varphi_v^0$ (in aq. nicotinamide solution) - $\varphi_v^0$ (in water) ……………………………….(3.7)

Where, $\varphi_v^0$ is limiting apparent molar volume.

## 3.7 Temperature dependent limiting apparent molar volume

At infinite dilution, the variation of limiting apparent molar volumes i.e. ($\Phi_v^0$) with the temperature can be expressed by the general polynomial equation as follows:

$\varphi_v^0 = A + B\,(T\text{-}T_m) + C\,(T\text{-}T_m)^2$ …………………………………………..(3.8)

where T is the temperature in Kelvin, $T_m$ is the average temperature A, B, and C are the empirical constants.

The limiting apparent molar expansibilities are calculated as follows:

$E_\varphi^0 = B + 2C\,(T\text{-}T_m)$ ……………………………………………………….(3.9)

Hepler developed the general thermo-dynamic expression to determine the capacity of solute as a structure maker or structure breaker in mixed solvent system using general thermodynamic expression [70]:

$$(\delta E^0 \varphi / \delta T)_p = 2C$$ …………………………………………………………..(3.10)

## 3.8 Adiabatic Compressibility measurements

The adiabatic compressibility, $\beta_s$ of the solution for binary and ternary systems were determined from density and sound velocity data using the following equation,

$$\beta_s = \frac{1}{\rho u^2}$$ …………………………………………..…………….. (3.11)

where, $\rho$ is the density of the experimental solution and u is the adiabatic compressibility of the solution.

## 3.9 Apparent molar Adiabatic Compressibility measurements

The apparent molar adiabatic compressibility, $\beta_s$ of the solution for binary and ternary systems were determined from density and sound velocity data using the following equation,

$$\varphi_v = \frac{M\beta_s}{\rho} + \left(\frac{\beta_{s,o}\rho - \beta_s\rho}{m\rho\rho_o}\right)$$…………………………………………….(3.12)

Where, $\rho$ and $\rho_o$ are the density of the experimental solution and solvent, m is the molarity of the solution and $\beta_s$ and $\beta_{s,o}$ are the adiabatic compressibility of the experimental solution and solvent.

## 3.10 Acoustic Impedance measurements

The acoustic impedance, Z is of the solution for binary and ternary systems were determined from density and sound velocity data using the following equation,

$$Z = u\rho$$……………………………………….................. (3.12)

Where, $\rho$ is the density of the experimental solution and u is the adiabatic compressibility of the solution.

### 3.11  Relative association measurements

The relative associations, RA of the solution for binary and ternary systems were determined from density and sound velocity data using the following equation,

$$R_A = \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)\left(\frac{u}{u_0}\right) \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (3.13)$$

Where, $\rho$, $\rho_o$ and u, $u_o$ are the densities and ultrasonic speeds of the experimental solution and solvent.

### 3.12  Hydration number

The hydration number, $n_H$ of the solution for binary and ternary systems were determined from density and sound velocity data using the following equation,

$$n_H = \frac{n_1}{n_2}\left(1 - \frac{\beta_s}{\beta_{s,o}}\right) \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots (3.14)$$

Where $n_H$ denotes the hydration number. $\beta_s$, $\beta_{s,o}$ are adiabatic compressibilities of solution and solvent respectively and $n_1$ and $n_2$ are number of moles of solvent and solute respectively.

## CHAPTERE IV

## Results and Discussion

Amino acids are very important for living organisms. Nicotinamide is the amide form of vitamin $B_3$ and is obtained as dietary source and supplement. Our studies included the interaction of amino acids (L-proline and L-lysine) with nicotinamide in terms of volumetric and sound velocity measurement. The experimental results and the properties derived from experimental data are presented in this chapter. The results have been discussed in the light of recent developments of the subject. The studied systems are:

a) Water + L-proline

b) Water + L-lysine

c) Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide

d) Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide

e) Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide

f) Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide

g) Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide

h) Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide

i) Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide

j) Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide

The above-mentioned systems have been studied precisely at six equidistant temperatures ranging from 293.15K to 318.15K at interval of 5K by density and sound velocity methods. The volumetric properties such as apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$), partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$), limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0$), limiting apparent molar expansibilities ($E_\varphi^0 = \delta\varphi_v^0/\delta T)_p$) and Hepler's constant $[(\delta E_\varphi^0/\delta T)_p = (\delta^2\varphi_v^0/\delta T^2)_p]$ have been determined from density data. The ultrasonic properties like adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$), apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$), limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$), apparent molar adiabatic compressibility of transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$), acoustic

impedance (Z), relative association ($R_A$) and hydration number ($n_H$) have been determined from sound velocity data. The obtained information of these systems have presented in various sections and discussed in the light of theories mentioned in the earlier chapter.

## 4.1 Volumetric Properties

The densities, $\rho$ and the relative densities, ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of amino acids in water systems have been determined at temperatures ranging from (293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K) with an interval of 5K over the concentration ranging from 0.05 mol.kg$^{-1}$ to 0.50 mol.kg$^{-1}$. The densities of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine have been shown in tables 4.1-4.2 and figures are graphically shown in 4.1-4.2 at different temperatures as a function of molality of aqueous amino acids. Figures 4.1-4.2 show that the densities of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine increase with the increase of L-proline and L-lysine concentration. These are due to the increase in number of particles in given region which leads to shrinkage in volume of solution [71,72]. The densities of the aqueous L-proline and L-lysine decrease in the order of L-lysine > L-proline for the same molality of amino acids and at the same temperature, which provides that the density is higher for the higher molecular weight. The densities decrease with the increase of temperature in aqueous L-proline and L-lysine systems. Because the solution is heated, the thermal energy of molecules increases and accordingly the intermolecular distance increases, which leads to the decrease of the density.

The densities, $\rho$ and the relative densities, ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of ternary systems such as L-proline and L-lysine in 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$, 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$, 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ and 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ aqueous nicotinamide solutions are listed in tables 4.3-4.10 and figures are graphically shown in 4.3-4.10. The values of densities of amino acids (L-proline and L-lysine) in aqueous nicotinamide systems has been found to be in the order of,

> Amino acids + water + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide > Amino acids + water + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide > Amino acids + water + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide > Amino acids + water + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide

It is seen that the density increase with the increasing of nicotinamide concentration at a fixed amino acid concentration. The increase of density with concentration of nicotinamide

can be attributed to solute- solvent interaction and weight of nicotinamide in solution. The densities of the L-lysine and L-proline solutions increase in the order of L-lysine > L-proline for the same molality of amino acids and nicotinamide at the same temperature, which provides that the density is higher for the higher molecular weight. For ternary systems the densities also decrease with the increase of temperature. Because the solution is heated, the thermal energy of molecules increases and accordingly the intermolecular distance increases, which leads to the decrease of the density [73].

Densities of amino acids + nicotinamide + water are higher than that of amino acids + water systems. Increase in density with concentration is also due to the shrinkage in the volume which in turn is due to the presence of solute molecules. In other words, an increase in density may be interpreted to the structure-maker of the solvent due to the added solute [71, 72].

The apparent molar volumes ($\varphi_v$) of L-proline and L-lysine in water are calculated from density data. The value of apparent molar volume of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine at different temperatures (293.15, 298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, 318.15) K are given in tables 4.11-4.12 and the variation of $\varphi_v$ with molality of L-proline and L-lysine are graphically represented in figures 4.11-4.12. It appears from the figure that apparent molar volume is dependent upon the amino acids concentration as well as on the temperature. Plots of $\varphi_v$ vs. molality (m) of amino acids show linear relationship in water system. The values of apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of aqueous amino acids are positive and linearly increase with the increase of concentration of amino acids. The positive values of $\varphi_v$ are indicative of greater solute–solvent interactions. The values of apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of aqueous L-lysine is higher than L-proline which due to the number of carbon in alkyl group present in amino acids i.e. from L-proline to L-lysine at all temperatures and concentrations, due to the increase in surface of solute to interact with solvent. This indicates that the aqueous solution of L-lysine is more organized than L-proline [39]. The value of $\varphi_v$ increases with increase in temperature because of thermal agitation, which leads to the bond braking.

The value of apparent molar volume of L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide solutions (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$, 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$, 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ and 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different

temperatures (293.15, 298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, 318.15) K are given in tables 4.13-4.20 and figures 4.13-4.20 show the plots of apparent molar volume as a function of molality of L-proline and L-lysine at different temperatures. Plots of $\varphi_v$ vs. molality of amino acids show linear relationship in aqueous nicotinamide system. For L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide solutions systems, the values of apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) are also positive and linearly increase with the increase of concentration of L-proline and L-lysine. It has been also found that apparent molar volumes for L-proline and L-lysine increase with the increase of nicotinamide concentration (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$, 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$, 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ and 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$). The positive values of $\varphi_v$ are indicative of greater solute–solvent interactions. At a fixed nicotinamide concentration and temperature, the increase of $\varphi_v$ with the concentration of added amino acids in the studied molality range may be due to the cluster formation or aggregation. Also, the apparent molar volumes increase with an increase in the number of carbon in alkyl group present in amino acids i.e. from L-proline to L-lysine at all temperatures and concentrations of nicotinamide, due to the increase in surface of solute to interact with solvent [39].

Comparatively lower apparent molar volume, $\varphi_v$ of L-proline in aqueous nicotinamide solutions than aqueous L-proline was found. This indicates that the L-proline are more compressed in aqueous nicotinamide solution than aqueous solution. Whereas higher apparent molar volume, $\varphi_v$ of L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide solution than aqueous L-lysine solution was found. This indicates that the L-lysine is less compressed in aqueous nicotinamide solution than aqueous solution.

The value of $\varphi_v$ increases with increase in temperature. This cause  may be: (i) due to the increase in thermal energy at higher temperature, the relaxation to the bulk of the electrostricted water molecules from the interaction regions of ion -dipole or  dipole-dipole interaction results in a positive volume change; (ii) that an increase in temperature renders the ion–ion interactions relatively stronger giving rise to positive volume change and (iii) the nicotinamide–nicotinamide or nicotinamide-water or water–water interactions decrease with the increase in temperature leading to a positive change in volume [74].

The limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$) which is also called the standard partial molar volume of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine at 293.15, 298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15 and

318.15K are reported in tables 4.21-4.22. The limiting apparent molar volumes ($\varphi_v^0$) of amino acids reflect the true volume of the solute. However, limiting apparent molar volumes at infinite dilution ($\varphi_v^0$) of the solute can provide further information regarding solute + solvent interactions. The apparent molar volumes ($\varphi_v$) were observed to correlate linearly with solution molality (m) at all experimental temperatures, hence standard partial molar volumes ($\varphi_v^0$) were obtained from Masson equation [60]. Tables 4.21-4.22 show that values of limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$) are positive. At each temperature, the ($\varphi_v^0$) values increase with size of carbon chain i.e. increase in the number of carbon of alkyl part from L-proline to L-lysine. Furthermore, the values of $\varphi_v^0$ also increase with an increase in the molar mass and size of the amino acid, that is, higher values of $\varphi_v^0$ are obtained for L-lysine as compared to L-proline. These trends in limiting apparent molar volumes ($\varphi_v^0$) indicate the presence of strong solute - solvent interactions. The increase in $\varphi_v^0$ values with the increase in temperature for all amino acids may be explained as release of some solvent molecules from the loose solvation layers of the solutes in solution.

The values of limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$) for L-proline and L-lysine in ternary (water + nicotinamide) solution at the studied temperatures are presented in tables 4.23-4.30. These tables show that values of limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$) are positive and increase with an increase in the nicotinamide concentration. Further, at each temperature, the $\varphi_v^0$ values increase with the size of carbon chain i.e. increase in chain length of alkyl part from L-proline to L-lysine. As per cosphere overlap model [75,76], an overlap of hydration co-spheres of two ionic species causes an increase in volume, whereas overlap of hydrophobic-hydrophobic groups and ion-hydrophobic groups results in the volume decrease. In the present ternary systems the overlap of cosphere of two ionic species take place. Furthermore, the values of $\varphi_v^0$ also increase with an increase in the molar mass and size of the amino acid, that is, higher values of $\varphi_v^0$ are obtained for L-lysine as compared to L-proline in aqueous nicotinamide solutions. The increase in $\varphi_v^0$ values with the increase in temperature for the studied systems may be explained as release of some solvent molecules from the loose solvation layers of the solutes in solution. This can also be explained by considering the size of primary and secondary solvation layers around zwitterions. At higher temperatures, the solvent from the secondary solvation layers of amino acid zwitterions is released into the bulk of the solvent, resulting into the expansion of solution, as inferred from larger values of $\varphi_v^0$ at higher temperatures [39]. In

simple terms, an increase in temperature reduces the electrostriction and hence $\varphi_v^0$ increases.

The values of experimental slope ($S_V$) for aqueous amino acids and amino acids in ternary (water + nicotinamide) solution at the experimental temperatures are reported in tables 4.21-4.30. The values of experimental slope ($S_V$) are positive for all the concentration of amino acids. The non-zero values of $S_V$ indicate the presence of solute–solute interactions in solutions of amino acids. Since there is no regular trend in the values of $S_V$, this clearly indicates that solute-solute interaction is also influenced by other factors [77]. The smaller values of $S_V$ as compared to $\varphi_v^0$ suggest the dominance of solute solvent interaction over the solute-solute interaction.

$S_V$ values are positive and decrease with an increase of temperature (with some exception) of amino acids in the aqueous and aqueous nicotinamide solution suggesting that less solute is accommodated in the void space left in the packing of the large associated solvent molecules. The results also indicate the presence of strong solute-solute interactions, and these interactions decrease with the increase in temperature. The values of $S_v$ increase with the increase in composition of aqueous nicotinamide solution showing strong solute-solute interactions.

The values of limiting apparent molar volume transfer of amino acids from water to aqueous nicotinamide solutions at infinite dilution was calculated by using the equation,

$$\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0 = \varphi_v^0 \text{ (in aq. nicotinamide) - } \varphi_v^0 \text{ (in water).}$$

The values of limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0$) of L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide solutions have been reported in tables 4.23-4.30. The $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0$ values of L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide solutions are positive where L-proline in aqueous nicotinamide systems shows negative value. The observed positive values of $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0$ suggest strong ion-ion interactions of amino acids with nicotinamide. Since the structural moiety of amino acids and aqueous nicotinamide contain polar groups, so interactions between them promote the structure maker ability of solute in the solvent. Hence, the mentioned positive values of transfer volume indicate structure promoter nature of the solute which is due to their solvophobic solvation as well as the structural interaction according to co-sphere

overlap model [75,76]. Depending upon the co-sphere overlap model regarding the values of $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0$, there is negligible contribution from solute-solute interactions and hence they provide information regarding solute-solvent interactions. The various interactions that occur between amino acids and aqueous nicotinamide molecules can be categorized as: (i) ion-hydrophilic interactions (between zwitterionic centers of amino acids and polar groups of nicotinamide) (ii) hydrophilic-hydrophilic interactions (between polar groups of amino acids and polar groups of nicotinamide) (iii) ion-hydrophobic interactions (between zwitterionic centers of amino acids and non-polar groups of nicotinamide) and (iv) hydrophobic-hydrophobic interactions (between non-polar groups of amino acids and non-polar groups of nicotinamide). According to co-sphere overlap model, ion-hydrophobic interactions and hydrophobic- hydrophobic interactions contribute negatively whereas ion-hydrophilic and hydrophilic-hydrophilic interactions contribute positively to the $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0$ values. Therefore, in our study of L-lysine + nicotinamide + water shows that ion-hydrophilic and hydrophilic-hydrophilic interactions are dominating whereas L-proline + nicotinamide + water shows ion-hydrophobic and hydrophobic- hydrophobic interactions are dominating (scheme 1).

From the structural view point of L-proline and L-lysine, it is seen that the structure of L-proline is cyclic whereas the structure of L-lysine is open chain. In addition to that L-lysine contains two amino groups and L-proline contains one amino group. Therefore, in L-lysine hydrophilic-hydrophilic or ion-hydrophilic interactions are dominating whereas in L-proline hydrophobic-hydrophobic interactions are dominating.

1. Ion-hydrophilic interaction

2. Hydrophilic-hydrophilic interaction

3. Hydrophobic-hydrophobic interaction

4. Ion-hydrophobic interaction

Scheme 1: A schematic representation of the possible interaction of amino acids with nicotinamide in aqueous solutions.

The values of limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities $E_\varphi^0$ and $(\delta E_\varphi^0/\delta T)_p$ of aqueous L-proline and L -lysine are reported in tables 4.21-4.22. The $E_\varphi^0$ values are found to be positive at all temperatures and concentrations of amino acids. The positive values of $E_\varphi^0$ suggest that the presence of solute-solvent interactions in these systems, as already indicated by apparent molar volume data. The $E_\varphi^0$ values show an irregular trend with an increase of temperature. The sign of $(\delta E_\varphi^0/\delta T)_p$ determines the tendency of a dissolved solute as a structure maker or structure breaker in a solvent which suggests that positive and small negative $(\delta E_\varphi^0/\delta T)_p$ values are observed for solutes having structure making capacity. The small negative values of $(\delta E_\varphi^0/\delta T)_p$ for studied systems may act as the structure making ability.

The values of limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities $E_\varphi^0$ and $(\delta E_\varphi^0/\delta T)_p$ of L-proline and L-lysine in ternary (water + nicotinamide) solutions are reported in tables 4.23-4.30. The $E_\varphi^0$ values are found to be positive at all temperatures and concentrations of amino acids in nicotinamide solution which is similar with the binary systems. The positive values of $E_\varphi^0$ suggest that the presence of solute-solvent interactions in these systems. The positive values of $E_\varphi^0$ may occur due to phenomenon of packing effect or caging which further suggests interaction between amino acids and aqueous nicotinamide molecules. The small negative values of $(\delta E_\varphi^0/\delta T)_p$ for studied systems show the structure making ability of amino acids in all aqueous nicotinamide solutions [70,78-82].

The values of Partial molar volume $(\bar{V}_2)$ of aqueous amino acids and amino acids in ternary (water + nicotinamide) solutions are shown in tables 4.31-4.40 and Figures 4.21-4.30 show the plots of partial molar volume as a function of concentration of aqueous amino acids and amino acids in aqueous solution of nicotinamide. The value of partial molar volume $(\bar{V}_2)$ increases with the increase of concentration of amino acid. This suggests that solute-solvent interactions increase with the increase of concentration of amino acids.

## 4.2 Ultrasonic properties

The ultrasonic velocity is highly sensitive to molecular interactions and provides qualitative information about the physical nature and strength of molecular interaction in

the liquid mixtures [83]. The ultrasonic velocity is a measure of arrangement, continuity, continuousness and availability of void space of the medium.

The sound velocities, u and the relative sound velocities, $(u-u_0)$ of aqueous amino acids and amino acids in ternary (water + nicotinamide) systems have been determined at temperatures ranging from (293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K, and 318.15K) with an interval of 5K over the concentration ranging from 0.05 mol.kg$^{-1}$ to 0.50 mol.kg$^{-1}$. The sound velocities of aqueous amino acids and amino acids in aqueous nicotinamide solution have been shown in tables 4.41-4.50 at different temperatures. Figures 4.31-4.40 show the plots of sound velocities as a function of molality of aqueous amino acids and amino acids in aqueous nicotinamide solution. These figures show that the sound velocity increases with the increase of concentration of amino acids. This may be attributed to the increase of compactness of the medium with the increase in amino acids concentration [84]. The sound velocity of aqueous L-lysine is higher than aqueous L-proline. This is due to the molecular weight of L-lysine is higher than L-proline. The existence of molecular interactions between solute and solvent molecules is responsible for the observed increase in the sound velocity of these mixtures.

The compressibility is a very sensitive indicator of molecule interactions [85]. The structural change of molecules takes place due to existence of electrostatic field between interacting molecules. The change in adiabatic compressibility value in liquid and liquid mixtures may be ascribed to the strength of intermolecular attraction. The relative value change upon application of pressure is defined as adiabatic compressibility, which depends on intermolecular states. The liquids/solution having compact structure, rigid bonding and strong intermolecular interaction are less compressible. Evidently, hydrogen bonding, strong dipole-dipole interactions and geometrical fitting of one component into other structural network lead to decrease adiabatic compressibility.

The adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine has been shown in tables 4.51-4.52 at different temperatures. Figures 4.41-4.42 show the plots of adiabatic compressibility as a function of molality of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine. From the figures it is apparent that the values of $\beta_s$ decrease with the increase of molar concentration of L-proline and L-lysine. The value of $\beta_s$ also decreases with the increases of temperature.

The decrease in the $\beta_s$ values with increasing concentration of L-proline and L-lysine indicates that the water molecules around the amino acids are less compressible than the water molecule in the bulk solution [86,87]. The decrease in $\beta$s may be due to the introduction of amino acids molecule into water which reduces the void space in solution.

The values of adiabatic compressibility, $\beta_s$ of L-proline and L-lysine in ternary (water + nicotinamide) solution are shown in tables 4.53-4.60 and figures 4.43-4.50 show the plots of adiabatic compressibility as a function of molality of L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide. From these figures it is apparent that the values of $\beta_s$ decrease with the increase of concentration of L-proline and L-lysine in nicotinamide solution which is similar with binary systems. The values of $\beta_s$ also decrease with the increase of temperature. The decrease in the $\beta_s$ values of L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide solutions by increasing concentration of amino acids indicates that the water molecules around the amino acids are less compressible than the water molecule in the bulk solution [86,87]. The decrease in $\beta$s may be due to the introduction of amino acids molecule into water and aqueous nicotinamide solutions which reduce the void space in solution.

The apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine are calculated from density and sound velocity data. The values of apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine at different temperatures (293.15, 298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, 318.15) K are given in tables 4.61-4.62 and the variation of $\varphi_k$ with molality of L-proline and L-lysine are graphically represented in figures 4.51-4.52. From the data it is observed that values of $\varphi_k$ are negative at all temperatures and concentrations of L-proline and L-lysine. The values of $\varphi_k$ increase with an increase in the concentration of amino acids. The values of $\varphi_k$ also increase with the increase of temperature. The negative $\varphi_k$ values show that water molecules around ionic charged groups of amino acids are less compressible than water molecules in the bulk solution. This indicates the ordering of water molecules around solute or the negative $\varphi_k$ values indicate greater loss of structural compressibility of water implying a greater ordering effect by the solute on the solvent [39].

The value of apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of L-proline and L-lysine in ternary (water + nicotinamide) solution at different temperatures (293.15, 298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, 318.15) K are given in tables 4.63-4.70 and the variation of $\varphi_k$ with molality of L-proline and L-lysine are graphically represented in figures 4.53-4.60. From the data it is observed that values of $\varphi_k$ are negative at all temperatures and concentrations of nicotinamide which is similar with binary systems. The values of $\varphi_k$ increase with an increase in the concentration of amino acids. The values of $\varphi_k$ also increase with the increase of temperature. The negative $\varphi_k$ values show that water molecules around ionic charged groups of amino acids are less compressible than water molecules in the bulk solution. This indicates the ordering of water molecules around solute or the negative $\varphi_k$ values indicate greater loss of structural compressibility of water implying a greater ordering effect by the solute on the solvent [39].

The values of apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of amino acids + nicotinamide + water are higher than the values of amino acids + water systems except L-proline + water + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ system. This higher values of ternary systems than the binary systems show a greater ordering effect by the solute on the solvent.

The values of limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$) and experimental slope ($S_k$) of aqueous amino acids and amino acids in ternary (water + nicotinamide) solution at different temperatures (293.15, 298.15, 303.15, 308.15, 313.15, 318.15) K are tabulated in tables 4.71-4.80. The value of $S_k$ is the indicative of solute–solute interactions. As solute–solute interactions are negligible at infinite dilution due to small size of $S_k$ values, this indicates that solute–solvent interactions [88] are prevailing in the mixtures. The more negative values of $\varphi_k^0$ for amino acids at low temperature are attributed to the strong attractive interactions between amino acids and water [89]. With an increase in temperature, the $\varphi_k^0$ values become less negative, which means that electrostriction reduces and some water molecules are released to bulk. Furthermore, the attractive interactions between nicotinamide and water molecules induce the dehydration of amino acids and therefore at high nicotinamide concentrations the water molecules around the amino acids are more compressible than those at lower nicotinamide concentrations.

The values of apparent molar adiabatic compressibility of transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k{}^0$) for molal concentrations of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine at different temperatures are reported in tables 4.73-4.80. These values of apparent molar adiabatic compressibility of transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k{}^0$) of amino acids are positive but L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide systems show the negative value.

The positive values of $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k{}^0$ indicated that the consequence of increase in the number of monomeric water molecules on breakdown of hydrogen bonding among the water molecules in overlapping of several hydration spheres such as zwitterionic group of amino acids and alkyl chains of both amino acids and nicotinamide result the increase in the number of monomeric water molecules. The $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k{}^0$ values of amino acids in aqueous nicotinamide solutions increase with increasing the mass of chain is due to the strengthening the hydrophobic-hydrophobic interaction and rapid increase from L-proline to L-lysine is on account of lacking the alkyl group of L-proline [90]. Negative values of $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k{}^0$ indicate that increase in hydrophilic-hydrophobic and hydrophobic- hydrophobic group interactions results in disruption of hydration sphere of charged centers of amino acid thereby reducing the positive contribution to $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k{}^0$ [39].

The values of acoustic impedance, Z of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine have been shown in tables 4.81-4.90 at different temperatures. Figures 4.61-4.70 show the plots of acoustic impedance as a function of molality of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine. It is evident from the figures 4.61-4.70 that acoustic impedance increases with the increase in molality of amino acids. The increase in Z with the molality of amino acids indicates that as concentration increases the sound wave has to face resistance to flow. The positive acoustic impedance is, therefore, an evidential parameter for solute-solvent interaction [84]. The present data support that there exist a strong solute-solvent interaction in L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide solution.

The values of acoustic impedance, Z of amino acids + nicotinamide + water are higher than the values of amino acids + water systems. These higher values of ternary systems than the binary systems show strong solute-solvent interaction in ternary systems than binary systems.

The values of relative association, $R_A$ of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine have been shown in tables 4.91-4.100 at different temperatures. Figures 4.71-4.80 show the plots of relative association as a function of molality of aqueous L-proline and L-lysine. The relative association decrease with the increase of molality of L-proline and L-lysine. The linear decrease in $R_A$ indicates that solute-solvent interaction is maxima at infinite dilution. As the concentration of amino acids increases, the deviation from ideality increases thereby decreasing the solute-solvent interaction. This may be due to the increase in solute-solute interaction [84]. The values of $R_A$ decrease with concentration but more decrease at higher temperature.

The hydration number ($n_H$) of L-proline and L-lysine in water are listed in tables 4.101-4.102 and figures are graphically shown in 4.81-4.82. The hydration numbers decrease with the increase of concentration for aqueous L-proline and L-lysine system. The hydration numbers also decrease with the increase of temperature. The hydration number of aqueous L-lysine is more than the aqueous L-proline. The values of hydration number decreases as appreciable increases of solutes. This is an added support for the structure promoting nature of the amino acids as well as the presence of dipolar interaction between amino acids and water molecules. This also suggests that compressibility of the solution is less than that of the solvent. This may enhance the interaction between solute and solvent molecules [89]. From the tables, it is observed that the values of hydration number decrease with the increase of concentration of amino acids.

The values of hydration number ($n_H$) for molal concentrations of L-proline and L-lysine in (0.03, 0.045, 0.06 and 0.09) mol.kg$^{-1}$ aqueous nicotinamide solutions at different temperatures are reported in tables 4.103-4.110. The variation of $n_H$ with molality is graphically shown in figures 4.83-4.90. The hydration numbers decrease with the increase of concentration for L-proline and L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide systems which is similar with binary systems. The hydration numbers decrease with the increase of temperature. The hydration number of L-lysine in aqueous nicotinamide is more than L-proline in aqueous nicotinamide. The hydration number of high nicotinamide concentrations the water molecules around the amino acids is lower than those at lower nicotinamide concentrations. The positive values of hydration number decreases as appreciable increases of solutes. This is an added support for the structure promoting

nature of the amino acids as well as the presence of dipolar interaction between amino acids and water molecules. This also suggests that compressibility of the solution is less than that of the solvent. As a result amino acids will gain mobility and have more probability of contacting aqueous nicotinamide molecules. This may enhance the interaction between solute and solvent molecules [91]. From the tables, it is observed that the values of hydration number decrease with the increase of concentration of amino acids in aqueous nicotinamide solution.

The values of hydration number ($n_H$) of amino acids + nicotinamide + water are lower than the values of amino acids + water systems except L-proline +0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide + water systems. The lower values of hydration number in ternary system compared to binary system suggest strong solute-solvent interaction in ternary system than binary system.

Table 4.1: Density (ρ) and relative density (ρ-$ρ_0$) of aqueous L-proline as a function of molality at different temperature

| | Water + L-proline | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Density, $ρ/kg.m^{-3}$ | | | | | | Relative density, $(ρ-ρ_0)/kg.m^{-3}$ | | | | | |
| $m/mol.kg^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 998.244 | 997.090 | 995.693 | 994.073 | 992.254 | 990.250 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.04907 | 999.818 | 998.640 | 997.225 | 995.596 | 993.769 | 991.754 | 1.574 | 1.550 | 1.532 | 1.523 | 1.515 | 1.504 |
| 0.10023 | 1001.440 | 1000.244 | 998.816 | 997.172 | 995.327 | 993.312 | 3.196 | 3.154 | 3.123 | 3.099 | 3.073 | 3.062 |
| 0.14992 | 1002.990 | 1001.777 | 1000.333 | 998.678 | 996.829 | 994.801 | 4.746 | 4.687 | 4.640 | 4.605 | 4.575 | 4.551 |
| 0.20099 | 1004.580 | 1003.357 | 1001.899 | 1000.230 | 998.372 | 996.332 | 6.336 | 6.267 | 6.206 | 6.157 | 6.118 | 6.082 |
| 0.24894 | 1006.028 | 1004.782 | 1003.310 | 1001.631 | 999.766 | 997.713 | 7.784 | 7.692 | 7.617 | 7.558 | 7.512 | 7.463 |
| 0.30053 | 1007.599 | 1006.346 | 1004.860 | 1003.166 | 1001.279 | 999.229 | 9.355 | 9.256 | 9.167 | 9.093 | 9.025 | 8.979 |
| 0.34856 | 1008.979 | 1007.710 | 1006.201 | 1004.509 | 1002.612 | 1000.548 | 10.735 | 10.620 | 10.508 | 10.436 | 10.358 | 10.298 |
| 0.39972 | 1010.530 | 1009.235 | 1007.722 | 1006.006 | 1004.109 | 1002.036 | 12.286 | 12.145 | 12.029 | 11.933 | 11.855 | 11.786 |
| 0.45097 | 1012.103 | 1010.791 | 1009.263 | 1007.535 | 1005.625 | 1003.544 | 13.859 | 13.701 | 13.570 | 13.462 | 13.371 | 13.294 |
| 0.50013 | 1013.486 | 1012.158 | 1010.618 | 1008.800 | 1006.950 | 1004.873 | 15.242 | 15.068 | 14.925 | 14.727 | 14.696 | 14.623 |

Table 4.2: Density ($\rho$) and relative density ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of aqueous L-lysine as a function of molality at different temperature

| | Water + L-lysine | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Density, $\rho$/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | | Relative density, ($\rho$-$\rho_0$)/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 998.244 | 997.090 | 995.693 | 994.073 | 992.254 | 990.250 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.04982 | 1001.096 | 999.910 | 998.491 | 996.859 | 995.033 | 993.023 | 2.852 | 2.820 | 2.798 | 2.786 | 2.779 | 2.773 |
| 0.10003 | 1003.909 | 1002.698 | 1001.261 | 999.615 | 997.778 | 995.761 | 5.665 | 5.608 | 5.568 | 5.542 | 5.524 | 5.511 |
| 0.14969 | 1006.648 | 1005.411 | 1003.957 | 1002.301 | 1000.457 | 998.432 | 8.404 | 8.321 | 8.264 | 8.228 | 8.203 | 8.182 |
| 0.20093 | 1009.423 | 1008.165 | 1006.690 | 1005.018 | 1003.159 | 1001.120 | 11.179 | 11.075 | 10.997 | 10.945 | 10.905 | 10.870 |
| 0.24911 | 1011.958 | 1010.678 | 1009.186 | 1007.501 | 1005.636 | 1003.549 | 13.714 | 13.588 | 13.493 | 13.428 | 13.382 | 13.299 |
| 0.2986 | 1014.491 | 1013.199 | 1011.692 | 1009.993 | 1008.108 | 1005.980 | 16.247 | 16.109 | 15.999 | 15.920 | 15.854 | 15.730 |
| 0.34903 | 1017.057 | 1015.734 | 1014.210 | 1012.500 | 1010.615 | 1008.472 | 18.813 | 18.644 | 18.517 | 18.427 | 18.361 | 18.222 |
| 0.40192 | 1019.784 | 1018.448 | 1016.906 | 1015.183 | 1013.287 | 1011.031 | 21.540 | 21.358 | 21.213 | 21.110 | 21.033 | 20.781 |
| 0.44906 | 1021.935 | 1020.579 | 1019.026 | 1017.291 | 1015.347 | 1013.129 | 23.691 | 23.489 | 23.333 | 23.218 | 23.093 | 22.879 |
| 0.50068 | 1024.439 | 1023.016 | 1021.330 | 1019.350 | 1017.687 | 1015.610 | 26.195 | 25.926 | 25.637 | 25.277 | 25.433 | 25.360 |

Table 4.3: Density ($\rho$) and relative density ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Density, $\rho$/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | | | Relative density, ($\rho$-$\rho_0$)/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 999.068 | 997.896 | 996.480 | 994.847 | 993.009 | 990.992 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.05037 | 1000.719 | 999.526 | 998.096 | 996.451 | 994.602 | 992.576 | 1.651 | 1.630 | 1.616 | 1.604 | 1.593 | 1.584 |
| 0.09825 | 1002.275 | 1001.071 | 999.625 | 997.960 | 996.106 | 994.073 | 3.207 | 3.175 | 3.145 | 3.113 | 3.097 | 3.081 |
| 0.15115 | 1003.976 | 1002.744 | 1001.283 | 999.611 | 997.748 | 995.706 | 4.908 | 4.848 | 4.803 | 4.764 | 4.739 | 4.714 |
| 0.19996 | 1005.526 | 1004.276 | 1002.801 | 1001.118 | 999.246 | 997.196 | 6.458 | 6.380 | 6.321 | 6.271 | 6.237 | 6.204 |
| 0.25002 | 1007.099 | 1005.834 | 1004.344 | 1002.648 | 1000.762 | 998.705 | 8.031 | 7.938 | 7.864 | 7.801 | 7.753 | 7.713 |
| 0.29961 | 1008.635 | 1007.353 | 1005.847 | 1004.141 | 1002.246 | 1000.180 | 9.567 | 9.457 | 9.367 | 9.294 | 9.237 | 9.188 |
| 0.35005 | 1010.197 | 1008.904 | 1007.389 | 1005.661 | 1003.752 | 1001.677 | 11.129 | 11.008 | 10.909 | 10.814 | 10.743 | 10.685 |
| 0.40235 | 1011.797 | 1010.481 | 1008.947 | 1007.215 | 1005.272 | 1003.178 | 12.729 | 12.585 | 12.467 | 12.368 | 12.263 | 12.186 |
| 0.44977 | 1013.190 | 1011.859 | 1010.310 | 1008.568 | 1006.641 | 1004.548 | 14.122 | 13.963 | 13.830 | 13.721 | 13.632 | 13.556 |
| 0.49892 | 1014.633 | 1013.287 | 1011.726 | 1009.975 | 1008.042 | 1005.934 | 15.565 | 15.391 | 15.246 | 15.128 | 15.033 | 14.942 |

Table 4.4: Density ($\rho$) and relative density ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| | Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Density, $\rho$/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | | Relative density, ($\rho$-$\rho_0$)/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 999.472 | 998.285 | 996.862 | 995.221 | 993.382 | 991.360 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.04924 | 1001.081 | 999.875 | 998.437 | 996.784 | 994.934 | 992.902 | 1.609 | 1.590 | 1.575 | 1.563 | 1.552 | 1.542 |
| 0.10068 | 1002.741 | 1001.524 | 1000.063 | 998.397 | 996.537 | 994.498 | 3.269 | 3.239 | 3.201 | 3.176 | 3.155 | 3.138 |
| 0.14909 | 1004.279 | 1003.046 | 1001.568 | 999.889 | 998.018 | 995.967 | 4.807 | 4.761 | 4.706 | 4.668 | 4.636 | 4.607 |
| 0.20129 | 1005.935 | 1004.682 | 1003.196 | 1001.496 | 999.614 | 997.554 | 6.463 | 6.397 | 6.334 | 6.275 | 6.232 | 6.194 |
| 0.24831 | 1007.398 | 1006.125 | 1004.629 | 1002.929 | 1001.040 | 998.974 | 7.926 | 7.840 | 7.767 | 7.708 | 7.658 | 7.614 |
| 0.29947 | 1008.998 | 1007.707 | 1006.196 | 1004.482 | 1002.577 | 1000.509 | 9.526 | 9.422 | 9.334 | 9.261 | 9.195 | 9.149 |
| 0.35003 | 1010.552 | 1009.246 | 1007.720 | 1005.993 | 1004.086 | 1002.004 | 11.080 | 10.961 | 10.858 | 10.772 | 10.704 | 10.644 |
| 0.39828 | 1011.978 | 1010.665 | 1009.127 | 1007.388 | 1005.441 | 1003.397 | 12.506 | 12.380 | 12.265 | 12.167 | 12.059 | 12.037 |
| 0.45132 | 1013.620 | 1012.280 | 1010.725 | 1008.975 | 1007.044 | 1004.925 | 14.148 | 13.995 | 13.863 | 13.754 | 13.662 | 13.565 |
| 0.50143 | 1015.098 | 1013.742 | 1012.174 | 1010.396 | 1008.365 | 1006.284 | 15.626 | 15.457 | 15.312 | 15.175 | 14.983 | 14.924 |

Table 4.5: Density ($\rho$) and relative density ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Density, $\rho$/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | | Relative density, ($\rho$-$\rho_0$)/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 999.902 | 998.707 | 997.274 | 995.626 | 993.780 | 991.750 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.05082 | 1001.558 | 1000.343 | 998.896 | 997.235 | 995.379 | 993.342 | 1.656 | 1.636 | 1.622 | 1.609 | 1.599 | 1.592 |
| 0.09856 | 1003.084 | 1001.852 | 1000.388 | 998.715 | 996.851 | 994.803 | 3.182 | 3.145 | 3.114 | 3.089 | 3.071 | 3.053 |
| 0.15012 | 1004.718 | 1003.470 | 1001.995 | 1000.314 | 998.437 | 996.360 | 4.816 | 4.763 | 4.721 | 4.688 | 4.657 | 4.610 |
| 0.20142 | 1006.312 | 1005.047 | 1003.554 | 1001.857 | 999.968 | 997.905 | 6.410 | 6.340 | 6.280 | 6.231 | 6.188 | 6.155 |
| 0.24991 | 1007.806 | 1006.534 | 1005.029 | 1003.322 | 1001.423 | 999.345 | 7.904 | 7.827 | 7.755 | 7.696 | 7.643 | 7.595 |
| 0.29843 | 1009.278 | 1007.991 | 1006.473 | 1004.752 | 1002.846 | 1000.772 | 9.376 | 9.284 | 9.199 | 9.126 | 9.066 | 9.022 |
| 0.34905 | 1010.824 | 1009.509 | 1007.975 | 1006.243 | 1004.327 | 1002.239 | 10.922 | 10.802 | 10.701 | 10.617 | 10.547 | 10.489 |
| 0.40005 | 1012.351 | 1011.020 | 1009.473 | 1007.728 | 1005.798 | 1003.703 | 12.449 | 12.313 | 12.199 | 12.102 | 12.018 | 11.953 |
| 0.45081 | 1013.867 | 1012.520 | 1010.961 | 1009.204 | 1007.266 | 1005.163 | 13.965 | 13.813 | 13.687 | 13.578 | 13.486 | 13.413 |
| 0.50144 | 1015.334 | 1013.970 | 1012.397 | 1010.630 | 1008.686 | 1006.572 | 15.432 | 15.263 | 15.123 | 15.004 | 14.906 | 14.822 |

Table 4.6: Density ($\rho$) and relative density ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| | Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Density, $\rho$/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | | Relative density, ($\rho$-$\rho_0$)/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1000.683 | 999.470 | 998.022 | 996.360 | 994.502 | 992.460 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.05093 | 1002.328 | 1001.089 | 999.619 | 997.945 | 996.076 | 994.024 | 1.645 | 1.619 | 1.597 | 1.585 | 1.574 | 1.564 |
| 0.09911 | 1003.836 | 1002.589 | 1001.112 | 999.427 | 997.540 | 995.481 | 3.153 | 3.119 | 3.090 | 3.067 | 3.038 | 3.021 |
| 0.15009 | 1005.424 | 1004.159 | 1002.668 | 1000.970 | 999.082 | 997.015 | 4.741 | 4.689 | 4.646 | 4.610 | 4.580 | 4.555 |
| 0.20099 | 1007.005 | 1005.723 | 1004.218 | 1002.508 | 1000.608 | 998.525 | 6.322 | 6.253 | 6.196 | 6.148 | 6.106 | 6.065 |
| 0.24911 | 1008.439 | 1007.140 | 1005.620 | 1003.900 | 1001.985 | 999.897 | 7.756 | 7.670 | 7.598 | 7.540 | 7.483 | 7.437 |
| 0.30175 | 1010.060 | 1008.747 | 1007.212 | 1005.479 | 1003.551 | 1001.472 | 9.377 | 9.277 | 9.190 | 9.119 | 9.049 | 9.012 |
| 0.34995 | 1011.462 | 1010.131 | 1008.586 | 1006.841 | 1004.915 | 1002.817 | 10.779 | 10.661 | 10.564 | 10.481 | 10.413 | 10.357 |
| 0.39964 | 1012.874 | 1011.529 | 1009.969 | 1008.213 | 1006.275 | 1004.167 | 12.191 | 12.059 | 11.947 | 11.853 | 11.773 | 11.707 |
| 0.4501 | 1014.309 | 1012.948 | 1011.376 | 1009.609 | 1007.661 | 1005.545 | 13.626 | 13.478 | 13.354 | 13.249 | 13.159 | 13.085 |
| 0.49891 | 1015.614 | 1014.241 | 1012.656 | 1010.880 | 1008.924 | 1006.784 | 14.931 | 14.771 | 14.634 | 14.520 | 14.422 | 14.324 |

Table 4.7: Density ($\rho$) and relative density ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Density, $\rho$/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | | Relative density, $(\rho$-$\rho_0)$/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 999.068 | 997.896 | 996.480 | 994.847 | 993.009 | 990.992 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 999.068 |
| 0.04954 | 1001.903 | 1000.699 | 999.267 | 997.621 | 995.780 | 993.731 | 2.835 | 2.803 | 2.787 | 2.774 | 2.771 | 1001.903 |
| 0.09953 | 1004.710 | 1003.482 | 1002.030 | 1000.371 | 998.521 | 996.457 | 5.642 | 5.586 | 5.550 | 5.524 | 5.512 | 1004.710 |
| 0.14974 | 1007.452 | 1006.199 | 1004.729 | 1003.056 | 1001.197 | 999.114 | 8.384 | 8.303 | 8.249 | 8.209 | 8.188 | 1007.452 |
| 0.19814 | 1010.105 | 1008.830 | 1007.341 | 1005.655 | 1003.786 | 1001.666 | 11.037 | 10.934 | 10.861 | 10.808 | 10.777 | 1010.105 |
| 0.24867 | 1012.769 | 1011.474 | 1009.960 | 1008.264 | 1006.393 | 1004.289 | 13.701 | 13.578 | 13.480 | 13.417 | 13.384 | 1012.769 |
| 0.30107 | 1015.513 | 1014.201 | 1012.667 | 1010.957 | 1009.070 | 1006.947 | 16.445 | 16.305 | 16.187 | 16.110 | 16.061 | 1015.513 |
| 0.34897 | 1017.931 | 1016.602 | 1015.064 | 1013.341 | 1011.441 | 1009.343 | 18.863 | 18.706 | 18.584 | 18.494 | 18.432 | 1017.931 |
| 0.40104 | 1020.534 | 1019.175 | 1017.619 | 1015.885 | 1013.983 | 1011.812 | 21.466 | 21.279 | 21.139 | 21.038 | 20.974 | 1020.534 |
| 0.44855 | 1022.754 | 1021.377 | 1019.808 | 1018.062 | 1016.155 | 1014.020 | 23.686 | 23.481 | 23.328 | 23.215 | 23.146 | 1022.754 |
| 0.49943 | 1025.185 | 1023.784 | 1022.161 | 1020.359 | 1018.445 | 1016.298 | 26.117 | 25.888 | 25.681 | 25.512 | 25.436 | 1025.185 |

Table 4.8: Density ($\rho$) and relative density ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| | Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Density, $\rho$/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | | Relative density, ($\rho$-$\rho_0$)/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 999.472 | 998.285 | 996.862 | 995.221 | 993.382 | 991.360 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.04977 | 1002.288 | 1001.079 | 999.636 | 997.982 | 996.137 | 994.112 | 2.816 | 2.794 | 2.774 | 2.761 | 2.755 | 2.752 |
| 0.09991 | 1005.074 | 1003.838 | 1002.378 | 1000.712 | 998.856 | 996.822 | 5.602 | 5.553 | 5.516 | 5.491 | 5.474 | 5.462 |
| 0.14886 | 1007.743 | 1006.482 | 1005.002 | 1003.329 | 1001.456 | 999.409 | 8.271 | 8.197 | 8.140 | 8.108 | 8.074 | 8.049 |
| 0.19906 | 1010.438 | 1009.158 | 1007.662 | 1005.969 | 1004.094 | 1002.036 | 10.966 | 10.873 | 10.800 | 10.748 | 10.712 | 10.676 |
| 0.25031 | 1013.165 | 1011.867 | 1010.354 | 1008.649 | 1006.756 | 1004.672 | 13.693 | 13.582 | 13.492 | 13.428 | 13.374 | 13.312 |
| 0.29827 | 1015.658 | 1014.331 | 1012.802 | 1011.088 | 1009.193 | 1007.109 | 16.186 | 16.046 | 15.940 | 15.867 | 15.811 | 15.749 |
| 0.35007 | 1018.313 | 1016.972 | 1015.426 | 1013.687 | 1011.787 | 1009.693 | 18.841 | 18.687 | 18.564 | 18.466 | 18.405 | 18.333 |
| 0.40049 | 1020.859 | 1019.493 | 1017.933 | 1016.193 | 1014.274 | 1012.152 | 21.387 | 21.208 | 21.071 | 20.972 | 20.892 | 20.792 |
| 0.45091 | 1023.358 | 1021.974 | 1020.398 | 1018.646 | 1016.722 | 1014.569 | 23.886 | 23.689 | 23.536 | 23.425 | 23.340 | 23.209 |
| 0.50003 | 1025.766 | 1024.363 | 1022.771 | 1021.010 | 1019.077 | 1016.961 | 26.294 | 26.078 | 25.909 | 25.789 | 25.695 | 25.601 |

Table 4.9: Density ($\rho$) and relative density ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| | Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Density, $\rho$/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | | Relative density, ($\rho$-$\rho_0$)/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 999.902 | 998.707 | 997.274 | 995.626 | 993.780 | 991.750 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.04993 | 1002.709 | 1001.489 | 1000.040 | 998.380 | 996.518 | 994.483 | 2.807 | 2.782 | 2.766 | 2.754 | 2.738 | 2.733 |
| 0.09992 | 1005.462 | 1004.219 | 1002.753 | 1001.077 | 999.213 | 997.177 | 5.560 | 5.512 | 5.479 | 5.451 | 5.433 | 5.427 |
| 0.14996 | 1008.175 | 1006.908 | 1005.422 | 1003.736 | 1001.865 | 999.817 | 8.273 | 8.201 | 8.148 | 8.110 | 8.085 | 8.067 |
| 0.20027 | 1010.874 | 1009.591 | 1008.089 | 1006.389 | 1004.509 | 1002.439 | 10.972 | 10.884 | 10.815 | 10.763 | 10.729 | 10.689 |
| 0.25021 | 1013.496 | 1012.182 | 1010.664 | 1008.952 | 1007.045 | 1004.970 | 13.594 | 13.475 | 13.390 | 13.326 | 13.265 | 13.220 |
| 0.29997 | 1016.074 | 1014.752 | 1013.215 | 1011.491 | 1009.541 | 1007.450 | 16.172 | 16.045 | 15.941 | 15.865 | 15.761 | 15.700 |
| 0.34925 | 1018.563 | 1017.210 | 1015.656 | 1013.911 | 1012.016 | 1009.917 | 18.661 | 18.503 | 18.382 | 18.285 | 18.236 | 18.167 |
| 0.39814 | 1021.008 | 1019.636 | 1018.067 | 1016.319 | 1014.406 | 1012.326 | 21.106 | 20.929 | 20.793 | 20.693 | 20.626 | 20.576 |
| 0.44998 | 1023.587 | 1022.201 | 1020.618 | 1018.860 | 1016.932 | 1014.849 | 23.685 | 23.494 | 23.344 | 23.234 | 23.152 | 23.099 |
| 0.50008 | 1026.015 | 1024.603 | 1023.006 | 1021.238 | 1019.289 | 1017.159 | 26.113 | 25.896 | 25.732 | 25.612 | 25.509 | 25.409 |

Table 4.10: Density ($\rho$) and relative density ($\rho$-$\rho_0$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| | Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Density, $\rho$/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | | Relative density, ($\rho$-$\rho_0$)/kg.m$^{-3}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1000.683 | 999.470 | 998.022 | 996.360 | 994.502 | 992.460 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 0.05031 | 1003.520 | 1002.283 | 1000.818 | 999.143 | 997.277 | 995.224 | 2.837 | 2.813 | 2.796 | 2.783 | 2.775 | 2.764 |
| 0.10052 | 1006.299 | 1005.038 | 1003.555 | 1001.868 | 999.991 | 997.942 | 5.616 | 5.568 | 5.533 | 5.508 | 5.489 | 5.482 |
| 0.14902 | 1008.923 | 1007.639 | 1006.134 | 1004.439 | 1002.549 | 1000.491 | 8.240 | 8.169 | 8.112 | 8.079 | 8.047 | 8.031 |
| 0.19934 | 1011.600 | 1010.305 | 1008.789 | 1007.067 | 1005.173 | 1003.088 | 10.917 | 10.835 | 10.767 | 10.707 | 10.671 | 10.628 |
| 0.24911 | 1014.183 | 1012.864 | 1011.331 | 1009.607 | 1007.700 | 1005.636 | 13.500 | 13.394 | 13.309 | 13.247 | 13.198 | 13.176 |
| 0.29904 | 1016.728 | 1015.381 | 1013.832 | 1012.096 | 1010.189 | 1008.109 | 16.045 | 15.911 | 15.810 | 15.736 | 15.687 | 15.649 |
| 0.34936 | 1019.276 | 1017.910 | 1016.343 | 1014.595 | 1012.679 | 1010.574 | 18.593 | 18.440 | 18.321 | 18.235 | 18.177 | 18.114 |
| 0.4012 | 1021.848 | 1020.496 | 1018.912 | 1017.146 | 1015.230 | 1013.146 | 21.165 | 21.026 | 20.890 | 20.786 | 20.728 | 20.686 |
| 0.44891 | 1024.151 | 1022.745 | 1021.150 | 1019.382 | 1017.449 | 1015.368 | 23.468 | 23.275 | 23.128 | 23.022 | 22.947 | 22.908 |
| 0.50085 | 1026.676 | 1025.250 | 1023.640 | 1021.862 | 1019.926 | 1017.842 | 25.993 | 25.780 | 25.618 | 25.502 | 25.424 | 25.382 |

Table 4.11: Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of aqueous L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_v \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04907 | 83.01 | 83.56 | 84.01 | 84.28 | 84.54 | 84.88 |
| 0.10023 | 83.07 | 83.55 | 83.94 | 84.27 | 84.63 | 84.85 |
| 0.14992 | 83.17 | 83.63 | 84.02 | 84.34 | 84.64 | 84.92 |
| 0.20099 | 83.17 | 83.58 | 83.96 | 84.29 | 84.59 | 84.88 |
| 0.24894 | 83.30 | 83.74 | 84.12 | 84.45 | 84.74 | 85.05 |
| 0.30053 | 83.31 | 83.71 | 84.09 | 84.43 | 84.76 | 85.02 |
| 0.34856 | 83.53 | 83.93 | 84.33 | 84.63 | 84.96 | 85.25 |
| 0.39972 | 83.46 | 83.88 | 84.26 | 84.59 | 84.89 | 85.18 |
| 0.45097 | 83.34 | 83.76 | 84.13 | 84.46 | 84.77 | 85.06 |
| 0.50013 | 83.47 | 83.89 | 84.26 | 84.76 | 84.93 | 85.19 |

Table 4.12: Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of aqueous L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_v \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04982 | 125.17 | 125.89 | 126.44 | 126.79 | 127.06 | 127.33 |
| 0.10003 | 125.43 | 126.08 | 126.59 | 126.97 | 127.28 | 127.55 |
| 0.14969 | 125.57 | 126.22 | 126.70 | 127.06 | 127.36 | 127.65 |
| 0.20093 | 125.73 | 126.34 | 126.83 | 127.22 | 127.55 | 127.88 |
| 0.24911 | 125.99 | 126.59 | 127.08 | 127.47 | 127.79 | 128.28 |
| 0.2986 | 126.31 | 126.87 | 127.35 | 127.74 | 128.10 | 128.68 |
| 0.34903 | 126.50 | 127.08 | 127.56 | 127.94 | 128.27 | 128.84 |
| 0.40192 | 126.46 | 127.01 | 127.49 | 127.87 | 128.21 | 129.01 |
| 0.44906 | 127.01 | 127.57 | 128.03 | 128.42 | 128.85 | 129.50 |
| 0.50068 | 127.13 | 127.78 | 128.48 | 129.36 | 129.17 | 129.48 |

Table 4.13: Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_v \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05037 | 82.26 | 82.74 | 83.09 | 83.42 | 83.73 | 84.02 |
| 0.09825 | 82.27 | 82.66 | 83.04 | 83.45 | 83.71 | 83.98 |
| 0.15115 | 82.30 | 82.76 | 83.13 | 83.48 | 83.74 | 84.02 |
| 0.19996 | 82.35 | 82.80 | 83.17 | 83.51 | 83.78 | 84.06 |
| 0.25002 | 82.39 | 82.83 | 83.20 | 83.55 | 83.84 | 84.11 |
| 0.29961 | 82.46 | 82.89 | 83.27 | 83.60 | 83.89 | 84.17 |
| 0.35005 | 82.47 | 82.88 | 83.24 | 83.60 | 83.91 | 84.19 |
| 0.40235 | 82.49 | 82.92 | 83.29 | 83.63 | 83.99 | 84.30 |
| 0.44977 | 82.61 | 83.04 | 83.41 | 83.75 | 84.05 | 84.33 |
| 0.49892 | 82.69 | 83.11 | 83.49 | 83.82 | 84.11 | 84.41 |

Table 4.14: Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_v \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04924 | 82.35 | 82.79 | 83.17 | 83.50 | 83.83 | 84.14 |
| 0.10068 | 82.42 | 82.78 | 83.23 | 83.57 | 83.87 | 84.15 |
| 0.14909 | 82.52 | 82.89 | 83.34 | 83.68 | 83.99 | 84.30 |
| 0.20129 | 82.52 | 82.91 | 83.30 | 83.68 | 84.00 | 84.30 |
| 0.24831 | 82.58 | 82.99 | 83.37 | 83.69 | 84.00 | 84.29 |
| 0.29947 | 82.56 | 82.97 | 83.35 | 83.68 | 84.00 | 84.27 |
| 0.35003 | 82.59 | 82.99 | 83.37 | 83.71 | 84.00 | 84.29 |
| 0.39828 | 82.72 | 83.11 | 83.48 | 83.82 | 84.19 | 84.36 |
| 0.45132 | 82.64 | 83.05 | 83.42 | 83.76 | 84.06 | 84.40 |
| 0.50143 | 82.70 | 83.11 | 83.48 | 83.85 | 84.34 | 84.58 |

Table 4.15: Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_v \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05082 | 82.41 | 82.87 | 83.22 | 83.56 | 83.86 | 84.10 |
| 0.09856 | 82.59 | 83.03 | 83.42 | 83.76 | 84.04 | 84.33 |
| 0.15012 | 82.66 | 83.07 | 83.43 | 83.74 | 84.05 | 84.47 |
| 0.20142 | 82.78 | 83.19 | 83.57 | 83.90 | 84.22 | 84.49 |
| 0.24991 | 82.85 | 83.23 | 83.59 | 83.92 | 84.24 | 84.54 |
| 0.29843 | 82.94 | 83.31 | 83.68 | 84.02 | 84.32 | 84.58 |
| 0.34905 | 82.94 | 83.35 | 83.72 | 84.05 | 84.36 | 84.64 |
| 0.40005 | 82.98 | 83.39 | 83.76 | 84.10 | 84.41 | 84.69 |
| 0.45081 | 83.00 | 83.41 | 83.77 | 84.10 | 84.41 | 84.69 |
| 0.50144 | 83.08 | 83.49 | 83.85 | 84.18 | 84.48 | 84.77 |

Table 4.16: Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_v \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04986 | 82.66 | 83.23 | 83.74 | 84.07 | 84.39 | 84.69 |
| 0.09911 | 83.02 | 83.43 | 83.80 | 84.12 | 84.52 | 84.80 |
| 0.15009 | 83.11 | 83.52 | 83.89 | 84.22 | 84.52 | 84.80 |
| 0.20099 | 83.11 | 83.52 | 83.89 | 84.22 | 84.53 | 84.85 |
| 0.24911 | 83.31 | 83.73 | 84.10 | 84.42 | 84.76 | 85.06 |
| 0.30175 | 83.24 | 83.64 | 84.01 | 84.34 | 84.68 | 84.91 |
| 0.34995 | 83.39 | 83.80 | 84.16 | 84.49 | 84.79 | 85.07 |
| 0.39964 | 83.57 | 83.97 | 84.34 | 84.67 | 84.97 | 85.26 |
| 0.4501 | 83.68 | 84.08 | 84.44 | 84.77 | 85.08 | 85.36 |
| 0.49891 | 83.91 | 84.31 | 84.67 | 85.00 | 85.30 | 85.62 |

Table 4.17: Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_v \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04954 | 125.13 | 125.86 | 126.29 | 126.67 | 126.86 | 127.66 |
| 0.09953 | 125.32 | 125.97 | 126.43 | 126.81 | 127.07 | 127.70 |
| 0.14974 | 125.67 | 126.30 | 126.77 | 127.16 | 127.43 | 128.03 |
| 0.19814 | 125.63 | 126.24 | 126.71 | 127.10 | 127.39 | 128.08 |
| 0.24867 | 125.89 | 126.48 | 126.99 | 127.36 | 127.63 | 128.14 |
| 0.30107 | 126.02 | 126.58 | 127.09 | 127.47 | 127.77 | 128.28 |
| 0.34897 | 126.28 | 126.83 | 127.29 | 127.68 | 128.00 | 128.39 |
| 0.40104 | 126.48 | 127.04 | 127.51 | 127.89 | 128.19 | 128.74 |
| 0.44855 | 126.91 | 127.47 | 127.92 | 128.31 | 128.61 | 129.04 |
| 0.49943 | 127.11 | 127.67 | 128.21 | 128.68 | 128.98 | 129.41 |

Table 4.18: Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_v \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04977 | 125.75 | 126.28 | 126.78 | 127.17 | 127.42 | 127.63 |
| 0.09991 | 125.91 | 126.49 | 126.96 | 127.34 | 127.64 | 127.91 |
| 0.14886 | 126.08 | 126.67 | 127.16 | 127.50 | 127.86 | 128.18 |
| 0.19906 | 126.21 | 126.77 | 127.25 | 127.64 | 127.95 | 128.29 |
| 0.25031 | 126.25 | 126.79 | 127.26 | 127.64 | 128.00 | 128.40 |
| 0.29827 | 126.38 | 126.94 | 127.41 | 127.78 | 128.11 | 128.48 |
| 0.35007 | 126.48 | 127.02 | 127.49 | 127.90 | 128.21 | 128.58 |
| 0.40049 | 126.58 | 127.13 | 127.58 | 127.96 | 128.30 | 128.72 |
| 0.45091 | 126.69 | 127.23 | 127.68 | 128.06 | 128.40 | 128.85 |
| 0.50003 | 126.77 | 127.31 | 127.76 | 128.14 | 128.47 | 128.82 |

Table 4.19: Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| | Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_v \times 10^6$/m$^3$·mol$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04993 | 126.08 | 126.67 | 127.10 | 127.46 | 127.92 | 128.17 |
| 0.09992 | 126.31 | 126.88 | 127.32 | 127.72 | 128.04 | 128.25 |
| 0.14996 | 126.44 | 127.01 | 127.48 | 127.85 | 128.16 | 128.43 |
| 0.20027 | 126.48 | 127.01 | 127.47 | 127.85 | 128.16 | 128.52 |
| 0.25021 | 126.61 | 127.18 | 127.63 | 128.01 | 128.40 | 128.74 |
| 0.29997 | 126.70 | 127.22 | 127.68 | 128.06 | 128.55 | 128.92 |
| 0.34925 | 126.86 | 127.41 | 127.87 | 128.28 | 128.56 | 128.92 |
| 0.39814 | 126.97 | 127.51 | 127.97 | 128.35 | 128.67 | 128.95 |
| 0.44998 | 127.01 | 127.54 | 127.99 | 128.37 | 128.70 | 128.97 |
| 0.50008 | 127.12 | 127.66 | 128.11 | 128.48 | 128.84 | 129.20 |

Table 4.20: Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| | Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_v \times 10^6$/m$^3$·mol$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05031 | 125.86 | 126.42 | 126.86 | 127.24 | 127.53 | 127.90 |
| 0.10052 | 126.02 | 126.59 | 127.05 | 127.42 | 127.74 | 127.96 |
| 0.14902 | 126.27 | 126.83 | 127.33 | 127.67 | 128.03 | 128.29 |
| 0.19934 | 126.45 | 126.96 | 127.41 | 127.84 | 128.16 | 128.53 |
| 0.24911 | 126.70 | 127.22 | 127.67 | 128.05 | 128.39 | 128.63 |
| 0.29904 | 126.91 | 127.45 | 127.91 | 128.28 | 128.59 | 128.88 |
| 0.34936 | 127.02 | 127.56 | 128.01 | 128.39 | 128.70 | 129.04 |
| 0.4012 | 127.15 | 127.60 | 128.06 | 128.45 | 128.74 | 129.00 |
| 0.44891 | 127.33 | 127.87 | 128.31 | 128.68 | 129.00 | 129.25 |
| 0.50085 | 127.39 | 127.92 | 128.37 | 128.73 | 129.04 | 129.28 |

Table 4.21: Limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), experimental slope ($S_V$), limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities ($E_\varphi^0$) and $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p$ of L-proline + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_v^0\times10^6$ ($m^3.mol^{-1}$) | $S_V\times10^6$ ($m^3.mol^{-2}.kg$) | $E_\varphi^0\times10^8$ ($m^3.mol^{-1}.K^{-1}$) | $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p\times10^8$ ($m^3.mol^{-1}.K^{-2}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | 83.00 | 1.04 | 9.56 | |
| 298.15K | 83.50 | 0.81 | 8.56 | |
| 303.15K | 83.91 | 0.87 | 7.56 | -0.20 |
| 308.15K | 84.19 | 0.96 | 6.57 | |
| 313.15K | 84.52 | 0.83 | 5.57 | |
| 318.15K | 84.81 | 0.81 | 4.58 | |

Table 4.22: Limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), experimental slope ($S_V$), limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities ($E_\varphi^0$) and $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p$ of  L-lysine + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_v^0\times10^6$ ($m^3.mol^{-1}$) | $S_V\times10^6$ ($m^3.mol^{-2}.kg$) | $E_\varphi^0\times10^8$ ($m^3.mol^{-1}.K^{-1}$) | $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p\times10^8$ ($m^3.mol^{-1}.K^{-2}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | 124.94 | 4.34 | 12.49 | |
| 298.15K | 125.62 | 4.09 | 10.67 | |
| 303.15K | 126.09 | 4.49 | 8.85 | -0.36 |
| 308.15K | 126.36 | 4.82 | 7.04 | |
| 313.15K | 126.75 | 4.43 | 5.22 | |
| 318.15K | 126.99 | 5.21 | 3.40 | |

Table 4.23: Limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), experimental slope ($S_V$), limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta\varphi_v^0$), limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities ($E_\varphi^0$) and $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p$ of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $S_V \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-2}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $E_\varphi^0 \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$) | $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-2}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | 82.18 | 0.92 | -0.82 | 9.14 | |
| 298.15K | 82.63 | 0.85 | -0.87 | 8.22 | |
| 303.15K | 82.99 | 0.87 | -0.92 | 7.31 | -0.18 |
| 308.15K | 83.36 | 0.81 | -0.83 | 6.39 | |
| 313.15K | 83.63 | 0.90 | -0.89 | 5.47 | |
| 318.15K | 83.90 | 0.94 | -0.91 | 4.56 | |

Table 4.24: Limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), experimental slope ($S_V$), limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta\varphi_v^0$), limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities ($E_\varphi^0$) and $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p$ of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $S_V \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-2}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $E_\varphi^0 \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$) | $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-2}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | 82.36 | 0.72 | -0.64 | 8.78 | |
| 298.15K | 82.76 | 0.73 | -0.74 | 8.06 | |
| 303.15K | 83.18 | 0.60 | -0.73 | 7.33 | -0.15 |
| 308.15K | 83.52 | 0.63 | -0.66 | 6.61 | |
| 313.15K | 83.80 | 0.85 | -0.72 | 5.88 | |
| 318.15K | 84.11 | 0.71 | -0.70 | 5.15 | |

Table 4.25: Limiting apparent molar volume $(\varphi_v^0)$, experimental slope $(S_V)$, limiting apparent molar volume transfer $(\Delta\varphi_v^0)$, limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities $(E_\varphi^0)$ and $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p$ of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $S_V \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-2}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $E_\varphi^0 \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$) | $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-2}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | 82.45 | 1.34 | -0.54 | 8.48 | |
| 298.15K | 82.89 | 1.16 | -0.61 | 7.84 | |
| 303.15K | 83.26 | 1.05 | -0.66 | 7.20 | |
| 308.15K | 83.59 | 0.87 | -0.60 | 6.56 | -0.13 |
| 313.15K | 83.89 | 0.76 | -0.63 | 5.92 | |
| 318.15K | 84.20 | 0.66 | -0.61 | 5.28 | |

Table 4.26: Limiting apparent molar volume $(\varphi_v^0)$, experimental slope $(S_V)$, limiting apparent molar volume transfer $(\Delta\varphi_v^0)$, limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities $(E_\varphi^0)$ and $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p$ of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $S_V \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-2}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $E_\varphi^0 \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$) | $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-2}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | 82.67 | 2.30 | -0.33 | 9.65 | |
| 298.15K | 83.15 | 2.09 | -0.35 | 8.76 | |
| 303.15K | 83.58 | 2.23 | -0.34 | 7.86 | |
| 308.15K | 83.90 | 2.48 | -0.28 | 6.97 | -0.18 |
| 313.15K | 84.25 | 2.57 | -0.27 | 6.07 | |
| 318.15K | 84.54 | 3.31 | -0.27 | 5.17 | |

Table 4.27: Limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), experimental slope ($S_V$), limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta\varphi_v^0$), limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities ($E_\varphi^0$) and $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p$ of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_v^0\times10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $S_V\times10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-2}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0\times10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $E_\varphi^0\times10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$) | $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p\times10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-2}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | 124.88 | 4.24 | -0.06 | 10.08 | |
| 298.15K | 125.57 | 3.92 | -0.05 | 9.67 | |
| 303.15K | 126.01 | 4.03 | -0.08 | 9.27 | -0.08 |
| 308.15K | 126.38 | 4.13 | 0.02 | 8.86 | |
| 313.15K | 126.61 | 4.32 | -0.14 | 8.45 | |
| 318.15K | 127.36 | 3.61 | 0.37 | 8.05 | |

Table 4.28: Limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), experimental slope ($S_V$), limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta\varphi_v^0$), limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities ($E_\varphi^0$) and $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p$ of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_v^0\times10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $S_V\times10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-2}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0\times10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $E_\varphi^0\times10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$) | $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p\times10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-2}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | 125.71 | 2.18 | 0.77 | 12.14 | |
| 298.15K | 126.28 | 2.13 | 0.66 | 10.43 | |
| 303.15K | 126.78 | 2.03 | 0.68 | 8.72 | -0.34 |
| 308.15K | 127.14 | 2.06 | 0.78 | 7.01 | |
| 313.15K | 127.44 | 2.16 | 0.70 | 5.30 | |
| 318.15K | 127.69 | 2.53 | 0.70 | 3.59 | |

Table 4.29: Limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), experimental slope ($S_V$), limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta\varphi_v^0$), limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities ($E_\varphi^0$) and $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p$ of Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $S_V \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-2}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $E_\varphi^0 \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$) | $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-2}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | 126.06 | 2.19 | 1.12 | 11.43 | |
| 298.15K | 126.63 | 2.09 | 1.02 | 10.10 | |
| 303.15K | 127.07 | 2.13 | 0.98 | 8.77 | -0.27 |
| 308.15K | 127.46 | 2.13 | 1.10 | 7.44 | |
| 313.15K | 127.84 | 2.04 | 1.09 | 6.11 | |
| 318.15K | 128.09 | 2.23 | 1.10 | 4.78 | |

Table 4.30: Limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), experimental slope ($S_V$), limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta\varphi_v^0$), limiting apparent molar volume expansibilities ($E_\varphi^0$) and $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p$ of Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $S_V \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-2}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0 \times 10^6$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$) | $E_\varphi^0 \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$) | $(\delta E^0\varphi/\delta T)_p \times 10^8$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.K$^{-2}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | 125.74 | 3.55 | 0.80 | 11.24 | |
| 298.15K | 126.30 | 3.43 | 0.68 | 9.98 | |
| 303.15K | 126.76 | 3.40 | 0.67 | 8.72 | -0.25 |
| 308.15K | 127.14 | 3.40 | 0.78 | 7.45 | |
| 313.15K | 127.47 | 3.35 | 0.72 | 6.19 | |
| 318.15K | 127.79 | 3.23 | 0.80 | 4.93 | |

Table 4.31: Partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$) of aqueous L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| | Water + L-proline | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\bar{V}_2 \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04907 | 83.12 | 83.65 | 84.08 | 84.38 | 84.63 | 84.96 |
| 0.10023 | 83.71 | 84.15 | 84.55 | 84.96 | 85.28 | 85.62 |
| 0.14992 | 83.96 | 84.37 | 84.77 | 85.20 | 85.44 | 85.87 |
| 0.20099 | 84.09 | 84.43 | 84.84 | 85.28 | 85.51 | 85.98 |
| 0.24894 | 84.32 | 84.69 | 85.10 | 85.55 | 85.77 | 86.27 |
| 0.30053 | 84.43 | 84.76 | 85.16 | 85.63 | 85.89 | 86.37 |
| 0.34856 | 84.73 | 85.05 | 85.48 | 85.93 | 86.18 | 86.70 |
| 0.39972 | 84.75 | 85.09 | 85.49 | 85.98 | 86.19 | 86.73 |
| 0.45097 | 84.71 | 85.04 | 85.44 | 85.94 | 86.16 | 86.71 |
| 0.50013 | 84.92 | 85.24 | 85.65 | 86.32 | 86.38 | 86.92 |

Table 4.32: Partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$) of aqueous L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| | Water + L-lysine | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\bar{V}_2 \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04982 | 125.62 | 126.32 | 126.87 | 127.29 | 127.52 | 127.88 |
| 0.10003 | 126.07 | 126.69 | 127.20 | 127.66 | 127.93 | 128.33 |
| 0.14969 | 126.36 | 126.96 | 127.46 | 127.92 | 128.16 | 128.60 |
| 0.20093 | 126.65 | 127.20 | 127.71 | 128.20 | 128.47 | 128.97 |
| 0.24911 | 127.01 | 127.55 | 128.06 | 128.57 | 128.82 | 129.51 |
| 0.2986 | 127.43 | 127.91 | 128.42 | 128.94 | 129.23 | 130.02 |
| 0.34903 | 127.70 | 128.21 | 128.71 | 129.24 | 129.49 | 130.29 |
| 0.40192 | 127.76 | 128.22 | 128.73 | 129.27 | 129.51 | 130.57 |
| 0.44906 | 128.38 | 128.85 | 129.34 | 129.90 | 130.23 | 131.14 |
| 0.50068 | 128.58 | 129.13 | 129.87 | 130.92 | 130.63 | 131.21 |

Table 4.33: Partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$) of aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) with L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{V}_2 \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05037 | 82.36 | 82.83 | 83.18 | 83.50 | 83.83 | 84.12 |
| 0.09825 | 82.89 | 83.23 | 83.62 | 84.05 | 84.34 | 84.52 |
| 0.15115 | 83.07 | 83.47 | 83.86 | 84.22 | 84.53 | 84.69 |
| 0.19996 | 83.23 | 83.61 | 84.01 | 84.37 | 84.68 | 84.83 |
| 0.25002 | 83.38 | 83.74 | 84.14 | 84.50 | 84.84 | 84.97 |
| 0.29961 | 83.54 | 83.88 | 84.29 | 84.65 | 84.99 | 85.11 |
| 0.35005 | 83.64 | 83.95 | 84.35 | 84.73 | 85.10 | 85.21 |
| 0.40235 | 83.74 | 84.07 | 84.47 | 84.84 | 85.27 | 85.39 |
| 0.44977 | 83.94 | 84.25 | 84.67 | 85.03 | 85.40 | 85.49 |
| 0.49892 | 84.09 | 84.39 | 84.80 | 85.17 | 85.53 | 85.62 |

Table 4.34: Partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$) of aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$) with L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{V}_2 \times 10^6/m^3 \cdot mol^{-1}$ | | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04924 | 82.42 | 82.87 | 83.24 | 83.57 | 83.91 | 84.21 |
| 0.10068 | 83.05 | 83.35 | 83.82 | 84.17 | 84.51 | 84.70 |
| 0.14909 | 83.28 | 83.59 | 84.06 | 84.42 | 84.77 | 84.96 |
| 0.20129 | 83.40 | 83.72 | 84.14 | 84.54 | 84.90 | 85.07 |
| 0.24831 | 83.57 | 83.90 | 84.30 | 84.65 | 85.00 | 85.14 |
| 0.29947 | 83.64 | 83.97 | 84.37 | 84.73 | 85.10 | 85.21 |
| 0.35003 | 83.76 | 84.07 | 84.47 | 84.84 | 85.19 | 85.31 |
| 0.39828 | 83.97 | 84.25 | 84.66 | 85.02 | 85.46 | 85.44 |
| 0.45132 | 83.97 | 84.26 | 84.68 | 85.04 | 85.41 | 85.55 |
| 0.50143 | 84.10 | 84.39 | 84.80 | 85.20 | 85.77 | 85.80 |

Table 4.35: Partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$) of aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) with L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{V}_2 \times 10^6 / \text{m}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ | | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05082 | 82.56 | 83.01 | 83.36 | 83.70 | 84.00 | 84.24 |
| 0.09856 | 83.21 | 83.59 | 84.00 | 84.36 | 84.67 | 84.88 |
| 0.15012 | 83.42 | 83.77 | 84.15 | 84.48 | 84.82 | 85.14 |
| 0.20142 | 83.67 | 84.01 | 84.41 | 84.76 | 85.12 | 85.27 |
| 0.24991 | 83.84 | 84.13 | 84.53 | 84.88 | 85.24 | 85.40 |
| 0.29843 | 84.02 | 84.30 | 84.70 | 85.06 | 85.42 | 85.52 |
| 0.34905 | 84.11 | 84.42 | 84.82 | 85.18 | 85.55 | 85.66 |
| 0.40005 | 84.23 | 84.54 | 84.94 | 85.30 | 85.68 | 85.78 |
| 0.45081 | 84.33 | 84.62 | 85.02 | 85.39 | 85.76 | 85.85 |
| 0.50144 | 84.48 | 84.77 | 85.17 | 85.54 | 85.91 | 85.99 |

Table 4.36: Partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$) of aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09mol.kg$^{-1}$) with L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{V}_2 \times 10^6 / \text{m}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ | | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04986 | 82.91 | 83.45 | 83.94 | 84.27 | 84.58 | 84.88 |
| 0.09911 | 83.64 | 84.00 | 84.39 | 84.72 | 85.15 | 85.34 |
| 0.15009 | 83.88 | 84.23 | 84.61 | 84.96 | 85.30 | 85.47 |
| 0.20099 | 84.00 | 84.34 | 84.73 | 85.08 | 85.43 | 85.62 |
| 0.24911 | 84.30 | 84.63 | 85.03 | 85.38 | 85.76 | 85.92 |
| 0.30175 | 84.32 | 84.63 | 85.03 | 85.39 | 85.78 | 85.86 |
| 0.34995 | 84.56 | 84.87 | 85.27 | 85.62 | 85.98 | 86.09 |
| 0.39964 | 84.82 | 85.12 | 85.52 | 85.88 | 86.24 | 86.35 |
| 0.4501 | 85.01 | 85.30 | 85.69 | 86.05 | 86.43 | 86.52 |
| 0.49891 | 85.31 | 85.59 | 85.99 | 86.35 | 86.72 | 86.84 |

Table 4.37: Partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$) of aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) with L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\bar{V}_2 \times 10^6/\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04954 | 125.57 | 126.27 | 126.70 | 127.09 | 127.30 | 128.03 |
| 0.09953 | 125.94 | 126.54 | 127.02 | 127.42 | 127.70 | 128.24 |
| 0.14974 | 126.44 | 127.00 | 127.49 | 127.90 | 128.21 | 128.70 |
| 0.19814 | 126.51 | 127.04 | 127.54 | 127.95 | 128.29 | 128.84 |
| 0.24867 | 126.88 | 127.38 | 127.92 | 128.32 | 128.63 | 129.00 |
| 0.30107 | 127.11 | 127.58 | 128.11 | 128.52 | 128.87 | 129.23 |
| 0.34897 | 127.45 | 127.90 | 128.39 | 128.81 | 129.18 | 129.40 |
| 0.40104 | 127.73 | 128.19 | 128.69 | 129.10 | 129.46 | 129.83 |
| 0.44855 | 128.23 | 128.68 | 129.18 | 129.59 | 129.95 | 130.19 |
| 0.49943 | 128.50 | 128.95 | 129.53 | 130.03 | 130.40 | 130.63 |

Table 4.38: Partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$) of aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$) with L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\bar{V}_2 \times 10^6/\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04977 | 125.99 | 126.51 | 127.00 | 127.39 | 127.65 | 127.90 |
| 0.09991 | 126.54 | 127.06 | 127.56 | 127.94 | 128.28 | 128.46 |
| 0.14886 | 126.84 | 127.37 | 127.88 | 128.23 | 128.64 | 128.85 |
| 0.19906 | 127.10 | 127.58 | 128.08 | 128.49 | 128.85 | 129.06 |
| 0.25031 | 127.24 | 127.70 | 128.20 | 128.60 | 129.00 | 129.27 |
| 0.29827 | 127.46 | 127.93 | 128.43 | 128.82 | 129.21 | 129.42 |
| 0.35007 | 127.65 | 128.09 | 128.59 | 129.03 | 129.40 | 129.60 |
| 0.40049 | 127.83 | 128.27 | 128.77 | 129.17 | 129.58 | 129.81 |
| 0.45091 | 128.02 | 128.44 | 128.94 | 129.35 | 129.75 | 130.01 |
| 0.50003 | 128.17 | 128.59 | 129.08 | 129.49 | 129.89 | 130.04 |

Table 4.39: Partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$) of aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) with L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\bar{V}_2 \times 10^6 / \text{m}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04993 | 126.32 | 126.89 | 127.32 | 127.69 | 128.13 | 128.41 |
| 0.09992 | 126.94 | 127.45 | 127.91 | 128.32 | 128.67 | 128.79 |
| 0.14996 | 127.21 | 127.72 | 128.20 | 128.59 | 128.94 | 129.10 |
| 0.20027 | 127.37 | 127.83 | 128.31 | 128.71 | 129.06 | 129.29 |
| 0.25021 | 127.59 | 128.08 | 128.56 | 128.97 | 129.40 | 129.60 |
| 0.29997 | 127.78 | 128.21 | 128.70 | 129.10 | 129.65 | 129.86 |
| 0.34925 | 128.03 | 128.48 | 128.98 | 129.41 | 129.75 | 129.94 |
| 0.39814 | 128.21 | 128.65 | 129.15 | 129.56 | 129.93 | 130.04 |
| 0.44998 | 128.34 | 128.75 | 129.24 | 129.65 | 130.05 | 130.13 |
| 0.50008 | 128.52 | 128.94 | 129.43 | 129.83 | 130.26 | 130.42 |

Table 4.40: Partial molar volume ($\bar{V}_2$) of aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) with L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\bar{V}_2 \times 10^6 / \text{m}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05031 | 126.24 | 126.79 | 127.23 | 127.61 | 127.90 | 128.25 |
| 0.10052 | 126.65 | 127.17 | 127.64 | 128.02 | 128.38 | 128.51 |
| 0.14902 | 127.03 | 127.53 | 128.05 | 128.41 | 128.80 | 128.95 |
| 0.19934 | 127.34 | 127.77 | 128.24 | 128.69 | 129.06 | 129.30 |
| 0.24911 | 127.68 | 128.12 | 128.60 | 129.00 | 129.39 | 129.49 |
| 0.29904 | 127.99 | 128.44 | 128.93 | 129.33 | 129.69 | 129.82 |
| 0.34936 | 128.19 | 128.63 | 129.12 | 129.52 | 129.89 | 130.06 |
| 0.4012 | 128.41 | 128.75 | 129.24 | 129.66 | 130.01 | 130.09 |
| 0.44891 | 128.66 | 129.08 | 129.57 | 129.96 | 130.35 | 130.40 |
| 0.50085 | 128.79 | 129.20 | 129.69 | 130.08 | 130.46 | 130.50 |

Table 4.41: Sound velocity (u) and relative sound velocity ($u-u_0$) of aqueous L-proline as a function of molality at different temperature

| | Water + L-proline | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sound velocity, $u/m.s^{-1}$ | | | | | | Relative sound velocity, $u-u_0/m.s^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| $m/mol.kg^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1482.56 | 1496.48 | 1508.77 | 1519.41 | 1528.46 | 1536.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.04907 | 1486.58 | 1500.4 | 1512.53 | 1523.01 | 1531.89 | 1539.3 | 4.02 | 3.92 | 3.76 | 3.60 | 3.43 | 3.25 |
| 0.10023 | 1490.56 | 1504.19 | 1516.14 | 1526.43 | 1535.17 | 1542.43 | 8.00 | 7.71 | 7.37 | 7.02 | 6.71 | 6.38 |
| 0.14992 | 1494.38 | 1507.82 | 1519.59 | 1529.73 | 1538.32 | 1545.45 | 11.82 | 11.34 | 10.82 | 10.32 | 9.86 | 9.40 |
| 0.20099 | 1498.24 | 1511.49 | 1523.09 | 1533.06 | 1541.5 | 1548.5 | 15.68 | 15.01 | 14.32 | 13.65 | 13.04 | 12.45 |
| 0.24894 | 1502.18 | 1515.29 | 1526.74 | 1536.57 | 1544.88 | 1551.77 | 19.62 | 18.81 | 17.97 | 17.16 | 16.42 | 15.72 |
| 0.30053 | 1505.56 | 1518.22 | 1529.48 | 1539.4 | 1547.58 | 1554.34 | 23.00 | 21.74 | 20.71 | 19.99 | 19.12 | 18.29 |
| 0.34856 | 1508.89 | 1521.42 | 1532.78 | 1542.31 | 1550.35 | 1556.97 | 26.33 | 24.94 | 24.01 | 22.90 | 21.89 | 20.92 |
| 0.39972 | 1512.78 | 1525.32 | 1536.23 | 1545.59 | 1553.47 | 1559.96 | 30.22 | 28.84 | 27.46 | 26.18 | 25.01 | 23.91 |
| 0.45097 | 1517.12 | 1529.47 | 1540.22 | 1549.44 | 1557.21 | 1563.59 | 34.56 | 32.99 | 31.45 | 30.03 | 28.75 | 27.54 |
| 0.50013 | 1520.01 | 1532.22 | 1542.81 | 1551.88 | 1559.48 | 1565.7 | 37.45 | 35.74 | 34.04 | 32.47 | 31.02 | 29.65 |

Table 4.42: Sound velocity (u) and relative sound velocity (u-$u_0$) of aqueous L-lysine as a function of molality at different temperature

| | Water + L-lysine | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sound velocity, u/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | | Relative sound velocity, u-$u_0$/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1482.56 | 1496.48 | 1508.77 | 1519.41 | 1528.46 | 1536.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.04982 | 1489.76 | 1503.57 | 1515.68 | 1526.15 | 1535.04 | 1542.45 | 7.2 | 7.09 | 6.91 | 6.74 | 6.58 | 6.4 |
| 0.10003 | 1496.63 | 1510.24 | 1522.19 | 1532.47 | 1541.22 | 1548.51 | 14.07 | 13.76 | 13.42 | 13.06 | 12.76 | 12.46 |
| 0.14969 | 1503.26 | 1516.69 | 1528.46 | 1538.59 | 1547.2 | 1554.37 | 20.7 | 20.21 | 19.69 | 19.18 | 18.74 | 18.32 |
| 0.20093 | 1509.96 | 1523.2 | 1534.8 | 1544.79 | 1553.27 | 1560.31 | 27.4 | 26.72 | 26.03 | 25.38 | 24.81 | 24.26 |
| 0.24911 | 1516.33 | 1529.37 | 1540.78 | 1550.6 | 1558.94 | 1565.83 | 33.77 | 32.89 | 32.01 | 31.19 | 30.48 | 29.78 |
| 0.2986 | 1522.39 | 1535.07 | 1546.37 | 1556.13 | 1564.73 | 1571.67 | 39.83 | 38.59 | 37.6 | 36.72 | 36.27 | 35.62 |
| 0.34903 | 1528.79 | 1541.53 | 1552.64 | 1562.18 | 1570.23 | 1576.88 | 46.23 | 45.05 | 43.87 | 42.77 | 41.77 | 40.83 |
| 0.40192 | 1535.54 | 1547.88 | 1558.78 | 1568.12 | 1576.04 | 1582.63 | 52.98 | 51.4 | 50.01 | 48.71 | 47.58 | 46.58 |
| 0.44906 | 1540.99 | 1553.21 | 1563.96 | 1573.07 | 1580.89 | 1587.35 | 58.43 | 56.73 | 55.19 | 53.66 | 52.43 | 51.3 |
| 0.50068 | 1547.37 | 1559.4 | 1569.97 | 1579.07 | 1586.82 | 1593.14 | 64.81 | 62.92 | 61.2 | 59.66 | 58.36 | 57.09 |

Table 4.43: Sound velocity (u) and relative sound velocity (u-u$_0$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality  at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sound velocity, u/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | | | Relative sound velocity, u-u$_0$/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1484.14 | 1497.85 | 1509.99 | 1520.49 | 1529.64 | 1537.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.05037 | 1488.25 | 1501.93 | 1513.93 | 1524.28 | 1533.07 | 1540.37 | 4.11 | 4.08 | 3.94 | 3.79 | 3.43 | 3.28 |
| 0.09825 | 1492.12 | 1505.55 | 1517.38 | 1527.61 | 1536.36 | 1543.27 | 7.98 | 7.70 | 7.39 | 7.12 | 6.72 | 6.18 |
| 0.15115 | 1496.25 | 1509.52 | 1521.13 | 1531.11 | 1539.54 | 1546.55 | 12.11 | 11.67 | 11.14 | 10.62 | 9.90 | 9.46 |
| 0.19996 | 1499.95 | 1513.04 | 1524.48 | 1534.30 | 1542.59 | 1549.47 | 15.81 | 15.19 | 14.49 | 13.81 | 12.95 | 12.38 |
| 0.25002 | 1503.89 | 1516.81 | 1528.09 | 1537.74 | 1545.89 | 1552.63 | 19.75 | 18.96 | 18.10 | 17.25 | 16.25 | 15.54 |
| 0.29961 | 1507.21 | 1519.97 | 1531.08 | 1540.60 | 1548.63 | 1555.25 | 23.07 | 22.12 | 21.09 | 20.11 | 18.99 | 18.16 |
| 0.35005 | 1511.30 | 1523.64 | 1534.47 | 1544.17 | 1552.07 | 1558.55 | 27.16 | 25.79 | 24.48 | 23.68 | 22.43 | 21.46 |
| 0.40235 | 1515.22 | 1527.55 | 1538.27 | 1547.46 | 1555.18 | 1561.51 | 31.08 | 29.70 | 28.28 | 26.97 | 25.54 | 24.42 |
| 0.44977 | 1518.85 | 1531.00 | 1541.57 | 1550.61 | 1558.19 | 1564.60 | 34.71 | 33.15 | 31.58 | 30.12 | 28.55 | 27.51 |
| 0.49892 | 1522.12 | 1534.10 | 1544.53 | 1553.43 | 1560.88 | 1566.96 | 37.98 | 36.25 | 34.54 | 32.94 | 31.24 | 29.87 |

Table 4.44: Sound velocity (u) and relative sound velocity (u-$u_0$)of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality  at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sound velocity, u/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | | | Relative sound velocity, u-$u_0$/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1485.20 | 1498.96 | 1511.03 | 1521.46 | 1530.33 | 1537.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.04924 | 1489.06 | 1502.62 | 1514.51 | 1524.78 | 1533.47 | 1540.79 | 3.86 | 3.66 | 3.48 | 3.32 | 3.14 | 3.05 |
| 0.10068 | 1493.07 | 1506.29 | 1518.03 | 1528.39 | 1536.96 | 1544.06 | 7.87 | 7.33 | 7.00 | 6.93 | 6.63 | 6.32 |
| 0.14909 | 1496.56 | 1509.71 | 1521.28 | 1531.22 | 1539.64 | 1546.64 | 11.36 | 10.75 | 10.25 | 9.76 | 9.31 | 8.90 |
| 0.20129 | 1500.24 | 1513.27 | 1524.67 | 1534.45 | 1542.72 | 1549.55 | 15.04 | 14.31 | 13.64 | 12.99 | 12.39 | 11.81 |
| 0.24831 | 1504.16 | 1517.08 | 1528.29 | 1537.90 | 1546.01 | 1552.71 | 18.96 | 18.12 | 17.26 | 16.44 | 15.68 | 14.97 |
| 0.29947 | 1508.17 | 1520.00 | 1531.82 | 1541.25 | 1549.20 | 1555.76 | 22.97 | 21.04 | 20.79 | 19.79 | 18.87 | 18.02 |
| 0.35003 | 1511.93 | 1524.39 | 1535.25 | 1544.53 | 1552.34 | 1558.76 | 26.73 | 25.43 | 24.22 | 23.07 | 22.01 | 21.02 |
| 0.39828 | 1515.72 | 1527.85 | 1538.54 | 1547.72 | 1555.62 | 1561.94 | 30.52 | 28.89 | 27.51 | 26.26 | 25.29 | 24.20 |
| 0.45132 | 1519.42 | 1531.49 | 1541.99 | 1550.97 | 1558.49 | 1564.64 | 34.22 | 32.53 | 30.96 | 29.51 | 28.16 | 26.90 |
| 0.50143 | 1523.59 | 1535.49 | 1545.84 | 1554.67 | 1562.04 | 1568.04 | 38.39 | 36.53 | 34.81 | 33.21 | 31.71 | 30.30 |

Table 4.45 : Sound velocity (u) and relative sound velocity (u-$u_0$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sound velocity, u/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | | Relative sound velocity, u-$u_0$/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1485.77 | 1499.47 | 1511.46 | 1521.80 | 1530.57 | 1537.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.05082 | 1489.66 | 1503.25 | 1515.09 | 1525.28 | 1533.90 | 1541.07 | 3.89 | 3.78 | 3.63 | 3.48 | 3.33 | 3.20 |
| 0.09856 | 1493.32 | 1506.72 | 1518.40 | 1528.42 | 1536.89 | 1543.94 | 7.55 | 7.25 | 6.94 | 6.62 | 6.32 | 6.07 |
| 0.15012 | 1497.37 | 1510.51 | 1522.02 | 1531.87 | 1540.19 | 1547.08 | 11.60 | 11.04 | 10.56 | 10.07 | 9.62 | 9.21 |
| 0.20142 | 1501.35 | 1514.29 | 1525.58 | 1535.27 | 1543.44 | 1550.20 | 15.58 | 14.82 | 14.12 | 13.47 | 12.87 | 12.33 |
| 0.24991 | 1504.82 | 1517.40 | 1528.54 | 1538.09 | 1546.16 | 1552.98 | 19.05 | 17.93 | 17.08 | 16.29 | 15.59 | 15.11 |
| 0.29843 | 1508.20 | 1520.81 | 1531.79 | 1541.19 | 1549.10 | 1555.64 | 22.43 | 21.34 | 20.33 | 19.39 | 18.53 | 17.77 |
| 0.34905 | 1511.30 | 1523.64 | 1534.47 | 1544.17 | 1552.07 | 1558.55 | 25.53 | 24.17 | 23.01 | 22.37 | 21.50 | 20.68 |
| 0.40005 | 1515.22 | 1527.55 | 1538.27 | 1547.46 | 1555.18 | 1561.51 | 29.45 | 28.08 | 26.81 | 25.66 | 24.61 | 23.64 |
| 0.45081 | 1518.85 | 1531.00 | 1541.57 | 1550.61 | 1558.19 | 1564.60 | 33.08 | 31.53 | 30.11 | 28.81 | 27.62 | 26.73 |
| 0.50144 | 1522.12 | 1534.10 | 1544.53 | 1553.43 | 1560.88 | 1566.96 | 36.35 | 34.63 | 33.07 | 31.63 | 30.31 | 29.09 |

Table 4.46: Sound velocity (u) and relative sound velocity (u-u$_0$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| | Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sound velocity, u/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | | Relative sound velocity, u-u$_0$/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1487.16 | 1500.68 | 1512.53 | 1522.74 | 1531.31 | 1538.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.05093 | 1491.23 | 1504.59 | 1516.29 | 1526.36 | 1534.84 | 1541.88 | 4.07 | 3.91 | 3.76 | 3.62 | 3.53 | 3.31 |
| 0.09911 | 1495.08 | 1508.26 | 1519.80 | 1529.70 | 1538.07 | 1544.98 | 7.92 | 7.58 | 7.27 | 6.96 | 6.76 | 6.41 |
| 0.15009 | 1498.82 | 1511.80 | 1523.15 | 1532.87 | 1541.08 | 1547.94 | 11.66 | 11.12 | 10.62 | 10.13 | 9.77 | 9.37 |
| 0.20099 | 1503.39 | 1516.21 | 1527.39 | 1536.95 | 1545.02 | 1551.67 | 16.23 | 15.53 | 14.86 | 14.21 | 13.71 | 13.10 |
| 0.24911 | 1506.63 | 1519.14 | 1530.13 | 1539.54 | 1547.68 | 1554.23 | 19.47 | 18.46 | 17.60 | 16.80 | 16.37 | 15.66 |
| 0.30175 | 1509.90 | 1522.35 | 1533.16 | 1542.42 | 1550.21 | 1556.60 | 22.74 | 21.67 | 20.63 | 19.68 | 18.90 | 18.03 |
| 0.34995 | 1513.33 | 1525.63 | 1536.30 | 1545.42 | 1553.08 | 1559.36 | 26.17 | 24.95 | 23.77 | 22.68 | 21.77 | 20.79 |
| 0.39964 | 1516.80 | 1528.88 | 1539.39 | 1548.37 | 1555.90 | 1562.05 | 29.64 | 28.20 | 26.86 | 25.63 | 24.59 | 23.48 |
| 0.4501 | 1520.42 | 1532.32 | 1542.66 | 1551.49 | 1558.88 | 1564.90 | 33.26 | 31.64 | 30.13 | 28.75 | 27.57 | 26.33 |
| 0.49891 | 1523.57 | 1535.32 | 1545.54 | 1554.26 | 1561.50 | 1567.42 | 36.41 | 34.64 | 33.01 | 31.52 | 30.19 | 28.85 |

Table 4.47: Sound velocity (u) and relative sound velocity (u-u₀) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sound velocity, u/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | | Relative sound velocity, u-u₀/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1484.14 | 1497.85 | 1509.99 | 1520.49 | 1529.64 | 1537.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.04954 | 1491.22 | 1504.87 | 1516.85 | 1527.16 | 1535.93 | 1543.22 | 7.08 | 7.02 | 6.86 | 6.67 | 6.29 | 6.13 |
| 0.09953 | 1498.07 | 1511.52 | 1523.31 | 1533.48 | 1542.09 | 1549.26 | 13.93 | 13.67 | 13.32 | 12.99 | 12.45 | 12.17 |
| 0.14974 | 1505.61 | 1518.89 | 1530.47 | 1540.40 | 1548.79 | 1555.74 | 21.47 | 21.04 | 20.48 | 19.91 | 19.15 | 18.65 |
| 0.19814 | 1511.51 | 1524.59 | 1536.01 | 1545.85 | 1554.19 | 1561.12 | 27.37 | 26.74 | 26.02 | 25.36 | 24.55 | 24.03 |
| 0.24867 | 1517.88 | 1530.77 | 1542.02 | 1551.73 | 1559.95 | 1566.75 | 33.74 | 32.92 | 32.03 | 31.24 | 30.31 | 29.66 |
| 0.30107 | 1524.59 | 1537.14 | 1548.14 | 1558.04 | 1566.14 | 1572.80 | 40.45 | 39.29 | 38.15 | 37.55 | 36.50 | 35.71 |
| 0.34897 | 1531.59 | 1543.99 | 1554.97 | 1564.38 | 1572.50 | 1578.76 | 47.45 | 46.14 | 44.98 | 43.89 | 42.86 | 41.67 |
| 0.40104 | 1537.45 | 1549.78 | 1560.55 | 1569.76 | 1577.54 | 1583.97 | 53.31 | 51.93 | 50.56 | 49.27 | 47.90 | 46.88 |
| 0.44855 | 1542.64 | 1554.78 | 1565.36 | 1574.44 | 1582.10 | 1588.42 | 58.50 | 56.93 | 55.37 | 53.95 | 52.46 | 51.33 |
| 0.49943 | 1548.87 | 1560.82 | 1571.21 | 1580.16 | 1587.71 | 1593.98 | 64.73 | 62.97 | 61.22 | 59.67 | 58.07 | 56.89 |

Table 4.48: Sound velocity (u) and relative sound velocity (u-$u_0$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sound velocity, u/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | | Relative sound velocity, u-$u_0$/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1485.20 | 1498.96 | 1511.03 | 1521.46 | 1530.33 | 1537.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.04977 | 1492.06 | 1505.50 | 1517.39 | 1527.65 | 1536.38 | 1543.64 | 6.86 | 6.54 | 6.36 | 6.19 | 6.05 | 5.90 |
| 0.09991 | 1498.72 | 1512.10 | 1523.83 | 1533.92 | 1542.48 | 1549.59 | 13.52 | 13.14 | 12.80 | 12.46 | 12.15 | 11.85 |
| 0.14886 | 1505.63 | 1518.81 | 1530.37 | 1540.31 | 1548.77 | 1555.80 | 20.43 | 19.85 | 19.34 | 18.85 | 18.44 | 18.06 |
| 0.19906 | 1511.85 | 1524.87 | 1536.24 | 1546.40 | 1554.33 | 1561.20 | 26.65 | 25.91 | 25.21 | 24.94 | 24.00 | 23.46 |
| 0.25031 | 1518.47 | 1531.13 | 1542.30 | 1551.93 | 1560.30 | 1567.06 | 33.27 | 32.17 | 31.27 | 30.47 | 29.97 | 29.32 |
| 0.29827 | 1525.73 | 1538.39 | 1549.47 | 1559.00 | 1567.02 | 1573.64 | 40.53 | 39.43 | 38.44 | 37.54 | 36.69 | 35.90 |
| 0.35007 | 1531.41 | 1543.84 | 1554.71 | 1564.01 | 1571.88 | 1578.36 | 46.21 | 44.88 | 43.68 | 42.55 | 41.55 | 40.62 |
| 0.40049 | 1537.57 | 1549.81 | 1560.48 | 1569.63 | 1577.34 | 1583.73 | 52.37 | 50.85 | 49.45 | 48.17 | 47.01 | 45.99 |
| 0.45091 | 1544.39 | 1556.47 | 1566.99 | 1575.97 | 1583.58 | 1589.82 | 59.19 | 57.51 | 55.96 | 54.51 | 53.25 | 52.08 |
| 0.50003 | 1549.41 | 1561.34 | 1571.72 | 1580.63 | 1588.14 | 1594.31 | 64.21 | 62.38 | 60.69 | 59.17 | 57.81 | 56.57 |

Table 4.49: Sound velocity (u) and relative sound velocity (u-u$_0$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sound velocity, u/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | | Relative sound velocity, u-u$_0$/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1485.77 | 1499.47 | 1511.46 | 1521.80 | 1530.57 | 1537.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.04993 | 1492.73 | 1506.24 | 1518.07 | 1528.26 | 1536.89 | 1544.06 | 6.96 | 6.77 | 6.61 | 6.46 | 6.32 | 6.19 |
| 0.09992 | 1499.49 | 1512.80 | 1524.45 | 1534.47 | 1542.96 | 1550.01 | 13.72 | 13.33 | 12.99 | 12.67 | 12.39 | 12.14 |
| 0.14996 | 1506.44 | 1519.74 | 1531.19 | 1541.02 | 1549.36 | 1556.27 | 20.67 | 20.27 | 19.73 | 19.22 | 18.79 | 18.40 |
| 0.20027 | 1512.50 | 1525.28 | 1536.56 | 1546.30 | 1554.53 | 1561.55 | 26.73 | 25.81 | 25.10 | 24.50 | 23.96 | 23.68 |
| 0.25021 | 1519.23 | 1532.01 | 1543.15 | 1552.73 | 1560.83 | 1567.51 | 33.46 | 32.54 | 31.69 | 30.93 | 30.26 | 29.64 |
| 0.29997 | 1525.39 | 1537.75 | 1548.74 | 1558.23 | 1566.50 | 1573.13 | 39.62 | 38.28 | 37.28 | 36.43 | 35.93 | 35.26 |
| 0.34925 | 1531.76 | 1544.17 | 1554.98 | 1564.25 | 1572.06 | 1578.51 | 45.99 | 44.70 | 43.52 | 42.45 | 41.49 | 40.64 |
| 0.39814 | 1537.51 | 1549.82 | 1560.48 | 1569.60 | 1577.27 | 1583.59 | 51.74 | 50.35 | 49.02 | 47.80 | 46.70 | 45.72 |
| 0.44998 | 1544.17 | 1556.03 | 1566.46 | 1575.40 | 1583.13 | 1589.35 | 58.40 | 56.56 | 55.00 | 53.60 | 52.56 | 51.48 |
| 0.50008 | 1550.77 | 1562.61 | 1572.97 | 1581.92 | 1589.47 | 1595.69 | 65.00 | 63.14 | 61.51 | 60.12 | 58.90 | 57.82 |

Table 4.50: Sound velocity (u) and relative sound velocity (u-$u_0$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sound velocity, u/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | | Relative sound velocity, u-$u_0$/m.s$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1487.16 | 1500.68 | 1512.53 | 1522.74 | 1531.31 | 1538.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.05031 | 1494.14 | 1507.49 | 1519.18 | 1529.23 | 1537.73 | 1544.80 | 6.98 | 6.81 | 6.65 | 6.49 | 6.42 | 6.23 |
| 0.10052 | 1501.03 | 1514.18 | 1525.69 | 1535.59 | 1543.99 | 1550.96 | 13.87 | 13.50 | 13.16 | 12.85 | 12.68 | 12.39 |
| 0.14902 | 1507.37 | 1520.37 | 1531.69 | 1541.43 | 1549.67 | 1556.50 | 20.21 | 19.69 | 19.16 | 18.69 | 18.36 | 17.93 |
| 0.19934 | 1513.77 | 1526.38 | 1537.57 | 1547.47 | 1555.65 | 1562.39 | 26.61 | 25.70 | 25.04 | 24.73 | 24.34 | 23.82 |
| 0.24911 | 1520.11 | 1532.56 | 1543.56 | 1553.02 | 1561.25 | 1567.88 | 32.95 | 31.88 | 31.03 | 30.28 | 29.94 | 29.31 |
| 0.29904 | 1526.54 | 1538.97 | 1549.85 | 1559.18 | 1567.02 | 1573.54 | 39.38 | 38.29 | 37.32 | 36.44 | 35.71 | 34.97 |
| 0.34936 | 1533.12 | 1545.34 | 1556.00 | 1565.11 | 1572.79 | 1579.11 | 45.96 | 44.66 | 43.47 | 42.37 | 41.48 | 40.54 |
| 0.4012 | 1539.66 | 1551.66 | 1562.16 | 1571.17 | 1578.75 | 1585.00 | 52.50 | 50.98 | 49.63 | 48.43 | 47.44 | 46.43 |
| 0.44891 | 1545.00 | 1556.93 | 1567.27 | 1576.11 | 1583.54 | 1589.65 | 57.84 | 56.25 | 54.74 | 53.37 | 52.23 | 51.08 |
| 0.50085 | 1551.22 | 1562.90 | 1573.04 | 1581.73 | 1589.03 | 1595.00 | 64.06 | 62.22 | 60.51 | 58.99 | 57.72 | 56.43 |

Table 4.51: Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s \times 10^{10}/Pa^{-1}$) of aqueous L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| | | | Water + L-proline | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 4.56 | 4.48 | 4.41 | 4.36 | 4.31 | 4.28 |
| 0.04907 | 4.53 | 4.45 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.29 | 4.26 |
| 0.10023 | 4.49 | 4.42 | 4.36 | 4.30 | 4.26 | 4.23 |
| 0.14992 | 4.46 | 4.39 | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.24 | 4.21 |
| 0.20099 | 4.43 | 4.36 | 4.30 | 4.25 | 4.22 | 4.19 |
| 0.24894 | 4.41 | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.23 | 4.19 | 4.16 |
| 0.30053 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.25 | 4.21 | 4.17 | 4.14 |
| 0.34856 | 4.35 | 4.29 | 4.23 | 4.19 | 4.15 | 4.12 |
| 0.39972 | 4.32 | 4.26 | 4.20 | 4.16 | 4.13 | 4.10 |
| 0.45097 | 4.29 | 4.23 | 4.18 | 4.13 | 4.10 | 4.08 |
| 0.50013 | 4.27 | 4.21 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 4.08 | 4.06 |

Table 4.52: Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s \times 10^{10}/Pa^{-1}$) of aqueous L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| | | | Water + L-lysine | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 4.56 | 4.48 | 4.41 | 4.36 | 4.31 | 4.28 |
| 0.04982 | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.36 | 4.31 | 4.27 | 4.23 |
| 0.10003 | 4.45 | 4.37 | 4.31 | 4.26 | 4.22 | 4.19 |
| 0.14969 | 4.40 | 4.32 | 4.26 | 4.21 | 4.18 | 4.15 |
| 0.20093 | 4.35 | 4.28 | 4.22 | 4.17 | 4.13 | 4.10 |
| 0.24911 | 4.30 | 4.23 | 4.17 | 4.13 | 4.09 | 4.06 |
| 0.2986 | 4.25 | 4.19 | 4.13 | 4.09 | 4.05 | 4.02 |
| 0.34903 | 4.21 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.05 | 4.01 | 3.99 |
| 0.40192 | 4.16 | 4.10 | 4.05 | 4.01 | 3.97 | 3.95 |
| 0.44906 | 4.12 | 4.06 | 4.01 | 3.97 | 3.94 | 3.92 |
| 0.50068 | 4.08 | 4.02 | 3.97 | 3.93 | 3.90 | 3.88 |

Table 4.53: Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s \times 10^{10}$/Pa$^{-1}$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 4.54 | 4.47 | 4.40 | 4.35 | 4.30 | 4.54 |
| 0.05037 | 4.51 | 4.44 | 4.37 | 4.32 | 4.28 | 4.51 |
| 0.09825 | 4.48 | 4.41 | 4.34 | 4.29 | 4.25 | 4.48 |
| 0.15115 | 4.45 | 4.38 | 4.32 | 4.27 | 4.23 | 4.45 |
| 0.19996 | 4.42 | 4.35 | 4.29 | 4.24 | 4.21 | 4.42 |
| 0.25002 | 4.39 | 4.32 | 4.26 | 4.22 | 4.18 | 4.39 |
| 0.29961 | 4.36 | 4.30 | 4.24 | 4.20 | 4.16 | 4.36 |
| 0.35005 | 4.33 | 4.27 | 4.22 | 4.17 | 4.14 | 4.33 |
| 0.40235 | 4.30 | 4.24 | 4.19 | 4.15 | 4.11 | 4.30 |
| 0.44977 | 4.28 | 4.22 | 4.17 | 4.12 | 4.09 | 4.28 |
| 0.49892 | 4.25 | 4.19 | 4.14 | 4.10 | 4.07 | 4.25 |

Table 4.54: Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s \times 10^{10}$/Pa$^{-1}$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide(0.045mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 4.54 | 4.46 | 4.39 | 4.34 | 4.30 | 4.27 |
| 0.04924 | 4.51 | 4.43 | 4.37 | 4.32 | 4.27 | 4.24 |
| 0.10068 | 4.47 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.29 | 4.25 | 4.22 |
| 0.14909 | 4.45 | 4.37 | 4.31 | 4.27 | 4.23 | 4.20 |
| 0.20129 | 4.42 | 4.35 | 4.29 | 4.24 | 4.20 | 4.17 |
| 0.24831 | 4.39 | 4.32 | 4.26 | 4.22 | 4.18 | 4.15 |
| 0.29947 | 4.36 | 4.30 | 4.24 | 4.19 | 4.16 | 4.13 |
| 0.35003 | 4.33 | 4.26 | 4.21 | 4.17 | 4.13 | 4.11 |
| 0.39828 | 4.30 | 4.24 | 4.19 | 4.14 | 4.11 | 4.09 |
| 0.45132 | 4.27 | 4.21 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 4.09 | 4.06 |
| 0.50143 | 4.24 | 4.18 | 4.13 | 4.09 | 4.06 | 4.04 |

Table 4.55: Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s \times 10^{10}/Pa^{-1}$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 4.53 | 4.45 | 4.39 | 4.34 | 4.30 | 4.26 |
| 0.05082 | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.36 | 4.31 | 4.27 | 4.24 |
| 0.09856 | 4.47 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.29 | 4.25 | 4.22 |
| 0.15012 | 4.44 | 4.37 | 4.31 | 4.26 | 4.22 | 4.19 |
| 0.20142 | 4.41 | 4.34 | 4.28 | 4.23 | 4.20 | 4.17 |
| 0.24991 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.26 | 4.21 | 4.18 | 4.15 |
| 0.29843 | 4.36 | 4.29 | 4.23 | 4.19 | 4.16 | 4.13 |
| 0.34905 | 4.33 | 4.27 | 4.21 | 4.17 | 4.13 | 4.11 |
| 0.40005 | 4.30 | 4.24 | 4.19 | 4.14 | 4.11 | 4.09 |
| 0.45081 | 4.28 | 4.21 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 4.09 | 4.06 |
| 0.50144 | 4.25 | 4.19 | 4.14 | 4.10 | 4.07 | 4.05 |

Table 4.56: Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s \times 10^{10}/Pa^{-1}$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 4.52 | 4.44 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.29 | 4.26 |
| 0.04986 | 4.49 | 4.41 | 4.35 | 4.30 | 4.26 | 4.23 |
| 0.09911 | 4.46 | 4.38 | 4.32 | 4.28 | 4.24 | 4.21 |
| 0.15009 | 4.43 | 4.36 | 4.30 | 4.25 | 4.21 | 4.19 |
| 0.20099 | 4.39 | 4.33 | 4.27 | 4.22 | 4.19 | 4.16 |
| 0.24911 | 4.37 | 4.30 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.17 | 4.14 |
| 0.30175 | 4.34 | 4.28 | 4.22 | 4.18 | 4.15 | 4.12 |
| 0.34995 | 4.32 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.16 | 4.13 | 4.10 |
| 0.39964 | 4.29 | 4.23 | 4.18 | 4.14 | 4.11 | 4.08 |
| 0.4501 | 4.26 | 4.20 | 4.15 | 4.11 | 4.08 | 4.06 |
| 0.49891 | 4.24 | 4.18 | 4.13 | 4.09 | 4.06 | 4.04 |

Table 4.57: Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s \times 10^{10}/Pa^{-1}$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 4.54 | 4.47 | 4.40 | 4.35 | 4.30 | 4.27 |
| 0.04954 | 4.49 | 4.41 | 4.35 | 4.30 | 4.26 | 4.23 |
| 0.09953 | 4.44 | 4.36 | 4.30 | 4.25 | 4.21 | 4.18 |
| 0.14974 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.16 | 4.14 |
| 0.19814 | 4.33 | 4.26 | 4.21 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 4.10 |
| 0.24867 | 4.29 | 4.22 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 4.08 | 4.06 |
| 0.30107 | 4.24 | 4.17 | 4.12 | 4.07 | 4.04 | 4.01 |
| 0.34897 | 4.19 | 4.13 | 4.07 | 4.03 | 4.00 | 3.97 |
| 0.40104 | 4.15 | 4.09 | 4.04 | 3.99 | 3.96 | 3.94 |
| 0.44855 | 4.11 | 4.05 | 4.00 | 3.96 | 3.93 | 3.91 |
| 0.49943 | 4.07 | 4.01 | 3.96 | 3.93 | 3.90 | 3.87 |

Table 4.58: Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s \times 10^{10}/Pa^{-1}$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide(0.045mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 4.54 | 4.46 | 4.39 | 4.34 | 4.30 | 4.27 |
| 0.04977 | 4.48 | 4.41 | 4.34 | 4.29 | 4.25 | 4.22 |
| 0.09991 | 4.43 | 4.36 | 4.30 | 4.25 | 4.21 | 4.18 |
| 0.14886 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.25 | 4.20 | 4.16 | 4.13 |
| 0.19906 | 4.33 | 4.26 | 4.21 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 4.09 |
| 0.25031 | 4.28 | 4.22 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 4.08 | 4.05 |
| 0.29827 | 4.23 | 4.17 | 4.11 | 4.07 | 4.04 | 4.01 |
| 0.35007 | 4.19 | 4.13 | 4.07 | 4.03 | 4.00 | 3.98 |
| 0.40049 | 4.14 | 4.08 | 4.03 | 3.99 | 3.96 | 3.94 |
| 0.45091 | 4.10 | 4.04 | 3.99 | 3.95 | 3.92 | 3.90 |
| 0.50003 | 4.06 | 4.00 | 3.96 | 3.92 | 3.89 | 3.87 |

Table 4.59: Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s \times 10^{10}/\text{Pa}^{-1}$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 4.53 | 4.45 | 4.39 | 4.34 | 4.30 | 4.26 |
| 0.04993 | 4.48 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.29 | 4.25 | 4.22 |
| 0.09992 | 4.42 | 4.35 | 4.29 | 4.24 | 4.20 | 4.17 |
| 0.14996 | 4.37 | 4.30 | 4.24 | 4.20 | 4.16 | 4.13 |
| 0.20027 | 4.32 | 4.26 | 4.20 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 4.09 |
| 0.25021 | 4.27 | 4.21 | 4.16 | 4.11 | 4.08 | 4.05 |
| 0.29997 | 4.23 | 4.17 | 4.11 | 4.07 | 4.04 | 4.01 |
| 0.34925 | 4.18 | 4.12 | 4.07 | 4.03 | 4.00 | 3.97 |
| 0.39814 | 4.14 | 4.08 | 4.03 | 3.99 | 3.96 | 3.94 |
| 0.44998 | 4.10 | 4.04 | 3.99 | 3.95 | 3.92 | 3.90 |
| 0.50008 | 4.05 | 4.00 | 3.95 | 3.91 | 3.88 | 3.86 |

Table 4.60: Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s \times 10^{10}/\text{Pa}^{-1}$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 4.52 | 4.44 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.29 | 4.26 |
| 0.05031 | 4.46 | 4.39 | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.24 | 4.21 |
| 0.10052 | 4.41 | 4.34 | 4.28 | 4.23 | 4.19 | 4.17 |
| 0.14902 | 4.36 | 4.29 | 4.24 | 4.19 | 4.15 | 4.13 |
| 0.19934 | 4.31 | 4.25 | 4.19 | 4.15 | 4.11 | 4.08 |
| 0.24911 | 4.27 | 4.20 | 4.15 | 4.11 | 4.07 | 4.05 |
| 0.29904 | 4.22 | 4.16 | 4.11 | 4.06 | 4.03 | 4.01 |
| 0.34936 | 4.17 | 4.11 | 4.06 | 4.02 | 3.99 | 3.97 |
| 0.4012 | 4.13 | 4.07 | 4.02 | 3.98 | 3.95 | 3.93 |
| 0.44891 | 4.09 | 4.03 | 3.99 | 3.95 | 3.92 | 3.90 |
| 0.50085 | 4.05 | 3.99 | 3.95 | 3.91 | 3.88 | 3.86 |

Table 4.61: Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of aqueous L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_k \times 10^{14}$/m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04907 | –2.6057 | -2.3584 | -2.0730 | -1.8242 | -1.5825 | -1.3377 |
| 0.10023 | -2.5967 | -2.3039 | -2.0146 | -1.7466 | -1.5162 | -1.3003 |
| 0.14992 | -2.5154 | -2.2094 | -1.9188 | -1.6629 | -1.4410 | -1.2347 |
| 0.20099 | -2.4467 | -2.1406 | -1.8556 | -1.6012 | -1.3835 | -1.1845 |
| 0.24894 | -2.4671 | -2.1641 | -1.8835 | -1.6347 | -1.4216 | -1.2282 |
| 0.30053 | -2.3735 | -2.0209 | -1.7400 | -1.5409 | -1.3293 | -1.1428 |
| 0.34856 | -2.2311 | -1.8967 | -1.6617 | -1.4246 | -1.2162 | -1.0296 |
| 0.39972 | -2.2035 | -1.9009 | -1.6257 | -1.3869 | -1.1812 | -0.9977 |
| 0.45097 | -2.3370 | -2.0293 | -1.7531 | -1.5146 | -1.3109 | -1.1294 |
| 0.50013 | -2.1764 | -1.8782 | -1.6079 | -1.3603 | -1.1682 | -0.9881 |

Table 4.62: Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of aqueous L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_k \times 10^{14}$/m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04982 | -5.7889 | -5.4318 | -5.0487 | -4.7307 | -4.4623 | -4.1975 |
| 0.10003 | -5.4910 | -5.0974 | -4.7400 | -4.4171 | -4.1632 | -3.9364 |
| 0.14969 | -5.2986 | -4.9034 | -4.5496 | -4.2445 | -3.9990 | -3.7851 |
| 0.20093 | -5.1346 | -4.7427 | -4.3961 | -4.1036 | -3.8644 | -3.6540 |
| 0.24911 | -5.0320 | -4.6373 | -4.2900 | -3.9975 | -3.7615 | -3.5358 |
| 0.2986 | -4.8461 | -4.4266 | -4.0985 | -3.8293 | -3.6664 | -3.4700 |
| 0.34903 | -4.7456 | -4.3726 | -4.0444 | -3.7670 | -3.5353 | -3.3151 |
| 0.40192 | -4.6808 | -4.2839 | -3.9555 | -3.6770 | -3.4506 | -3.2245 |
| 0.44906 | -4.5117 | -4.1286 | -3.8073 | -3.5231 | -3.2960 | -3.0830 |
| 0.50068 | -4.4362 | -4.0505 | -3.7131 | -3.4111 | -3.2422 | -3.0569 |

Table 4.63: Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_k \times 10^{14}$/m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05037 | -2.7508 | -2.5930 | -2.3384 | -2.0961 | -1.6361 | -1.4321 |
| 0.09825 | -2.7171 | -2.4355 | -2.1610 | -1.9281 | -1.6499 | -1.3076 |
| 0.15115 | -2.6370 | -2.3486 | -2.0574 | -1.7937 | -1.4793 | -1.2831 |
| 0.19996 | -2.5601 | -2.2641 | -1.9762 | -1.7177 | -1.4308 | -1.2390 |
| 0.25002 | -2.5424 | -2.2461 | -1.9631 | -1.7057 | -1.4348 | -1.2446 |
| 0.29961 | -2.4093 | -2.1170 | -1.8372 | -1.5905 | -1.3366 | -1.1513 |
| 0.35005 | -2.4346 | -2.1018 | -1.8077 | -1.6150 | -1.3698 | -1.1846 |
| 0.40235 | -2.4034 | -2.0995 | -1.8176 | -1.5737 | -1.3258 | -1.1386 |
| 0.44977 | -2.3806 | -2.0764 | -1.7979 | -1.5558 | -1.3177 | -1.1587 |
| 0.49892 | -2.3046 | -2.0036 | -1.7313 | -1.4936 | -1.2620 | -1.0792 |

Table 4.64: Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide(0.045mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_k \times 10^{14}$/m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04924 | -2.5360 | -2.1808 | -1.8824 | -1.6328 | -1.3775 | -1.2386 |
| 0.10068 | -2.5065 | -2.0845 | -1.8047 | -1.7021 | -1.4860 | -1.2799 |
| 0.14909 | -2.3700 | -2.0266 | -1.7453 | -1.4965 | -1.2810 | -1.0934 |
| 0.20129 | -2.2729 | -1.9587 | -1.6861 | -1.4391 | -1.2272 | -1.0340 |
| 0.24831 | -2.3572 | -2.0548 | -1.7752 | -1.5282 | -1.3125 | -1.1212 |
| 0.29947 | -2.3711 | -1.8920 | -1.7661 | -1.5176 | -1.3005 | -1.1131 |
| 0.35003 | -2.3405 | -2.0223 | -1.7458 | -1.5013 | -1.2897 | -1.1020 |
| 0.39828 | -2.3365 | -1.9999 | -1.7247 | -1.4894 | -1.3046 | -1.1321 |
| 0.45132 | -2.2879 | -1.9717 | -1.6971 | -1.4593 | -1.2507 | -1.0628 |
| 0.50143 | -2.3177 | -2.0043 | -1.7331 | -1.4941 | -1.2707 | -1.0901 |

Table 4.65: Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_k \times 10^{14}$/m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05082 | -2.4086 | -2.1691 | -1.9152 | -1.6818 | -1.4686 | -1.2944 |
| 0.09856 | -2.3882 | -2.0997 | -1.8334 | -1.5854 | -1.3700 | -1.1946 |
| 0.15012 | -2.4134 | -2.0871 | -1.8222 | -1.5772 | -1.3632 | -1.1664 |
| 0.20142 | -2.3983 | -2.0716 | -1.7904 | -1.5468 | -1.3343 | -1.1538 |
| 0.24991 | -2.3169 | -1.9556 | -1.6826 | -1.4458 | -1.2451 | -1.1054 |
| 0.29843 | -2.2383 | -1.9281 | -1.6578 | -1.4220 | -1.2186 | -1.0489 |
| 0.34905 | -2.1117 | -1.7883 | -1.5246 | -1.3653 | -1.1847 | -1.0249 |
| 0.40005 | -2.1286 | -1.8334 | -1.5786 | -1.3609 | -1.1729 | -1.0099 |
| 0.45081 | -2.1064 | -1.8122 | -1.5605 | -1.3434 | -1.1558 | -1.0179 |
| 0.50144 | -2.0410 | -1.7498 | -1.5022 | -1.2875 | -1.1022 | -0.9397 |

Table 4.66: Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_k \times 10^{14}$/m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04986 | -2.5715 | -2.2618 | -1.9941 | -1.7737 | -1.6253 | -1.3471 |
| 0.09911 | -2.5300 | -2.2201 | -1.9558 | -1.7156 | -1.5478 | -1.3169 |
| 0.15009 | -2.3794 | -2.0642 | -1.7927 | -1.5476 | -1.3691 | -1.1889 |
| 0.20099 | -2.5527 | -2.2418 | -1.9682 | -1.7231 | -1.5374 | -1.3328 |
| 0.24911 | -2.3732 | -2.0342 | -1.7581 | -1.5186 | -1.3763 | -1.1853 |
| 0.30175 | -2.2063 | -1.9028 | -1.6307 | -1.3973 | -1.2093 | -1.0238 |
| 0.34995 | -2.1518 | -1.8535 | -1.5882 | -1.3578 | -1.1730 | -0.9897 |
| 0.39964 | -2.0936 | -1.7914 | -1.5289 | -1.3022 | -1.1179 | -0.9362 |
| 0.4501 | -2.0616 | -1.7615 | -1.5006 | -1.2758 | -1.0912 | -0.9115 |
| 0.49891 | -1.9823 | -1.6884 | -1.4344 | -1.2159 | -1.0302 | -0.8523 |

Table 4.67: Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_k \times 10^{14}$/m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04954 | -5.6544 | -5.3633 | -5.0176 | -4.6769 | -4.1657 | -3.8824 |
| 0.09953 | -5.4200 | -5.0614 | -4.7044 | -4.4007 | -4.0171 | -3.7749 |
| 0.14974 | -5.5547 | -5.1820 | -4.8123 | -4.4809 | -4.1166 | -3.8489 |
| 0.19814 | -5.2128 | -4.8346 | -4.4796 | -4.1821 | -3.8769 | -3.6453 |
| 0.24867 | -5.0115 | -4.6357 | -4.2877 | -4.0047 | -3.7246 | -3.5106 |
| 0.30107 | -4.8896 | -4.4903 | -4.1361 | -3.9217 | -3.6556 | -3.4429 |
| 0.34897 | -4.9303 | -4.5393 | -4.2153 | -3.9395 | -3.7022 | -3.4586 |
| 0.40104 | -4.7088 | -4.3412 | -4.0178 | -3.7421 | -3.4853 | -3.2781 |
| 0.44855 | -4.5044 | -4.1405 | -3.8197 | -3.5508 | -3.3030 | -3.1094 |
| 0.49943 | -4.4151 | -4.0539 | -3.7290 | -3.4591 | -3.2199 | -3.0364 |

Table 4.68: Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide(0.045mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_k \times 10^{14}$/m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04977 | -5.2681 | -4.6962 | -4.3363 | -4.0322 | -3.8004 | -3.5838 |
| 0.09991 | -5.0673 | -4.6538 | -4.3120 | -4.0119 | -3.7614 | -3.5420 |
| 0.14886 | -5.1331 | -4.7147 | -4.3715 | -4.0815 | -3.8459 | -3.6475 |
| 0.19906 | -4.8896 | -4.4925 | -4.1522 | -3.9708 | -3.6344 | -3.4294 |
| 0.25031 | -4.7975 | -4.3688 | -4.0292 | -3.7502 | -3.5638 | -3.3614 |
| 0.29827 | -4.9288 | -4.5376 | -4.2127 | -3.9432 | -3.7120 | -3.5100 |
| 0.35007 | -4.6653 | -4.2788 | -3.9551 | -3.6768 | -3.4508 | -3.2521 |
| 0.40049 | -4.5580 | -4.1759 | -3.8531 | -3.5825 | -3.3547 | -3.1601 |
| 0.45091 | -4.5490 | -4.1743 | -3.8569 | -3.5869 | -3.3668 | -3.1674 |
| 0.50003 | -4.3562 | -3.9917 | -3.6826 | -3.4264 | -3.2131 | -3.0304 |

Table 4.69: Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_k \times 10^{14}$/m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04993 | -5.3159 | -4.8936 | -4.5618 | -4.2802 | -4.0295 | -3.8310 |
| 0.09992 | -5.1347 | -4.7157 | -4.3773 | -4.0859 | -3.8514 | -3.6648 |
| 0.14996 | -5.1197 | -4.7752 | -4.4247 | -4.1257 | -3.8897 | -3.6935 |
| 0.20027 | -4.8259 | -4.3837 | -4.0469 | -3.7777 | -3.5566 | -3.4231 |
| 0.25021 | -4.7993 | -4.4097 | -4.0832 | -3.8131 | -3.5877 | -3.3981 |
| 0.29997 | -4.6663 | -4.2421 | -3.9237 | -3.6690 | -3.4924 | -3.3159 |
| 0.34925 | -4.6012 | -4.2219 | -3.9033 | -3.6359 | -3.4199 | -3.2349 |
| 0.39814 | -4.4667 | -4.1044 | -3.7923 | -3.5302 | -3.3136 | -3.1350 |
| 0.44998 | -4.4252 | -4.0350 | -3.7200 | -3.4586 | -3.2668 | -3.0934 |
| 0.50008 | -4.4008 | -4.0330 | -3.7315 | -3.4894 | -3.2897 | -3.1230 |

Table 4.70: Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\varphi_k \times 10^{14}$/m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05031 | -5.2619 | -4.8719 | -4.5432 | -4.2529 | -4.0982 | -3.8252 |
| 0.10052 | -5.1655 | -4.7629 | -4.4261 | -4.1458 | -3.9740 | -3.7656 |
| 0.14902 | -4.9713 | -4.5868 | -4.2427 | -3.9653 | -3.7657 | -3.5572 |
| 0.19934 | -4.7978 | -4.3639 | -4.0435 | -3.8524 | -3.6723 | -3.4718 |
| 0.24911 | -4.6758 | -4.2614 | -3.9392 | -3.6753 | -3.5289 | -3.3457 |
| 0.29904 | -4.5951 | -4.2197 | -3.9097 | -3.6516 | -3.4511 | -3.2692 |
| 0.34936 | -4.5496 | -4.1742 | -3.8579 | -3.5911 | -3.3866 | -3.1905 |
| 0.4012 | -4.4701 | -4.1028 | -3.7921 | -3.5353 | -3.3387 | -3.1595 |
| 0.44891 | -4.3171 | -3.9617 | -3.6561 | -3.4012 | -3.2013 | -3.0232 |
| 0.50085 | -4.2337 | -3.8754 | -3.5709 | -3.3199 | -3.1232 | -2.9463 |

Table 4.71: Limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$) of L-proline + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ ($m^3.mol^{-1}.Pa^{-1}$) | $S_k \times 10^{14}$ ($m^3.mol^{-1}.kg$) |
|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | -2.6614 | 0.9678 |
| 298.15K | -2.3788 | 1.0497 |
| 303.15K | -2.0868 | 0.9948 |
| 308.15K | -1.8298 | 0.9463 |
| 313.15K | -1.5904 | 0.8560 |
| 318.15K | -1.3600 | 0.7372 |

Table 4.72: Limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$) of L-lysine + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ ($m^3.mol^{-1}.Pa^{-1}$) | $S_k \times 10^{14}$ ($m^3.mol^{-1}.kg$) |
|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | -5.7777 | 2.8418 |
| 298.15K | -5.3940 | 2.8611 |
| 303.15K | -5.0221 | 2.7566 |
| 308.15K | -4.7073 | 2.6820 |
| 313.15K | -4.4390 | 2.5280 |
| 318.15K | -4.1958 | 2.4371 |

Table 4.73: Limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$) and transfer compressibility ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$) of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) | $S_k \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | -2.7822 | 0.9752 | -0.1209 |
| 298.15K | -2.5511 | 1.1727 | -0.1723 |
| 303.15K | -2.2765 | 1.1917 | -0.1897 |
| 308.15K | -2.0254 | 1.1575 | -0.1955 |
| 313.15K | -1.6492 | 0.8176 | -0.0588 |
| 318.15K | -1.3950 | 0.6295 | -0.0351 |

Table 4.74: Limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$) and transfer compressibility ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$) of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) | $S_k \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | -2.4843 | 0.4171 | 0.1771 |
| 298.15K | -2.1022 | 0.3007 | 0.2765 |
| 303.15K | -1.8237 | 0.2463 | 0.2631 |
| 308.15K | -1.6193 | 0.3393 | 0.2105 |
| 313.15K | -1.3870 | 0.2800 | 0.2034 |
| 318.15K | -1.2089 | 0.2988 | 0.1511 |

Table 4.75: Limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$) and transfer compressibility ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$) of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) | $S_k \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | -2.5093 | 0.9242 | 0.1520 |
| 298.15K | -2.2132 | 0.9588 | 0.1656 |
| 303.15K | -1.9417 | 0.9268 | 0.1452 |
| 308.15K | -1.6908 | 0.8331 | 0.1390 |
| 313.15K | -1.4685 | 0.7524 | 0.1219 |
| 318.15K | -1.2836 | 0.6834 | 0.0764 |

Table 4.76: Limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$) and transfer compressibility ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$) of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) | $S_k \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | -2.6703 | 1.3817 | -0.0089 |
| 298.15K | -2.3503 | 1.3392 | 0.0284 |
| 303.15K | -2.0762 | 1.3124 | 0.0107 |
| 308.15K | -1.8348 | 1.2799 | -0.0050 |
| 313.15K | -1.6774 | 1.3440 | -0.0870 |
| 318.15K | -1.4339 | 1.1834 | -0.0740 |

Table 4.77: Limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$) and transfer compressibility ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$) of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) | $S_k \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | -5.7870 | 2.7574 | -0.0093 |
| 298.15K | -5.4445 | 2.8428 | -0.0505 |
| 303.15K | -5.0770 | 2.7511 | -0.0550 |
| 308.15K | -4.7478 | 2.5939 | -0.0404 |
| 313.15K | -4.3006 | 2.0909 | 0.1384 |
| 318.15K | -4.0226 | 1.9085 | 0.1732 |

Table 4.78: Limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$) and transfer compressibility ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$) of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) | $S_k \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | -5.3285 | 1.8459 | 0.4492 |
| 298.15K | -4.8364 | 1.5576 | 0.5576 |
| 303.15K | -4.4777 | 1.4615 | 0.5443 |
| 308.15K | -4.1927 | 1.4066 | 0.5146 |
| 313.15K | -3.9333 | 1.3209 | 0.5057 |
| 318.15K | -3.7153 | 1.2626 | 0.4804 |

Table 4.79: Limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$) and transfer compressibility ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$) of water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) | $S_k \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | -5.3515 | 2.0962 | 0.4262 |
| 298.15K | -4.9322 | 2.0046 | 0.4617 |
| 303.15K | -4.5842 | 1.9206 | 0.4379 |
| 308.15K | -4.2886 | 1.8272 | 0.4187 |
| 313.15K | -4.0411 | 1.7155 | 0.3979 |
| 318.15K | -3.8517 | 1.6758 | 0.3440 |

Table 4.80: Limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), experimental slope ($S_k$) and transfer compressibility ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$) of water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

| Temp (K) | $\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) | $S_k \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.kg) | $\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0 \times 10^{14}$ (m$^3$.mol$^{-1}$.Pa$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 293.15K | -5.3200 | 2.2425 | 0.4578 |
| 298.15K | -4.9037 | 2.1314 | 0.4903 |
| 303.15K | -4.5629 | 2.0553 | 0.4592 |
| 308.15K | -4.2901 | 2.0054 | 0.4172 |
| 313.15K | -4.1279 | 2.0888 | 0.3111 |
| 318.15K | -3.8881 | 1.9388 | 0.3077 |

Table 4.81: Acoustic impedance ($Z \times 10^{-6}$/kg.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$) of aqueous L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | Water + L-proline |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.4800 | 1.4921 | 1.5023 | 1.5104 | 1.5166 | 1.5211 |
| 0.04907 | 1.4863 | 1.4984 | 1.5083 | 1.5163 | 1.5223 | 1.5266 |
| 0.10023 | 1.4927 | 1.5046 | 1.5143 | 1.5221 | 1.5280 | 1.5321 |
| 0.14992 | 1.4988 | 1.5105 | 1.5201 | 1.5277 | 1.5334 | 1.5374 |
| 0.20099 | 1.5051 | 1.5166 | 1.5260 | 1.5334 | 1.5390 | 1.5428 |
| 0.24894 | 1.5112 | 1.5225 | 1.5318 | 1.5391 | 1.5445 | 1.5482 |
| 0.30053 | 1.5170 | 1.5279 | 1.5369 | 1.5443 | 1.5496 | 1.5531 |
| 0.34856 | 1.5224 | 1.5332 | 1.5423 | 1.5493 | 1.5544 | 1.5578 |
| 0.39972 | 1.5287 | 1.5394 | 1.5481 | 1.5549 | 1.5599 | 1.5631 |
| 0.45097 | 1.5355 | 1.5460 | 1.5545 | 1.5611 | 1.5660 | 1.5691 |
| 0.50013 | 1.5405 | 1.5508 | 1.5592 | 1.5655 | 1.5703 | 1.5733 |

Table 4.82: Acoustic impedance ($Z \times 10^{-6}$/kg.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$) of aqueous L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | Water + L-lysine |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.4800 | 1.4921 | 1.5023 | 1.5104 | 1.5166 | 1.5211 |
| 0.04982 | 1.4914 | 1.5034 | 1.5134 | 1.5214 | 1.5274 | 1.5317 |
| 0.10003 | 1.5025 | 1.5143 | 1.5241 | 1.5319 | 1.5378 | 1.5419 |
| 0.14969 | 1.5133 | 1.5249 | 1.5345 | 1.5421 | 1.5479 | 1.5519 |
| 0.20093 | 1.5242 | 1.5356 | 1.5451 | 1.5525 | 1.5582 | 1.5621 |
| 0.24911 | 1.5345 | 1.5457 | 1.5549 | 1.5622 | 1.5677 | 1.5714 |
| 0.2986 | 1.5445 | 1.5553 | 1.5645 | 1.5717 | 1.5774 | 1.5811 |
| 0.34903 | 1.5549 | 1.5658 | 1.5747 | 1.5817 | 1.5869 | 1.5902 |
| 0.40192 | 1.5659 | 1.5764 | 1.5851 | 1.5919 | 1.5970 | 1.6001 |
| 0.44906 | 1.5748 | 1.5852 | 1.5937 | 1.6003 | 1.6052 | 1.6082 |
| 0.50068 | 1.5852 | 1.5953 | 1.6035 | 1.6096 | 1.6149 | 1.6180 |

Table 4.83: Acoustic impedance ($Z \times 10^{-6}/kg.m^{-2}.s^{-1}$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.4828 | 1.4947 | 1.5047 | 1.5127 | 1.5189 | 1.5232 |
| 0.05037 | 1.4893 | 1.5012 | 1.5110 | 1.5189 | 1.5248 | 1.5289 |
| 0.09825 | 1.4955 | 1.5072 | 1.5168 | 1.5245 | 1.5304 | 1.5341 |
| 0.15115 | 1.5022 | 1.5137 | 1.5231 | 1.5305 | 1.5361 | 1.5399 |
| 0.19996 | 1.5082 | 1.5195 | 1.5288 | 1.5360 | 1.5414 | 1.5451 |
| 0.25002 | 1.5146 | 1.5257 | 1.5347 | 1.5418 | 1.5471 | 1.5506 |
| 0.29961 | 1.5202 | 1.5311 | 1.5400 | 1.5470 | 1.5521 | 1.5555 |
| 0.35005 | 1.5267 | 1.5372 | 1.5458 | 1.5529 | 1.5579 | 1.5612 |
| 0.40235 | 1.5331 | 1.5436 | 1.5520 | 1.5586 | 1.5634 | 1.5665 |
| 0.44977 | 1.5389 | 1.5492 | 1.5575 | 1.5639 | 1.5685 | 1.5717 |
| 0.49892 | 1.5444 | 1.5545 | 1.5626 | 1.5689 | 1.5734 | 1.5763 |

Table 4.84: Acoustic impedance ($Z \times 10^{-6}/kg.m^{-2}.s^{-1}$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide(0.045mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.4844 | 1.4964 | 1.5063 | 1.5142 | 1.5202 | 1.5245 |
| 0.04924 | 1.4907 | 1.5024 | 1.5121 | 1.5199 | 1.5257 | 1.5299 |
| 0.10068 | 1.4972 | 1.5086 | 1.5181 | 1.5259 | 1.5316 | 1.5356 |
| 0.14909 | 1.5030 | 1.5143 | 1.5237 | 1.5311 | 1.5366 | 1.5404 |
| 0.20129 | 1.5091 | 1.5204 | 1.5295 | 1.5367 | 1.5421 | 1.5458 |
| 0.24831 | 1.5153 | 1.5264 | 1.5354 | 1.5424 | 1.5476 | 1.5511 |
| 0.29947 | 1.5217 | 1.5317 | 1.5413 | 1.5482 | 1.5532 | 1.5566 |
| 0.35003 | 1.5279 | 1.5385 | 1.5471 | 1.5538 | 1.5587 | 1.5619 |
| 0.39828 | 1.5339 | 1.5441 | 1.5526 | 1.5592 | 1.5641 | 1.5672 |
| 0.45132 | 1.5401 | 1.5503 | 1.5585 | 1.5649 | 1.5695 | 1.5723 |
| 0.50143 | 1.5466 | 1.5566 | 1.5647 | 1.5708 | 1.5751 | 1.5779 |

Table 4.85: Acoustic impedance $(Z \times 10^{-6}/kg.m^{-2}.s^{-1})$ of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.4856 | 1.4975 | 1.5073 | 1.5151 | 1.5210 | 1.5252 |
| 0.05082 | 1.4920 | 1.5038 | 1.5134 | 1.5211 | 1.5268 | 1.5308 |
| 0.09856 | 1.4979 | 1.5095 | 1.5190 | 1.5265 | 1.5321 | 1.5359 |
| 0.15012 | 1.5044 | 1.5158 | 1.5251 | 1.5324 | 1.5378 | 1.5414 |
| 0.20142 | 1.5108 | 1.5219 | 1.5310 | 1.5381 | 1.5434 | 1.5470 |
| 0.24991 | 1.5166 | 1.5273 | 1.5362 | 1.5432 | 1.5484 | 1.5520 |
| 0.29843 | 1.5222 | 1.5330 | 1.5417 | 1.5485 | 1.5535 | 1.5568 |
| 0.34905 | 1.5277 | 1.5381 | 1.5467 | 1.5538 | 1.5588 | 1.5620 |
| 0.40005 | 1.5339 | 1.5444 | 1.5528 | 1.5594 | 1.5642 | 1.5673 |
| 0.45081 | 1.5399 | 1.5502 | 1.5585 | 1.5649 | 1.5695 | 1.5727 |
| 0.50144 | 1.5455 | 1.5555 | 1.5637 | 1.5699 | 1.5744 | 1.5773 |

Table 4.86: Acoustic impedance $(Z \times 10^{-6}/kg.m^{-2}.s^{-1})$ of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.4882 | 1.4999 | 1.5095 | 1.5172 | 1.5229 | 1.5270 |
| 0.04986 | 1.4947 | 1.5062 | 1.5157 | 1.5232 | 1.5288 | 1.5327 |
| 0.09911 | 1.5008 | 1.5122 | 1.5215 | 1.5288 | 1.5343 | 1.5380 |
| 0.15009 | 1.5069 | 1.5181 | 1.5272 | 1.5344 | 1.5397 | 1.5433 |
| 0.20099 | 1.5139 | 1.5249 | 1.5338 | 1.5408 | 1.5460 | 1.5494 |
| 0.24911 | 1.5193 | 1.5300 | 1.5387 | 1.5455 | 1.5508 | 1.5541 |
| 0.30175 | 1.5251 | 1.5357 | 1.5442 | 1.5509 | 1.5557 | 1.5589 |
| 0.34995 | 1.5307 | 1.5411 | 1.5495 | 1.5560 | 1.5607 | 1.5638 |
| 0.39964 | 1.5363 | 1.5465 | 1.5547 | 1.5611 | 1.5657 | 1.5686 |
| 0.4501 | 1.5422 | 1.5522 | 1.5602 | 1.5664 | 1.5708 | 1.5736 |
| 0.49891 | 1.5474 | 1.5572 | 1.5651 | 1.5712 | 1.5754 | 1.5781 |

Table 4.87: Acoustic impedance $(Z \times 10^{-6}/\text{kg.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1})$ of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.4828 | 1.4947 | 1.5047 | 1.5127 | 1.5189 | 1.5232 |
| 0.04954 | 1.4941 | 1.5059 | 1.5157 | 1.5235 | 1.5294 | 1.5335 |
| 0.09953 | 1.5051 | 1.5168 | 1.5264 | 1.5340 | 1.5398 | 1.5438 |
| 0.14974 | 1.5168 | 1.5283 | 1.5377 | 1.5451 | 1.5506 | 1.5544 |
| 0.19814 | 1.5268 | 1.5381 | 1.5473 | 1.5546 | 1.5601 | 1.5637 |
| 0.24867 | 1.5373 | 1.5483 | 1.5574 | 1.5646 | 1.5699 | 1.5735 |
| 0.30107 | 1.5482 | 1.5590 | 1.5678 | 1.5751 | 1.5803 | 1.5837 |
| 0.34897 | 1.5591 | 1.5696 | 1.5784 | 1.5853 | 1.5905 | 1.5935 |
| 0.40104 | 1.5690 | 1.5795 | 1.5880 | 1.5947 | 1.5996 | 1.6027 |
| 0.44855 | 1.5777 | 1.5880 | 1.5964 | 1.6029 | 1.6077 | 1.6107 |
| 0.49943 | 1.5879 | 1.5979 | 1.6060 | 1.6123 | 1.6170 | 1.6200 |

Table 4.88: Acoustic impedance $(Z \times 10^{-6}/\text{kg.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1})$ of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide(0.045mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.4844 | 1.4964 | 1.5063 | 1.5142 | 1.5202 | 1.5245 |
| 0.04977 | 1.4955 | 1.5071 | 1.5168 | 1.5246 | 1.5304 | 1.5346 |
| 0.09991 | 1.5063 | 1.5179 | 1.5275 | 1.5350 | 1.5407 | 1.5447 |
| 0.14886 | 1.5173 | 1.5287 | 1.5380 | 1.5454 | 1.5510 | 1.5549 |
| 0.19906 | 1.5276 | 1.5388 | 1.5480 | 1.5556 | 1.5607 | 1.5644 |
| 0.25031 | 1.5385 | 1.5493 | 1.5583 | 1.5654 | 1.5708 | 1.5744 |
| 0.29827 | 1.5496 | 1.5604 | 1.5693 | 1.5763 | 1.5814 | 1.5848 |
| 0.35007 | 1.5595 | 1.5700 | 1.5787 | 1.5854 | 1.5904 | 1.5937 |
| 0.40049 | 1.5696 | 1.5800 | 1.5885 | 1.5950 | 1.5999 | 1.6030 |
| 0.45091 | 1.5805 | 1.5907 | 1.5990 | 1.6054 | 1.6101 | 1.6130 |
| 0.50003 | 1.5893 | 1.5994 | 1.6075 | 1.6138 | 1.6184 | 1.6214 |

Table 4.89: Acoustic impedance $(Z \times 10^{-6}/\text{kg.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1})$ of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.4856 | 1.4838 | 1.4954 | 1.5048 | 1.5123 | 1.5179 |
| 0.04993 | 1.4968 | 1.5085 | 1.5181 | 1.5258 | 1.5315 | 1.5355 |
| 0.09992 | 1.5077 | 1.5192 | 1.5286 | 1.5361 | 1.5417 | 1.5456 |
| 0.14996 | 1.5188 | 1.5302 | 1.5395 | 1.5468 | 1.5522 | 1.5560 |
| 0.20027 | 1.5289 | 1.5399 | 1.5490 | 1.5562 | 1.5615 | 1.5654 |
| 0.25021 | 1.5397 | 1.5507 | 1.5596 | 1.5666 | 1.5718 | 1.5753 |
| 0.29997 | 1.5499 | 1.5604 | 1.5692 | 1.5761 | 1.5814 | 1.5848 |
| 0.34925 | 1.5602 | 1.5707 | 1.5793 | 1.5860 | 1.5909 | 1.5942 |
| 0.39814 | 1.5698 | 1.5803 | 1.5887 | 1.5952 | 1.6000 | 1.6031 |
| 0.44998 | 1.5806 | 1.5906 | 1.5988 | 1.6051 | 1.6099 | 1.6130 |
| 0.50008 | 1.5911 | 1.6011 | 1.6092 | 1.6155 | 1.6201 | 1.6231 |

Table 4.90: Acoustic impedance $(Z \times 10^{-6}/\text{kg.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1})$ of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.4882 | 1.4999 | 1.5095 | 1.5172 | 1.5229 | 1.5270 |
| 0.05031 | 1.4994 | 1.5109 | 1.5204 | 1.5279 | 1.5335 | 1.5374 |
| 0.10052 | 1.5105 | 1.5218 | 1.5311 | 1.5385 | 1.5440 | 1.5478 |
| 0.14902 | 1.5208 | 1.5320 | 1.5411 | 1.5483 | 1.5536 | 1.5573 |
| 0.19934 | 1.5313 | 1.5421 | 1.5511 | 1.5584 | 1.5637 | 1.5672 |
| 0.24911 | 1.5417 | 1.5523 | 1.5611 | 1.5679 | 1.5733 | 1.5767 |
| 0.29904 | 1.5521 | 1.5626 | 1.5713 | 1.5780 | 1.5830 | 1.5863 |
| 0.34936 | 1.5627 | 1.5730 | 1.5814 | 1.5880 | 1.5927 | 1.5958 |
| 0.4012 | 1.5733 | 1.5835 | 1.5917 | 1.5981 | 1.6028 | 1.6058 |
| 0.44891 | 1.5823 | 1.5923 | 1.6004 | 1.6067 | 1.6112 | 1.6141 |
| 0.50085 | 1.5926 | 1.6024 | 1.6102 | 1.6163 | 1.6207 | 1.6235 |

Table 4.91: Relative association ($R_A$) of aqueous L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 0.04907 | 0.9989 | 0.9989 | 0.9990 | 0.9992 | 0.9993 | 0.9994 |
| 0.10023 | 0.9978 | 0.9980 | 0.9983 | 0.9985 | 0.9987 | 0.9989 |
| 0.14992 | 0.9968 | 0.9971 | 0.9975 | 0.9979 | 0.9982 | 0.9985 |
| 0.20099 | 0.9958 | 0.9963 | 0.9968 | 0.9972 | 0.9977 | 0.9981 |
| 0.24894 | 0.9946 | 0.9952 | 0.9958 | 0.9964 | 0.9969 | 0.9973 |
| 0.30053 | 0.9940 | 0.9948 | 0.9955 | 0.9960 | 0.9966 | 0.9972 |
| 0.34856 | 0.9931 | 0.9941 | 0.9947 | 0.9955 | 0.9962 | 0.9968 |
| 0.39972 | 0.9921 | 0.9930 | 0.9940 | 0.9949 | 0.9957 | 0.9964 |
| 0.45097 | 0.9908 | 0.9919 | 0.9929 | 0.9939 | 0.9948 | 0.9956 |
| 0.50013 | 0.9903 | 0.9914 | 0.9926 | 0.9936 | 0.9946 | 0.9956 |

Table 4.92: Relative association ($R_A$) of aqueous L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 0.04982 | 0.9980 | 0.9981 | 0.9982 | 0.9984 | 0.9985 | 0.9986 |
| 0.10003 | 0.9962 | 0.9965 | 0.9967 | 0.9970 | 0.9972 | 0.9975 |
| 0.14969 | 0.9945 | 0.9949 | 0.9953 | 0.9957 | 0.9961 | 0.9964 |
| 0.20093 | 0.9928 | 0.9934 | 0.9939 | 0.9944 | 0.9948 | 0.9953 |
| 0.24911 | 0.9912 | 0.9918 | 0.9925 | 0.9931 | 0.9937 | 0.9942 |
| 0.2986 | 0.9897 | 0.9906 | 0.9914 | 0.9920 | 0.9924 | 0.9929 |
| 0.34903 | 0.9880 | 0.9889 | 0.9898 | 0.9907 | 0.9914 | 0.9920 |
| 0.40192 | 0.9863 | 0.9875 | 0.9885 | 0.9895 | 0.9904 | 0.9909 |
| 0.44906 | 0.9849 | 0.9862 | 0.9873 | 0.9884 | 0.9893 | 0.9900 |
| 0.50068 | 0.9833 | 0.9846 | 0.9858 | 0.9867 | 0.9879 | 0.9889 |

Table 4.93: Relative association ($R_A$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 0.05037 | 0.9989 | 0.9989 | 0.9990 | 0.9991 | 0.9994 | 0.9995 |
| 0.09825 | 0.9978 | 0.9981 | 0.9983 | 0.9985 | 0.9987 | 0.9991 |
| 0.15115 | 0.9968 | 0.9971 | 0.9975 | 0.9978 | 0.9983 | 0.9986 |
| 0.19996 | 0.9959 | 0.9963 | 0.9968 | 0.9972 | 0.9978 | 0.9982 |
| 0.25002 | 0.9948 | 0.9954 | 0.9960 | 0.9965 | 0.9972 | 0.9977 |
| 0.29961 | 0.9941 | 0.9948 | 0.9955 | 0.9962 | 0.9969 | 0.9975 |
| 0.35005 | 0.9930 | 0.9939 | 0.9948 | 0.9954 | 0.9962 | 0.9969 |
| 0.40235 | 0.9920 | 0.9929 | 0.9939 | 0.9948 | 0.9957 | 0.9965 |
| 0.44977 | 0.9910 | 0.9920 | 0.9931 | 0.9941 | 0.9952 | 0.9959 |
| 0.49892 | 0.9902 | 0.9914 | 0.9926 | 0.9937 | 0.9948 | 0.9957 |

Table 4.94: Relative association ($R_A$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide(0.045mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 0.04924 | 0.9990 | 0.9992 | 0.9993 | 0.9994 | 0.9995 | 0.9996 |
| 0.10068 | 0.9980 | 0.9984 | 0.9986 | 0.9986 | 0.9988 | 0.9991 |
| 0.14909 | 0.9972 | 0.9976 | 0.9980 | 0.9983 | 0.9986 | 0.9989 |
| 0.20129 | 0.9964 | 0.9969 | 0.9974 | 0.9978 | 0.9982 | 0.9986 |
| 0.24831 | 0.9952 | 0.9958 | 0.9964 | 0.9970 | 0.9975 | 0.9980 |
| 0.29947 | 0.9942 | 0.9955 | 0.9957 | 0.9963 | 0.9970 | 0.9975 |
| 0.35003 | 0.9932 | 0.9941 | 0.9949 | 0.9957 | 0.9964 | 0.9971 |
| 0.39828 | 0.9921 | 0.9933 | 0.9942 | 0.9951 | 0.9957 | 0.9965 |
| 0.45132 | 0.9913 | 0.9925 | 0.9935 | 0.9945 | 0.9954 | 0.9963 |
| 0.50143 | 0.9900 | 0.9913 | 0.9925 | 0.9936 | 0.9945 | 0.9954 |

Table 4.95: Relative association ($R_A$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 0.05082 | 0.9990 | 0.9991 | 0.9992 | 0.9993 | 0.9994 | 0.9995 |
| 0.09856 | 0.9981 | 0.9983 | 0.9985 | 0.9988 | 0.9990 | 0.9991 |
| 0.15012 | 0.9970 | 0.9974 | 0.9978 | 0.9981 | 0.9984 | 0.9987 |
| 0.20142 | 0.9960 | 0.9965 | 0.9970 | 0.9974 | 0.9978 | 0.9982 |
| 0.24991 | 0.9951 | 0.9959 | 0.9965 | 0.9971 | 0.9975 | 0.9979 |
| 0.29843 | 0.9944 | 0.9951 | 0.9958 | 0.9965 | 0.9971 | 0.9976 |
| 0.34905 | 0.9938 | 0.9948 | 0.9956 | 0.9960 | 0.9966 | 0.9972 |
| 0.40005 | 0.9928 | 0.9937 | 0.9946 | 0.9954 | 0.9961 | 0.9967 |
| 0.45081 | 0.9919 | 0.9930 | 0.9939 | 0.9948 | 0.9956 | 0.9962 |
| 0.50144 | 0.9912 | 0.9924 | 0.9934 | 0.9944 | 0.9953 | 0.9961 |

Table 4.96: Relative association ($R_A$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 0.04986 | 0.9989 | 0.9990 | 0.9991 | 0.9992 | 0.9993 | 0.9994 |
| 0.09911 | 0.9978 | 0.9981 | 0.9983 | 0.9985 | 0.9986 | 0.9989 |
| 0.15009 | 0.9969 | 0.9973 | 0.9977 | 0.9980 | 0.9982 | 0.9985 |
| 0.20099 | 0.9955 | 0.9959 | 0.9964 | 0.9969 | 0.9972 | 0.9976 |
| 0.24911 | 0.9947 | 0.9954 | 0.9960 | 0.9966 | 0.9969 | 0.9973 |
| 0.30175 | 0.9942 | 0.9949 | 0.9956 | 0.9963 | 0.9968 | 0.9974 |
| 0.34995 | 0.9933 | 0.9941 | 0.9949 | 0.9957 | 0.9963 | 0.9970 |
| 0.39964 | 0.9924 | 0.9934 | 0.9943 | 0.9951 | 0.9958 | 0.9966 |
| 0.4501 | 0.9914 | 0.9926 | 0.9936 | 0.9945 | 0.9953 | 0.9961 |
| 0.49891 | 0.9907 | 0.9919 | 0.9930 | 0.9940 | 0.9949 | 0.9958 |

Table 4.97: Relative association ($R_A$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 0.04954 | 0.9981 | 0.9981 | 0.9983 | 0.9984 | 0.9987 | 0.9988 |
| 0.09953 | 0.9963 | 0.9965 | 0.9968 | 0.9970 | 0.9974 | 0.9976 |
| 0.14974 | 0.9940 | 0.9944 | 0.9948 | 0.9952 | 0.9958 | 0.9961 |
| 0.19814 | 0.9927 | 0.9932 | 0.9938 | 0.9943 | 0.9949 | 0.9952 |
| 0.24867 | 0.9912 | 0.9918 | 0.9925 | 0.9931 | 0.9938 | 0.9942 |
| 0.30107 | 0.9895 | 0.9904 | 0.9912 | 0.9917 | 0.9925 | 0.9930 |
| 0.34897 | 0.9873 | 0.9883 | 0.9892 | 0.9900 | 0.9908 | 0.9916 |
| 0.40104 | 0.9861 | 0.9871 | 0.9881 | 0.9891 | 0.9901 | 0.9908 |
| 0.44855 | 0.9849 | 0.9861 | 0.9872 | 0.9883 | 0.9894 | 0.9902 |
| 0.49943 | 0.9833 | 0.9846 | 0.9858 | 0.9869 | 0.9881 | 0.9889 |

Table 4.98: Relative association ($R_A$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 0.04977 | 0.9982 | 0.9984 | 0.9986 | 0.9987 | 0.9988 | 0.9989 |
| 0.09991 | 0.9965 | 0.9968 | 0.9971 | 0.9973 | 0.9976 | 0.9978 |
| 0.14886 | 0.9946 | 0.9950 | 0.9954 | 0.9958 | 0.9961 | 0.9964 |
| 0.19906 | 0.9932 | 0.9937 | 0.9942 | 0.9945 | 0.9952 | 0.9956 |
| 0.25031 | 0.9915 | 0.9923 | 0.9930 | 0.9936 | 0.9940 | 0.9945 |
| 0.29827 | 0.9892 | 0.9900 | 0.9908 | 0.9915 | 0.9921 | 0.9927 |
| 0.35007 | 0.9881 | 0.9891 | 0.9900 | 0.9908 | 0.9916 | 0.9923 |
| 0.40049 | 0.9866 | 0.9877 | 0.9888 | 0.9897 | 0.9906 | 0.9913 |
| 0.45091 | 0.9847 | 0.9859 | 0.9871 | 0.9881 | 0.9891 | 0.9899 |
| 0.50003 | 0.9838 | 0.9851 | 0.9864 | 0.9875 | 0.9885 | 0.9894 |

Table 4.99: Relative association ($R_A$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| | Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 0.04993 | 0.9981 | 0.9983 | 0.9984 | 0.9985 | 0.9986 | 0.9987 |
| 0.09992 | 0.9964 | 0.9967 | 0.9969 | 0.9972 | 0.9974 | 0.9976 |
| 0.14996 | 0.9944 | 0.9948 | 0.9952 | 0.9956 | 0.9959 | 0.9962 |
| 0.20027 | 0.9931 | 0.9938 | 0.9943 | 0.9948 | 0.9952 | 0.9955 |
| 0.25021 | 0.9913 | 0.9920 | 0.9926 | 0.9932 | 0.9937 | 0.9942 |
| 0.29997 | 0.9898 | 0.9908 | 0.9915 | 0.9922 | 0.9926 | 0.9931 |
| 0.34925 | 0.9881 | 0.9890 | 0.9899 | 0.9907 | 0.9915 | 0.9921 |
| 0.39814 | 0.9867 | 0.9878 | 0.9888 | 0.9897 | 0.9905 | 0.9913 |
| 0.44998 | 0.9850 | 0.9863 | 0.9875 | 0.9885 | 0.9893 | 0.9901 |
| 0.50008 | 0.9831 | 0.9845 | 0.9857 | 0.9867 | 0.9877 | 0.9885 |

Table 4.100: Relative association ($R_A$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| | Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.00000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 0.05031 | 0.9982 | 0.9983 | 0.9984 | 0.9985 | 0.9986 | 0.9987 |
| 0.10052 | 0.9963 | 0.9966 | 0.9969 | 0.9971 | 0.9973 | 0.9975 |
| 0.14902 | 0.9947 | 0.9951 | 0.9955 | 0.9959 | 0.9961 | 0.9965 |
| 0.19934 | 0.9931 | 0.9938 | 0.9943 | 0.9946 | 0.9949 | 0.9953 |
| 0.24911 | 0.9915 | 0.9923 | 0.9930 | 0.9935 | 0.9938 | 0.9943 |
| 0.29904 | 0.9898 | 0.9906 | 0.9914 | 0.9921 | 0.9926 | 0.9932 |
| 0.34936 | 0.9880 | 0.9890 | 0.9899 | 0.9907 | 0.9914 | 0.9921 |
| 0.4012 | 0.9863 | 0.9875 | 0.9885 | 0.9894 | 0.9902 | 0.9909 |
| 0.44891 | 0.9851 | 0.9863 | 0.9874 | 0.9885 | 0.9893 | 0.9902 |
| 0.50085 | 0.9836 | 0.9850 | 0.9862 | 0.9873 | 0.9883 | 0.9893 |

Table 4.101: Hydration number ($n_H$) of aqueous L-proline as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $n_H$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04907 | 7.90 | 7.67 | 7.36 | 7.08 | 6.79 | 6.50 |
| 0.10023 | 7.68 | 7.40 | 7.09 | 6.79 | 6.53 | 6.27 |
| 0.14992 | 7.56 | 7.26 | 6.95 | 6.67 | 6.41 | 6.17 |
| 0.20099 | 7.46 | 7.15 | 6.85 | 6.57 | 6.32 | 6.09 |
| 0.24894 | 7.47 | 7.17 | 6.88 | 6.60 | 6.36 | 6.13 |
| 0.30053 | 7.27 | 6.91 | 6.61 | 6.40 | 6.16 | 5.95 |
| 0.34856 | 7.15 | 6.81 | 6.57 | 6.30 | 6.07 | 5.85 |
| 0.39972 | 7.12 | 6.82 | 6.52 | 6.26 | 6.03 | 5.81 |
| 0.45097 | 7.16 | 6.86 | 6.57 | 6.31 | 6.08 | 5.88 |
| 0.50013 | 7.00 | 6.70 | 6.42 | 6.15 | 5.93 | 5.72 |

Table 4.102: Hydration number ($n_H$) of aqueous L-lysine as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $n_H$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04982 | 13.90 | 13.61 | 13.24 | 12.92 | 12.63 | 12.32 |
| 0.10003 | 13.47 | 13.12 | 12.78 | 12.45 | 12.18 | 11.93 |
| 0.14969 | 13.16 | 12.82 | 12.48 | 12.17 | 11.91 | 11.67 |
| 0.20093 | 12.90 | 12.55 | 12.22 | 11.92 | 11.67 | 11.44 |
| 0.24911 | 12.71 | 12.36 | 12.03 | 11.74 | 11.49 | 11.25 |
| 0.2986 | 12.43 | 12.05 | 11.74 | 11.47 | 11.32 | 11.12 |
| 0.34903 | 12.25 | 11.92 | 11.61 | 11.34 | 11.10 | 10.87 |
| 0.40192 | 12.10 | 11.74 | 11.43 | 11.15 | 10.92 | 10.69 |
| 0.44906 | 11.86 | 11.52 | 11.21 | 10.93 | 10.70 | 10.48 |
| 0.50068 | 11.70 | 11.36 | 11.05 | 10.77 | 10.58 | 10.39 |

Table 4.103: Hydration number ($n_H$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $n_H$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05037 | 7.90 | 7.78 | 7.51 | 7.25 | 6.69 | 6.45 |
| 0.09825 | 7.83 | 7.55 | 7.26 | 7.01 | 6.68 | 6.26 |
| 0.15115 | 7.70 | 7.42 | 7.11 | 6.81 | 6.44 | 6.21 |
| 0.19996 | 7.58 | 7.28 | 6.98 | 6.69 | 6.35 | 6.13 |
| 0.25002 | 7.53 | 7.23 | 6.93 | 6.65 | 6.33 | 6.11 |
| 0.29961 | 7.34 | 7.05 | 6.76 | 6.49 | 6.19 | 5.97 |
| 0.35005 | 7.34 | 7.00 | 6.69 | 6.49 | 6.21 | 5.99 |
| 0.40235 | 7.28 | 6.97 | 6.68 | 6.41 | 6.13 | 5.91 |
| 0.44977 | 7.23 | 6.93 | 6.64 | 6.37 | 6.10 | 5.92 |
| 0.49892 | 7.12 | 6.82 | 6.53 | 6.27 | 6.01 | 5.80 |

Table 4.104: Hydration number ($n_H$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $n_H$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04924 | 7.65 | 7.28 | 6.96 | 6.67 | 6.37 | 6.21 |
| 0.10068 | 7.59 | 7.13 | 6.83 | 6.74 | 6.49 | 6.24 |
| 0.14909 | 7.40 | 7.04 | 6.74 | 6.46 | 6.21 | 5.99 |
| 0.20129 | 7.25 | 6.93 | 6.63 | 6.36 | 6.11 | 5.88 |
| 0.24831 | 7.33 | 7.02 | 6.72 | 6.45 | 6.20 | 5.97 |
| 0.29947 | 7.31 | 6.79 | 6.68 | 6.41 | 6.16 | 5.93 |
| 0.35003 | 7.25 | 6.92 | 6.63 | 6.36 | 6.12 | 5.90 |
| 0.39828 | 7.23 | 6.88 | 6.59 | 6.33 | 6.13 | 5.92 |
| 0.45132 | 7.13 | 6.81 | 6.52 | 6.26 | 6.02 | 5.81 |
| 0.50143 | 7.14 | 6.82 | 6.54 | 6.28 | 6.04 | 5.83 |

Table 4.105: Hydration number ($n_H$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $n_H$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05082 | 7.51 | 7.28 | 7.01 | 6.75 | 6.50 | 6.29 |
| 0.09856 | 7.46 | 7.17 | 6.89 | 6.61 | 6.35 | 6.14 |
| 0.15012 | 7.47 | 7.13 | 6.85 | 6.57 | 6.32 | 6.09 |
| 0.20142 | 7.42 | 7.09 | 6.78 | 6.51 | 6.27 | 6.05 |
| 0.24991 | 7.30 | 6.92 | 6.63 | 6.36 | 6.13 | 5.97 |
| 0.29843 | 7.18 | 6.86 | 6.57 | 6.31 | 6.08 | 5.87 |
| 0.34905 | 7.00 | 6.67 | 6.38 | 6.22 | 6.01 | 5.82 |
| 0.40005 | 6.99 | 6.70 | 6.43 | 6.19 | 5.97 | 5.78 |
| 0.45081 | 6.94 | 6.64 | 6.38 | 6.14 | 5.93 | 5.77 |
| 0.50144 | 6.84 | 6.55 | 6.29 | 6.05 | 5.84 | 5.65 |

Table 4.106: Hydration number ($n_H$) of L-proline in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $n_H$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04986 | 7.49 | 7.19 | 6.92 | 6.68 | 6.52 | 6.19 |
| 0.09911 | 7.48 | 7.17 | 6.89 | 6.63 | 6.45 | 6.18 |
| 0.15009 | 7.47 | 7.14 | 6.85 | 6.57 | 6.36 | 6.15 |
| 0.20099 | 7.52 | 7.20 | 6.92 | 6.65 | 6.44 | 6.20 |
| 0.24911 | 7.41 | 7.06 | 6.76 | 6.49 | 6.33 | 6.11 |
| 0.30175 | 7.17 | 6.86 | 6.57 | 6.31 | 6.09 | 5.87 |
| 0.34995 | 7.09 | 6.78 | 6.50 | 6.24 | 6.03 | 5.81 |
| 0.39964 | 7.00 | 6.69 | 6.41 | 6.15 | 5.94 | 5.73 |
| 0.4501 | 6.94 | 6.63 | 6.35 | 6.10 | 5.89 | 5.68 |
| 0.49891 | 6.83 | 6.53 | 6.26 | 6.02 | 5.80 | 5.60 |

Table 4.107: Hydration number ($n_H$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $n_H$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04954 | 13.77 | 13.56 | 13.22 | 12.87 | 12.27 | 11.96 |
| 0.09953 | 13.42 | 13.11 | 12.76 | 12.45 | 12.01 | 11.75 |
| 0.14974 | 13.51 | 13.19 | 12.84 | 12.49 | 12.08 | 11.79 |
| 0.19814 | 13.02 | 12.69 | 12.35 | 12.05 | 11.71 | 11.47 |
| 0.24867 | 12.72 | 12.38 | 12.05 | 11.77 | 11.46 | 11.23 |
| 0.30107 | 12.50 | 12.14 | 11.79 | 11.59 | 11.31 | 11.08 |
| 0.34897 | 12.49 | 12.14 | 11.83 | 11.56 | 11.31 | 11.04 |
| 0.40104 | 12.16 | 11.84 | 11.53 | 11.26 | 10.98 | 10.77 |
| 0.44855 | 11.88 | 11.55 | 11.25 | 10.98 | 10.72 | 10.51 |
| 0.49943 | 11.58 | 11.27 | 10.97 | 10.71 | 10.46 | 10.27 |

Table 4.108: Hydration number ($n_H$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $n_H$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04977 | 13.52 | 12.93 | 12.57 | 12.25 | 11.99 | 11.74 |
| 0.09991 | 13.04 | 12.65 | 12.32 | 12.01 | 11.73 | 11.48 |
| 0.14886 | 13.05 | 12.66 | 12.33 | 12.03 | 11.78 | 11.56 |
| 0.19906 | 12.69 | 12.32 | 11.99 | 11.83 | 11.45 | 11.22 |
| 0.25031 | 12.50 | 12.09 | 11.76 | 11.48 | 11.29 | 11.07 |
| 0.29827 | 12.59 | 12.23 | 11.92 | 11.66 | 11.41 | 11.19 |
| 0.35007 | 12.20 | 11.85 | 11.54 | 11.26 | 11.02 | 10.81 |
| 0.40049 | 12.01 | 11.66 | 11.35 | 11.08 | 10.84 | 10.63 |
| 0.45091 | 11.93 | 11.59 | 11.29 | 11.03 | 10.80 | 10.59 |
| 0.50003 | 11.64 | 11.32 | 11.03 | 10.77 | 10.55 | 10.36 |

Table 4.109: Hydration number ($n_H$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $n_H$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.04993 | 13.45 | 13.06 | 12.73 | 12.44 | 12.18 | 11.95 |
| 0.09992 | 13.16 | 12.77 | 12.44 | 12.13 | 11.88 | 11.67 |
| 0.14996 | 13.07 | 12.77 | 12.42 | 12.12 | 11.86 | 11.64 |
| 0.20027 | 12.64 | 12.21 | 11.88 | 11.61 | 11.37 | 11.23 |
| 0.25021 | 12.53 | 12.18 | 11.86 | 11.59 | 11.35 | 11.14 |
| 0.29997 | 12.31 | 11.90 | 11.60 | 11.34 | 11.17 | 10.98 |
| 0.34925 | 12.16 | 11.82 | 11.51 | 11.24 | 11.01 | 10.81 |
| 0.39814 | 11.94 | 11.61 | 11.31 | 11.05 | 10.82 | 10.63 |
| 0.44998 | 11.82 | 11.46 | 11.16 | 10.90 | 10.70 | 10.51 |
| 0.50008 | 11.73 | 11.39 | 11.11 | 10.87 | 10.67 | 10.49 |

Table 4.110: Hydration number ($n_H$) of L-lysine in aqueous solution of nicotinamide (0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$) as a function of molality (m/mol.kg$^{-1}$) at different temperature

| Water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $n_H$ | | | | | |
| m/mol.kg$^{-1}$ | 293.15K | 298.15K | 303.15K | 308.15K | 313.15K | 318.15K |
| 0.05031 | 13.41 | 13.05 | 12.72 | 12.42 | 12.27 | 11.95 |
| 0.10052 | 13.22 | 12.84 | 12.51 | 12.22 | 12.04 | 11.80 |
| 0.14902 | 12.91 | 12.56 | 12.22 | 11.93 | 11.72 | 11.48 |
| 0.19934 | 12.64 | 12.21 | 11.90 | 11.72 | 11.54 | 11.31 |
| 0.24911 | 12.42 | 12.03 | 11.71 | 11.44 | 11.30 | 11.09 |
| 0.29904 | 12.26 | 11.92 | 11.62 | 11.36 | 11.14 | 10.94 |
| 0.34936 | 12.14 | 11.79 | 11.49 | 11.22 | 11.00 | 10.78 |
| 0.4012 | 11.98 | 11.64 | 11.34 | 11.08 | 10.87 | 10.68 |
| 0.44891 | 11.74 | 11.42 | 11.12 | 10.87 | 10.66 | 10.46 |
| 0.50085 | 11.57 | 11.25 | 10.95 | 10.70 | 10.50 | 10.30 |

**Figure 4.1:** Plots of Density ($\rho$) vs. Molality (m) of L-proline + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



**Figure 4.2:** Plots of Density ($\rho$) vs. Molality (m) of L-lysine + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

115

Figure 4.3: Plots of Density ($\rho$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

.



Figure 4.4: Plots of Density (ρ) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.5: Plots of Density ($\rho$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.6: Plots of Density ($\rho$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

117

Figure 4.7: Plots of Density ($\rho$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.8: Plots of Density ($\rho$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.9: Plots of Density (ρ) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.10: Plots of Density (ρ) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.11: Plots of Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vs. Molality of water + L-proline system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.12: Plots of Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vs. Molality of water + L-lysine systems at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.13: Plots of Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vs. Molality of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.14: Plots of Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vs. Molality of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.15: Plots of Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vs. Molality of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.16: Plots of Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vs. Molality of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.17: Plots of Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vs. Molality of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide systems at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.18: Plots of Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vs. Molality of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide systems at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.19: Plots of Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vs. Molality of water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide systems at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.20: Plots of Apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$) vs. Molality of water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide systems at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.21: Plots of Partial molar volume ($V_2$) vs. Molality of water + L-proline system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.22: Plots of Partial molar volume ($V_2$) vs. Molality of water + L-lysine systems at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.23: Plots of Partial molar volume ($V_2$) vs. Molality of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respective



Figure 4.24: Plots of Partial molar volume ($V_2$) vs. Molality of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

126

Figure 4.25: Plots of Partial molar volume ($V_2$) vs. Molality of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.26: Plots of Partial molar volume ($V_2$) vs. Molality of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.27: Plots of Partial molar volume ($V_2$) vs. Molality of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide systems at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.28: Plots of Partial molar volume ($V_2$) vs. Molality of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide systems at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.29: Plots of Partial molar volume ($V_2$) vs. Molality of water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide systems at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.30: Plots of Partial molar volume ($V_2$) vs. Molality of water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide systems at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

129

Figure 4.31**:** Plots of Sound velocity (u) vs. Molality (m) of L-proline + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.32**:** Plots of Sound velocity (u) vs. Molality (m) of L-lysine + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.33: Plots of Sound velocity (u) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.34: Plots of Sound velocity (u) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

Figure 4.35: Plots of Sound velocity (u) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.36: Plots of Sound velocity (u) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.37: Plots of Sound velocity (u) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.38: Plots of Sound velocity (u) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.39: Plots of Sound velocity (u) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.40: Plots of Sound velocity (u) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.41**:** Plots of Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) vs. Molality (m) of L-proline + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.42**:** Plots of Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) vs. Molality (m) of L-lysine + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.43: Plots of Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.44: Plots of Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.45: Plots of Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.46: Plots of Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.47: Plots of Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.48: Plots of Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.49: Plots of Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.50: Plots of Adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.51: Plots of Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) vs. Molality (m) of L-proline + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.52: Plots of Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) vs. Molality (m) of L-lysine + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

140

Figure 4.53: Plots of Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.54: Plots of Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.55: Plots of Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.56: Plots of Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.57: Plots of Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.58: Plots of Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.59: Plots of Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.60: Plots of Apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

**Figure 4.61:** Plots of Acoustic impedance (Z) vs. Molality (m) of L-proline + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



**Figure 4.62:** Plots of Acoustic impedance (Z) vs. Molality (m) of L-lysine + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.63: Plots of Acoustic impedance (Z) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.64: Plots of Acoustic impedance (Z) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.65: Plots of Acoustic impedance (Z) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.66: Plots of Acoustic impedance (Z) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.67: Plots of Acoustic impedance (Z) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.68: Plots of Acoustic impedance (Z) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.69: Plots of Acoustic impedance (Z) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.70: Plots of Acoustic impedance (Z) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.71**:** Plots of Relative association ($R_A$) vs. Molality (m) of L-proline + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.72**:** Plots of Relative association ($R_A$) vs. Molality (m) of L-lysine + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.73: Plots of Relative association ($R_A$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.74: Plots of Relative association ($R_A$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.75: Plots of Relative association ($R_A$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.76: Plots of Relative association ($R_A$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.77: Plots of Relative association ($R_A$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.78: Plots of Relative association ($R_A$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.79: Plots of Relative association ($R_A$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.80: Plots of Relative association ($R_A$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

**Figure 4.81:** Plots of Hydration number ($n_H$) vs. Molality (m) of L-proline + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



**Figure 4.82:** Plots of Hydration number ($n_H$) vs. Molality (m) of L-lysine + water system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.83: Plots of Hydration number ($n_H$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.84: Plots of Hydration number ($n_H$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.85: Plots of Hydration number ($n_H$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.86: Plots of Hydration number ($n_H$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-proline + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

157

Figure 4.87: Plots of Hydration number ($n_H$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.03 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.88: Plots of Hydration number ($n_H$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.045 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.

Figure 4.89: Plots of Hydration number ($n_H$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.06 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively.



Figure 4.90: Plots of Hydration number ($n_H$) vs. Molality (m) of water + L-lysine + 0.09 mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide system at 293.15K, 298.15K, 303.15K, 308.15K, 313.15K and 318.15K respectively

# CHAPTER V

## Conclusion

Densities and sound velocities of L-proline and L-lysine in water and in aqueous (0.03, 0.045, 0.06 and 0.09) mol.kg$^{-1}$ nicotinamide solutions have been measured in the temperature range between 293.15K to 318.15K with an interval of 5K. Volumetric and acoustic properties such as apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v$), limiting apparent molar volume ($\varphi_v^0$), limiting apparent molar volume transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_v^0$), apparent molar expansibilities ($E_\varphi^0$) and double derivative ($\delta^2\varphi_v^0/\delta T^2)_p$, adiabatic compressibility ($\beta_s$), apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k$), limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibility ($\varphi_k^0$), apparent molar adiabatic compressibility of transfer ($\Delta_{tr}\varphi_k^0$), acoustic impedance (Z), relative association ($R_A$) and hydration number ($n_H$) have been calculated.

Apparent molar properties, limiting apparent molar properties and compressibility studies indicate the presence of strong solute–solvent interactions in the binary and ternary systems. The extent of interactions increases with increase in the molar mass of amino acids and increase in the concentration of nicotinamide solution. The solute– solvent interactions increase from L-proline to L-lysine. The Hepler's constant ($\delta^2\varphi_v^0/\delta T^2)_p$ shows the structure making property of amino acids in aqueous nicotinamide solution. Hydrophilic-hydrophilic or ion-hydrophilic interactions are dominating for L-lysine in binary and ternary systems, whereas for L-proline hydrophobic-hydrophobic interactions are dominating.  From the above experimental results we can conclude:

- Strong solute-solvent interactions in binary (water + amino acid) and ternary (water + amino acid + nicotinamide) systems are present.
- The mode of interaction of L-lysine is different from L-proline in both binary and ternary systems.
- The water molecules around amino acids are less compressible than water molecules in the bulk solution.
- The compressibility of ternary solution is less than binary solution.

160

# REFERENCES

1. Mchaweh, A, Alsaygh, A. and Moshfeghian, M. A., 2004, Simplified method for calculating saturated liquid densities, Fluid Phase Equilibrium, Vol. 224, pp.157–167.

2. Alvarez, E, Sanjurjo, B, Cancela, A. and Navaza, J. M., 2000, Mass transfer and influence of physical properties of solutions in a bubble column, Chemical Engineering and Research Design, Vol. 78, pp. 889–893.

3. Venkatalakshmi, V, Chowdappa, A, Venkateswarlu, P. and Reddy, K.S., 2014, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, Vol. 3, pp. 17556-17566.

4. Reichardt, C., 1994, Chem. Rev, Solvatochromic dyes as solvent polarity indicators, Vol. 4, pp. 2319–2358.

5. Langhals, H. and Angew, B., 1982, Chem., Int. Ed. Engl, Polarity of binary liquid mixtures, Vol. 21, pp. 724–733.

6. Marcus, Y., 1994, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans., Vol. 2, pp. 1015–1021.

7. Spange, S, Lauterbach, M, Gyra, A. K, Reichardt, C. and Liebigs, 1991, Ann., Chem. pp. 323–329.

8. Suppan, P, J., 1987, Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans, Physical Chemistry in Condensed Phases, Vol. 3, pp. 495–509.

9. Marcus, Y. and Migron, Y.J., Phys. Chem, Vol. 5, pp. 400–406.

10. Wu, G, Bazer, F. W, Davis, T. A, Jaeger, L. A, Johnson, G. A, Kim, S. W, Knabe, D. A., Meininger, C. J., Spencer, T. E. and Yin. Y. L., 2007, Important roles for the arginine family of amino acids in swine nutrition and production, Livest science, Vol.112, pp. 8-22.

11. R. T., Morrison and R. N., Boyd, 1992, Organic Chemistry, 6th Edition, Prentice-Hall International Edition, New Jersey.

12. Venkatesu, P, Lee, M-J. and Lin, H., 2007, Densities of aqueous solutions containing model compounds of amino acids and ionic salts at T=298.15 K, J. Chem. Thermodyn., Vol. 39, pp. 1206-1216.

13. J. Balbach and F. X. Schmid, 2001, "Proline isomerization and its catalysis in protein folding." In R. H. Pain, ed. Mechanisms of Protein Folding, 2nd ed. Oxford University Press.

14. A. L. Lehninger, D. L. Nelson and M. M. Cox. 2000, Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry, 3rd ed. New York.

15. K.J. Siebert, 2010 "Haze and Foam".

16. The Biology Project, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, University of Arizona, 2003.

17. Fini, M, Torricelli, P, Giavaresi, G, Carpi, A, Nicolini, A. and Giardino R., 2001, Biomed Pharmacother, Vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 213-220.

18. Rajavel, E, Humayun, M. A, Ball, O. R. and Paul B., 2007, Lysine requirement of healthy school-age children determined by the indicator amino acid oxidation method, The American Journal of Chemical nutration, Vol. 86, pp. 360-365.

19. Dipalma, J.R., 1991, Annu. Rev. Nutr., Use of Niacin Drug, Vol. 11, pp. 169-187.

20. Li, F., Chong, Z.Z. and Maiese, K., 2004, Front. Biosci, navigating novel mechanisms of cellular plasticity with the nad + precursor and nutrient nicotinamide, Vol. 9, pp. 2500-2520.

21. Maiese, K. and Chong, Z.Z., 2003, Pharmacol. Sci., Nicotinamide: necessary nutrient emerges as a novel cytoprotectant for the brain, Vol. 24, pp. 228-232.

22. Jackson, T.M., Rawling, J.M., Roebuck, B.D. and Kirkland, J.B., 1995, J. Nutr., Large supplements of nicotinic acid and nicotinamide increase tissue NAD+ and poly(ADP-ribose) levels but do not affect diethylnitrosamine-induced altered hepatic foci in Fischer-344 rats, Vol. 125, pp.1455- 1461.

23. Depeint, F, Bruce, W.R, Shangari, N. and Mehta, R. and O'Brien., 2006, Chem. Biol. Interact., Mitochondrial function and toxicity: role of the B vitamin family on mitochondrial energy metabolism, Vol. 163, pp. 94-112.

24. Hara, N., Yamada, K.; Shibata, T., Osago, H.; Hashimoto, T. and Tsuchiya, M., 2007, J. Biol. Chem., Elevation of cellular NAD levels by nicotinic acid and involvement of nicotinic acid phosphoribosyltransferase in human cells., Vol. 282, pp. 24574-24582.

25. Sanyal, S. K., 1984, J. Chem. Educ., p. 110.

26. Franks F., 1972, A comprehensive treaties", Vol. 1, Plenum press, NewYork, Vol. 9, p. 56.

27. Nemethy G. and Scherage H.A., 1962, J. Chem. Phys., Structure of water and hydrophobic bonding in proteins. I. A Model for the Thermodynamic Properties of Liquid Water, Vol. 36, pp. 3382 and 3401.

28. Clementy, E., 1976, Springer, verlag, "Determination of liquid water structure, co-ordition number for ions and salvations of biological molecules", Berlin p.74.

29. Barnse, P., Finny, J. L., Nicoler, J. D. and Quinn, J. E., 1979, pp. 202- 459.

30. Rahman, A. and Stillings, F. H., 1975, J. Chem. Phys., Vol. 55, p. 3336.

31. Rahman, A, Stillings, F. H. and Lainberg, H. L., 1975, J. Chem. Phys. Vol. 69, p. 5223.

32. Franc, H. S. and Wen, W. Y., 1957, "Structural aspects of ions –solvent interaction in aqueous solution: A suggest picture of eater structure" Disc. Faraday Soc., Vol. 24, p. 133.

33. Hildebrand, J. H., 1949, Chemical Reviews, Vol. 44, p. 37.

34. R. Gurney, 1954, Ionic processes in solution, McGraw Hill, New York.

35. Fuhrhop, J. and Koning, 1994, Memberence and molecular assembelies, The Synkitic Apporace, J. Royal Soc. Chem, p. 21.

36. Israclachvili, J. N., 1985, Intermolecular and Surface Forces, Academic, London Vol. 23, p. 87.

37. Blokzijl, W. and Engberts, J. B. F. N., 1993, Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl, Hydrophobic Effects. Opinions and Facts , Vol. 2, p. 1545.

38. Cibulka, I, Hnědkovský, L, Šedlbauer, 2010, Partial molar volumes of organic solutes in water. XX. glycine (aq) and L-alanine (aq) at temperatures (298 to 443) K and at pressures up to 30 MPa., J. Chem. Thermodyn., Vol. 42, pp.198-207.

39. Kumar, H. and Behal, I., 2016, Volumetric and ultrasonic investigation of molecular interactions of l-serine and l-threonine in aqueous nicotinamide solutions at T= (288.15–318.15) K, Journal of Molecular Liquids, Vol. 219, pp. 756–764.

40. M. Daofan, J. Xiaofeng, W. Guoqiang and Z. Chunying , 2015, Volumetric and viscometric studies of amino acids in vitamin $B_6$ aqueous solutions at various temperatures, Journal of Chemical Engineering Data, Vol.60, pp. 1279−1290.

41. X. Jiang, C. Zhu and Y. Ma., 2014, Explorations of diverse interactions of some vitamins in aqueous mixtures of cysteine, J. Chemical Thermodynamics, Vol. 71, pp. 50-63.

42. Roy, M.N. and Chakraborti, P., 2014, Thermodynamics of (solute + solute) and (solute + solvent) interactions of homologous series of amino acids with thiamine hydrochloride in aqueous medium at T = (305.15, 310.15, 315.15) K: A volumetric and acoustic approach, J. Mex. Chem. Soc., Vol.58, no.2, pp.106-112.

43. Javornik, E., Ferreira, O. and. Pinho S.P., 2015, Volumetric interactions of a series of a-amino acids in aqueous magnesium chloride solutions at 278.15, 288.15, 298.15, and 308.15 K, Monatsh Chem., Vol. 146, pp. 1419–1431.

44. Khanuja,P. and Chourey, V.R., 2014, The structure making and structure breaking properties of amino acids in aqueous glucose solution at different temperatures, Pelagia Research Library, Vol. 5 no.1 pp.71-76.

45. Banipal, T.S., Kaur, J, Parampaul K., Banipal, A, K., and Singh K., 2011, Volumetric and viscometric studies of some amino acids in aqueous solutions of cadmium chloride at T = (288.15 to 318.15) K and at atmospheric pressure , J. Chem. Eng. Data , Vol. 56, pp. 2751–2760.

46. Paula, C, Mota C.P., Ferreira O. and Pinho, O., andIvan Cibulka, I., 2014, Partial molar volumes of l-serine and l-threonine in aqueous ammonium sulfate solutions at (278.15, 288.15, 298.15, and 308.15) K, Journal Solution Chem., Vol. 43, pp.283–297.

47. Sumathi T. and Varalakshmi, M., 2010, Ultrasonic velocity, density and viscosity measurement of methionine in aqueous electrolytic solutions at 303k., Rasayan journal of chemistry, Vol. 3, No.3, pp. 550-555.

48. Shilpa, A. Mirikar, Pravina, Pawar, p. and Govind K. Bichile, K.G., 2015, American journal of Pharmaco and pharmacotherapeutics, Vol. 2, pp. 19-25.

49. Dhondge, S, Dahasahasra, P.N, Paliwal, L.J. and Deshmukh, D.W., 2014, Density and viscosity study of nicotinic acid and nicotinamide in dilute aqueous solutions at and around the temperature of the maximum density of water, Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics, Vol. 76 , pp. 16–23.

50. Umaley, K.D. and Aswar, A.S., 2012, Molecular interaction of aspartic acid in acqueous metal chloride solution – volumetric, viscometric, acqueostical and optical studies, Indian Journal of Chemical Technology, Vol. 19, pp.295-302.

51. Daofan, M, Xiaofeng, J, Guoqiang, W. and Chunying, Z., 2015, Volumetric and viscometric studies of amino acids in vitamin b6 aqueous solutions at various temperatures, Journal of Chemical Engineering Data, Vol.60, pp.1279−1290.

52. Malik, N, Khan, A.U, Naqvi, S. and Arfin, T., 2016, Ultrasonic studies of different saccharides in α-amino acids at various temperatures and concentrations, Journal of Molecular Liquids, Vol.221, pp. 12–18.

53. Kumar, H. and Behal, I., 2016, Volumetric and ultrasonic investigation of molecular interactions of l-serine and l-threonine in aqueous nicotinamide solutions at T = (288.15–318.15) K, Journal of Molecular Liquids, Vol. 219, pp. 756–764.

54. Gurdeep. Raj., 1996-97, "Advanced physical chemistry" Twenty First Edition. Goel Publishing House, p. 1281.

55. B. H, Bahl, G. D. Tuli, and A. Bahl, 1994, "Essential of physical chemistry". S. Chand and company Ltd., pp. 380-381.

56. D.P. Shoemaker, C.W. Garland, Stein field, J.J. and Nibler, J.W., 1981, "Experiments in physical chemistry" 4th Ed, Mc-Graw-Hill, USA, pp. 162.

57. J. M. Wilson, R. J. Newcombl, A. R. Denaro and R. M. Rickett, 1962, Experimental in physical chemistry, Pergamon press, New York, pp. 162-163.

58. Marignac, C., 1871, Ann. Chem. (Paris), p. 415.

59. H. L. Friedman, and C. V. Krishnan, 1973, in "Water: A comprehensive Treatise", Ed. F. Frank, Plenum press, New York, vol. 3, p. 34.

60. Masson, D. O., 1929, Phil. Mag., p. 218.

61. Owen, B. B. and Brinkeley, S. R., Ann. N. Y. Acad., Vol. 5, p. 753.

62. Redlich, O. and Rosenfeld, P., Vol. 37, p. 705.

63. Raychaudhuri, 1987, "Advanced Acoustic" The new bookstall, Calcutta, India.

64. Nomoto, O. 1958, Journal of Physical Society Japan, Vol. 13, p. 1528.

65. Achaaffs W., 1974, Acustica, Vol. 30, p. 275.

66. Achaaffs W., 1975, Acustica, Vol. 33, p. 272.

67. Jacobson, B.,1951, Acta Chem. Scand, Vol. 5, p. 1214.

68. Jacobson, B., 1952, Acta Chem. Scand., Vol. 6, p. 1485.

69. Jacobson, B., 1952, Acta Chem. Scand, Vol. 20, p. 927.

70. Hepler, L.G., 1969, Thermal expansion and structure in water and aqueous solutions, Vol. 47, Can. J. Chem., pp. 4613–4617.

71. Thirumaran, S. and Sabu, K.J., 2012, Ultrasonic studies on interionic interactions of some alkali metal halides in aqueous d-glucose solution at varying molalities and temperatures, Journal of Experimental Science, Vol. 3, pp. 33-39.

72. Thirumaran, S. and Sabu, K.J., 2009, Ultrasonic investigation of amino acids in aqueous sodium acetate medium, Ind. J. Pure Appl. Phys., Vol. 47, pp. 87-96.

73. Ren, X, Zhu, C. and Ma, Y., 2015, Volumetric and viscometric studies of amino acids in mannitol aqueous solutions at T = (293.15 to 323.15) K, American Chemical Society, pp. 1787-1802.

74. Sheikh Ahidul Alam, 2012, Study of the effects of electrolytes on the carbohydrate solutions with volumetric and viscometric measurement, M. Phil Thesis, Department of chemistry, Khulna University of Engineering & Technology.

75. Mishra, A.K. and Ahluwalia, J.C., 1984, Apparent molal volumes of amino acids, N-acetylamino acids, and peptides in aqueous solutions, J. Phys. Chem. Vol. 88, pp. 86–92.

76. Iqbal, M.J. and Chaudhary, M.A., 2010, Effect of temperature on volumetric and viscometric properties of some non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in aprotic solvents, J. Chem. Thermodyn., Vol. 42, pp. 951–956.

77. Yan, Z, Wang J.J, Zheng, H, Liu D., 1998, Journal Solution Chemistry, Vol. 27, pp. 473–477.

78. Roy, M.N., Dakua, V.K. and Sinha, B., Partial molar volumes, viscosity B-coefficients, and adiabatic compressibilities of sodium molybdate in aqueous 1,3-dioxolane mixtures from 303.15 to 323.15 K, Int. J. Thermophys, Vol. 28, pp.1275–1284.

79. Millero, F.J. and Horne, R.A., 1972, Structure and transport process in water and aqueous solutions, Wiley-Interscience, New York, pp. 519–595.

80. Misra, P.R., Das, B., Parmar, M.L. and Banyal, D.S., 2005, Effect of temperature on the partial molar volumes of some bivalent transition metal nitrates and magnesium nitrate in DMF + water mixtures, Indian J. Chem., Vol. 44, pp. 1582–1588.

81. Zhao, H., 2006, Viscosity B-coefficients and standard partial molar volumes of amino acids, and their roles in interpreting the protein (enzyme) stabilization, Biophys. Chem., Vol. 122, pp. 157–183.

82. Cibulka, I, Hnedkovsky, L. and Sedlbauer, 2010, Partial molar volumes of organic solutes in water. XX. Glycine (aq) and l-alanine (aq.) at temperatures (298 to 443) K and at pressures up to 30 MPa **,** J. Chem. Thermodyn. Vol. 42, pp. 198–207.

83. Kincaid, J. F. and Eyring, H., 1937, Apartion function of liquid mercury, J. Chem. Physics, Vol. 5, p. 587.

84. Md. Monirul Islam (supervisor), 2014, Studies on volumetric ultrasonic properties of some α-amino acids in aqueous solution of monomeric and micellar CTBA at different temperature, Department of chemistry, Rajshahi University, pp. 96-99.

85. Victor, P. J., Muhuri, P.K., Das B., Hazra, D., 1999, Thermodyanomics of ion association and solvation in 2- methoxyethanol: behaviour of tetraphenyllarsonium, picrate and tetraphenylborate ions from conductivity and ultrasonic data, J. Phys. Chem., Vol. 103, pp. 11227-11232.

86. Rodr´ıguez, H, Soto, A, Arce, A. and Khoshkbarchi, M.K., 2003, Apparent molar volume, isentropic compressibility, refractive index, and viscosity of dl-alanine in aqueous nacl solutions, Journal of Solution Chemistry, Vol. 32, pp.53-63.

87. Pal, A. and Chauhan, N., 2011, Partial molar volumes, expansibilities and compressibilities of glyglyglycine in aqueous sucrose and fructose solutions between 288.15 and 308.15K, Thermochimica Acta, Vol. 513, pp. 68–74.

88. Romero, C.M. and Negrete, F., 2004, Effect of temperature on partial molar volumes and viscosities of aqueous solutions of α-dl-Aminobutyric acid, dl-Norvaline and dl-Norleucine, Phys. Chem. Liq. Phys. Chem. Liq., Vol. 42, pp.261–267.

89. Moattar, Z.M.T. and Sarmad, S., 2010, Effect of tri-potassium phosphate on volumetric, acoustic, and transport behavior of aqueous solutions of 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide at T= (298.15 to 318.15) K, J. Chem. Thermodyn., Vol. 4, pp. 1213–1221.

90. Sathish, M. and Meenakshi, G., 2014, Ultrasonic study of some amino acids in aqueous salt solution of kno3 at 303.15k, International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, Vol. 3, pp. 312-317.

91. Palani, R, Balakrishnan, S. and Arumugam G., 2011, Ultrasonic studies of amino acids in aqueous sucrose solution at different temperatures, Journal of Physical Science, Vol. 22, pp. 131–141.